## 1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO 2 3 5 THE STATE OF IDAHO, Supreme Court Mo. 6 Plaintiff-Respondent, 7 ) COURT REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT VS 8 THOMAS EUGENE CREECH, 9 Defendant-Appellant. 10 11 12 13 BEFORE 14 HONORABLE J. PAY DURTSCHI 15 DISTRICT JUDGE 16 17 18 APPEAL from the District Court of the First 19 20 Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the 21 County of Shoshone. 22 23 24 25

JOHN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	
4	WAYNE KIDWELL, Esq. Attorney General of the State of
5	Idaho, Capitol Building, Boise, Idaho, for and on behalf of the plaintiff-respondent.
6	
7	BRUCE O. ROBINSON, Esq., Post Office Box 8, Nampa,
8	Idaho, appearing for and on behalf of the defendant-appellant.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

JOHN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boice. Idaho 83705

24

-		
	1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
k -	3	OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHOSHONE
	4	
-	5	
	6	THE STATE OF IDAHO, ) Cr. No. 2165
	7	Plaintiff, )
_	8	VS ) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
	9	THOMAS EUGENE CREECH, )  Defendant. )
	10	Detendant. )
	11	
	12	
	13	BEFORE
	14	HONORABLE J. RAY DURTSCHI
	15	DISTRICT JUDGE
	16 17	
	18	BE IT REMEMBERED, That the above-entitled matter came
	19	on for hearing and trial before the Honorable J. Ray Durtschi,
	20	District Judge, with a jury, at Cascade, Idaho, May 20, 1975
	21	through May 22, 1975, and at Mallace, Idaho, October 6, 1975
	22	through October 22, 1975.
	23	
	24	
П	25	
All miles	B	

. 1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ROBERT REMAKLUS, Esq., Prosecuting Attorney, Cascade, Idaho, and
4	LYNN THOMAS, Esq., Deputy Attorney General, Statehouse, Boise, Idaho, appearing for and on behalf of the plaintiff.
5	idano, appearing for and on behalf of the plaintiff.
6	DRIVER O DORINGON Born Doub Office Day O Names Talaha
7	BRUCE O. ROBINSON, Esq., Post Office Box 8, Nampa, Idaho, appearing for and on behalf of the defendant, and
8	WARD HOWER, Esq., Post Office Box 799, Cascade, Idaho,
2	appearing for and on behalf of the defendant.

IOHN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Bo's Idaho 83705

. 1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHOSHONE
3	
4	*
5	THE STATE OF IDAHO, ) Cr. No. 2165
6	Plaintiff-Respondent, ) LODGMENT OF COURT
7	VS ) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ) ON APPEAL
8	THOMAS EUGENE CREECH,
9	Defendant-Appellant. )
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	RECEIVED from John W. Gambee, Official Court Reporter
16	of the above-entitled court, and lodged with me this day
17	of, 1976, original plus copies of
18	the Court Reporter's Transcript on Appeal.
19	
20	
21	
22	CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT
23	
24	Deputy
25	e.

JOHN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boit\* Idaho 83705

1	WALLACE, IDAHO, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1975, 9:30 A.M.
2	
3	
4	THE COURT: Counsel ready to proceed?
5	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
6	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: For the record I wanted to state that we
8	just had a report that one of the jurors who has been excused,
9	or challenged already on pre-emptory challenges,
10	Wanda J. Kurt, has had a serious illness arise in the family
11	and may be a terminal illness so I wonder if Counsel have any
12	objection to having her excused prior to exhausting pre-emptory
13	challenges?
14	MR. ROBINSON: Defense has no objection.
15	MR. REMAKLUS: No, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: All right. Would you just give that word
17	to Mrs. Kurt and she may be excused. If you you don't need
18	to do that now. I want to take a couple other matters up.
19	Also have a medical excuse for Juror No. 94,
20	Donna Rude. Any objection to her being excused?
21	MR. ROBINSON: No objection.
22	MR. REMAKLUS: No, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right, number 94, Donna Rude, will be
24	excused, then.
25	Also number 115, Forest Vandorn, has a funeral in

	1
1	the family. Any objection to Mr. Vandorn being excused?
2	MR. REMAKLUS: No.
3	MR. ROBINSON: No objection, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	My records show that we're presently trying to seat
6	someone in Seat No. 1 to replace Mrs. Gregory who has been
7	challenged on pre-emptory challenges.
8	I'll direct the Clerk to draw another number at
9	this time.
10	All right. Number 98, Ester Scupholm.
11	Following our procedure I'll have the Clerk draw
12	one more unidentified juror to stand by.
13	It will take a few minutes to get those two
14	jurors over here, so we'll be in recess a few minutes.
15	(Recess taken.)
16	THE COURT: Counsel ready to proceed?
17	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
18	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, Your Honor.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

3

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

5

BY THE COURT:

7

8

Q. Mrs. Scupholm, I want to just ask you a few questions and then the attorneys will want to ask you some.

9 10 Everything we say here has to be reported so if you will please

speak audibly and loudly enough so we can hear you and not just nod your head.

11

A. Yes, sir.

12 13

Q. I want to visit with you a few minutes first about the procedure we'll follow with the jury once the jury starts as far as taking evidence and see if you have any particular

to try the cause and we start taking evidence the jury will be

what we call sequestered; which means that they won't be able

to go home at recesses or in the evening. You will be housed

in a motel that we've made arrangements at, the Stardust, the

meals will be taken at restaurants under the direction of the

Bailiffs and this will last for the duration of the trial.

15

16

14

problems with living with that procedure.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Yes, sir.

25

Q. Now, we don't know at this point exactly how long

After the jury is completely selected and sworn

1 the trial will last, our estimate is about three weeks and that 2 is, probably, a reasonable estimate. 3 We are wondering if there's anything in your 4 personal life, your home or your work that you are involved in 5 that would make this impossible for you to do, or create an 6 extreme hardship. We recognize, of course, it will be inconvenient for all of the jurors so we don't phrase it in 8 terms of just inconvenience but whether there's a very serious 9 problem in your personal situation. 10 Yes, there is. A. 11 Could you tell us what it is? 0. 12 Well, my husband, who was just killed three months A. 13 ago --14 I see. 0. 15 -- and I'm very much in my depressive mood. A. 16 Do you have a family? (). 17 I have a daughter and a son living in Coeur d'Alene. Δ 18 You are alone? 0 19 Yes, I'm all alone. A 20 You feel this --0. 21 I really do. I think it would be too much of an A. 22 emotional ---23 The strain would be depressing to you as far as 24 listening to the evidence? 25 Yes, definitely. 7

1 MR. ROBINSON: Stipulate. 2 MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, I stipulate Mrs. Scupholm may be 3 excused. 4 THE COURT: All right, we'll excuse you, then. Thank 5 you for the time you've spent here. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 THE COURT: All right, the next juror is 8 William McArthur, number 74. 9 I'll have the Clerk draw a stand-by name. 10 11 WILLIAM L. MCARTHUR, 12 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 13 the stand and testified as follows: 14 15 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 16 BY THE COURT: 17 Mr. McArthur, I want to just ask you a few 18 questions and then I think each of the attorneys will want to 19 ask you a few. Everything we do here the Court Reporter sitting 20 here has to take that down, so if you will answer audibly and 21 not just nod and answer loudly enough for him to hear you 22 please. 23 Like to visit with you just a minute about the 24 procedure we'll follow with the jurors once the trial gets 25 under way as far as taking evidence. When the jury is

completely selected and sworn to try the cause and we start
receiving evidence we do what we call sequester the jury; which
means the jurors won't be able to go home during recesses or
in the evening. The jurors will be housed at a motel and take
their meals in restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs
and this will last for the duration of the trial.

Now, we don't know -- any of us right now exactly knows how long the trial will last. Our estimate is about three weeks, which is, probably, a reasonable estimate.

We're wondering whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, work situation, that would make this impossible for you to do, or create an extreme hardship for you. We know it will be inconvenient, it's going to be inconvenient for all the jurors, so I don't ask in terms of inconvenience, but whether there -- it is a real serious situation, inconvenience in your case?

A. Yes, sir. I have a school I've been attending for the Forest Service, it's continuing educational courses, there's three sessions. Two of those sessions have already been held and the final session is to be held starting the 26th of October; which is the final session of a three-part course and this is part of a school which has to be taken in sequence.

Q. What would happen to you if you missed that third course?

1 time you have spent here and we will excuse you and ask you not 2 to discuss the questioning here with any of the other panel 3 members if you happen to run into them. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: The next juror is number 29, 6 Ester Everett. 7 I'll have the Clerk draw another stand-by name. 8 9 ESTER J. EVERETT, 10 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 11 the stand and testified as follows: 12 13 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 14 BY THE COURT: 15 Mrs. Everett, I just want to ask you a few 16 questions and then the attorneys will ask a few. Everything we 17 say has to be taken down by the Court Reporter so if you will 18 speak loudly enough for him to hear you, don't just nod, answer 19 audibly at different questions. 20 I want to visit with you for just a minute about 21 the procedure we're going to follow with the jurors after the 22 trial -- we start taking evidence in the trial; see if you have 23 any particular problem with that. 24 Once we reach that state we'll do what we call 25 sequester the jury; which means the jurors will not be able to

go home during recesses or even in the evening recess. We will house the jurors at a motel, made arrangements at the Stardust, the meals will be taken at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will continue during the duration of the trial.

We don't know for sure, any of us at this point, how long the trial will last. We estimate three weeks, probably, is a reasonable estimate.

We are wondering, understanding this procedure, if there's anything in your personal life, your home situation and work situation you might be involved in that would make this impossible for you to do, or create an extreme hardship. We recognize it will be inconvenient for all the jurors, so we don't talk in terms of convenience, but in terms of severe hardship. You feel you can live with this situation?

- A. Right.
- We'll get on with another matter, then.

Under our system of justice and philosophy in criminal law, Mrs. Everett, a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt by the State. Later on when I give the jurors instructions I'll explain these terms in more detail and give you more detailed instructions on these principles.

But, for present purposes, the effect of this is that the

defendant doesn't have to do anything to prove his innocence.

He doesn't have to have any burden as far as proving his innocence. The entire burden is on the State to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

The effect this has right at this stage of the proceeding before any evidence has been presented is to cloak the defendant, Mr. Creech, with the presumption of innocence.

Obviously, when the evidence is presented and the case has been fully submitted to the jury then the jurors will have to weigh the evidence and make a decision on guilt or innocence. But, right now before anything has been done Mr. Creech must be considered innocent under this principle of law.

Is there anything you are aware of that would prevent you from giving the defendant the full benefit of this principle at this time?

- A. No.
- Q. Have you read or heard anything about this case?

  In the newspapers, on radio or TV or from any other source,
  gossip or visiting with people?
  - A. No.
  - Q. You don't know any purported facts?
  - A. Just what you said over there on Monday.
- Q Except what we said in court, that's the only thing you've heard about the case so you haven't heard or read any purported facts about the case or about Mr. Creech?

E, C.S. e Drive

1	A. No.
2	Q. Do you know of anything that I perhaps haven't
3	touched on or asked you about that would prevent you from being
4	a fair and impartial juror to both sides here?
5	A. No.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. I think the attorneys would like
7	to question you now.
8	MR. REMAKLUS: Thank you, Judge.
9	
10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. REMAKLUS;
12	Q. Mrs. Everett, you have three children and they are
13	all grown and moved away from home; is that correct?
14	A. Um-hmm.
15	Q. Where do they live?
16	A. In Spokane and over in Montana.
17	Mhat do you have? Boys or girls, or both?
18	A. I have a boy and two girls.
19	And what does your son do?
20	A. Works for the Post Office.
21	O. And are your daughters employed?
22	7. No.
23	Q. What do their husbands do?
24	6. One is a logger and the other one works at Kaiser
25	until he's been let off now for several months from strikes.

1	
	Q. Do you have any hobbies, or activities that you
2	enjoy?
3	A. Um-hmm.
4	Q. What do you do in your leisure time?
5	A. I play bridge and knit and crochet and ski and
6	swim and
7	O. Do you take any of the daily papers?
8	5. I have a daily paper.
9	0. Um-hmm. Do you take magazines in the mail?
10	A. I take them, Reader's Digest.
11	0 Um-hmm. Do you watch television?
12	A. Oh, not too much, nothing much on.
13	Q. You haven't watched any of these programs like
14	Petrocelli, have any preconceived idea how lawsuits should be -
15	A. I've seen them, yes.
16	Q There's nothing about those programs that would
17	lead you to believe how a lawsuit should be tried, or court
18	conducted, is there?
19	A. No.
20	Q. It may not be as exciting here as it is on
21	television, I'm sure you understand that?
22	A. Right.
23	Q I assume that you are not acquainted with
24	Mr. Robinson, the defense attorney, or any of us?
25	A. No.

1	Q. You have never been involved in a lawsuit; have
2	you?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Have any of your family or close friends been
5	involved in any kind of litigation?
6	A. No.
7	MR. REMAKLUS: Pass the juror for cause, Your Honor.
8	MR. ROBINSON: If I may, Your Honor?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	
11	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. ROBINSON:
13	Q Mrs. Everett, I notice on your questionnaire that
14	your husband's occupation is that of a Deputy Sheriff here in
15	Shoshone County; does his work with the Driver's License
16	Bureau?
17	A. Um-hmm.
18	Q. And even though there's a separation as you said,
19	was there anything here in the last couple of months, discussion
20	between you and your husband regarding Thomas Eugene Creech
21	being here in Shoshone County?
22	A. We've been separated since the first of July.
23	Q So, no information has come to you from this source:
24	A. No.
25	0 And I believe that your comment to Judge Durtschi

1	was that the	e first you knew anything about this case was from
2	what he tole	all of the jurors on Monday morning; is that
3	correct?	
4	Α.	Right.
5	Ď.	Now, in your affiliation with the church, do you
6	yourself be	lieve in God?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	Do you believe that Satan exists?
9	Α,	Not really.
10	Q.	And in your social life, your work, grocery store;
11	isn't it?	
12	А.	Um-hmm.
13	Q	You are still employed at the grocery store?
14	А.	Um-hmm.
15	Q.	Have you been involved in, or overheard,
16	conversation	ns of witchcraft being performed here in Shoshone
17	County, Silv	ver Valley area?
18	A.	No.
19	Q.	Nothing of this nature has come to your attention?
20	A.	(No audible response.)
21	Q.	Mrs. Everett, probably will come to pass in the
22	production of	of evidence and testimony that there will be some
23	crude, vulga	ar, profane terminology that's used in documents
24	and in test:	mony. Will this in itself have an appalling effect
25	on you and	create some sort of a prejudice against the

1	defendant; the mere fact that we do have this kind of language
2	that's used?
3	A. No.
4	Q. I
5	A. I don't think so.
6	Q. And it may also be that there will be some color,
7	very gruesome photographs of the deceased victims. Now, would
8	the impact of seeing those in your mind, per se, have any
9	prejudicial effect?
10	A. That's possible.
11	Q Well, now, in examining those, would it have a
12	prejudical effect to the extent that the because you were
13	appalled at them you would immediately apply that to the
14	defendant?
15	A. It depends on what's gone on so far.
16	Q. Beg your pardon?
17	A. Depends on what's gone on so far.
18	Q You'd look at all the other evidence to make your
19	determination?
20	A. Right.
21	Q. And not let just the shocking parts of the
22	evidence influence you?
23	I'd have to see and hear the whole case.
24	I think that's fairly stated.
25	Mrs. Everett, you know yourself better than anyone
1.0	

Peny L. Benson.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

9 BY THE COURT:

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

PENY L. BENSON,

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took

I'll have the Clerk draw another stand-by juror.

Mrs. Benson, I'm going to ask you a few questions first and then the attorneys will want to ask you some questions. Everything we do here has to be reported by the Court Reporter sitting here (indicating) so if you will speak up loudly enough for him to hear you and not answer with nods

because he can't report those.

the stand and testified as follows:

A. Okay.

I want to visit with you for just a minute about the procedure we're going to use with the jurors once we start taking evidence in the trial and the jury is completely selected. When we reach that point we'll do what we call sequester the jury; which means that the jurors won't be able to leave or go home during recesses or evening recesses. The jurors will be housed in a motel and arrangements for that at the Stardust have been made, the meals will be taken at restaurants under supervision of the Bailiffs. This will continue during the

duration of the trial.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

We don't know, any of us, how long the trial will take for sure, but our estimate is about three weeks and that's probably a reasonable estimate.

We're wondering, bearing this procedure in mind, whether there's anything in your personal life, your family situation, in your work situation involved in that, that would make this impossible, or create an extreme hardship for you. We recognize that at the outset it would be inconvenient for all the jurors so we don't ask in terms of convenience but just in terms of real serious problems that would be -- apply in your case. Do you feel there would be any serious problems created for you?

- No, just inconvenience, as you say.
- But, you could live with the situation if you had Q. to --
  - D. Yes.
  - -- handle it? All right. Fine.

I want to turn to another subject, then. Under our system of justice and philosophy of criminal law, a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt by the State. In other words, this places the burden on the State to prove the guilt of a defendant beyond a reasonable doubt. Those terms will be explained in my instructions later on in the trial in more

detail, but for the present purposes what it means is that a defendant doesn't have to do anything to prove his innocence. The burden is on the opposite, the burden of the State is to prove him guilty.

of the proceeding is that he has to be considered innocent. The defendant does, of course, when evidence is presented and the case is finally concluded and fully submitted to the jury then the jurors will have to weigh the evidence and make the decision on the verdict of guilt or innocence. But, speaking to this point in the trial right now where no evidence has been presented I say the law requires that Mr. Creech, the defendant, be considered innocent.

Now, are you able at this time to give him the full benefit of this principle and consider him innocent as he sits here today right now?

- A. No.
- 0. You aren't?
- A. No.
- first, do you have any quarrel, just generally, with this principle of law of presuming a defendant innocent without reference to this particular case, just the general approach and philosophy? Do you have any quarrel with that principle presumes a defendant innocent at the beginning of the trial?

A. Well, I think it depends on the case. If someone has admitted that they are guilty, then they are guilty.

- Q. Well, you see --
- A. You wouldn't presume him innocent.
- Q But, you see, the things that perhaps lead you to say that in a particular case at this stage of the proceeding isn't -- hasn't really been established, you see, that might, may be false information or incorrect information as I explained to you when I gave you that instruction over in court the other day.

our whole philosophy is that the defendant can't be convicted on things that are presented outside of court. They have to be judged on evidence that's ruled admissible by a Judge and presented in a court of law to a jury and the decision has to be made on that evidence and not on something that has been said outside of court or published outside of court. You understand the philosophy we have?

- A. Yes, but I can't help how I feel.
- No, I'm not quarreling with how you feel, but I -move on, I want to develop why you feel that way, but I'm
  trying to find out now whether you even object to this whole
  approach we take under our law that of the criminal justice
  system?
  - . I guess not, no.
  - O Then, you don't quarrel with presuming a defendant

1	things.
2	Q. I see. So, this came to your daughter and from
3	your daughter to you?
4	A. No, it came to my mother and from my mother to me.
5	Q. To your mother and from your mother to you?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And it originated from someone who was in Boise
8	that purported to know the facts about this, is that right?
9	A. Right.
10	Q. Do you feel that it would be impossible for you to
11	lay this information aside and judge this case solely on
12	evidence as presented here in open court?
13	A. Yes, I do.
14	Q. You feel you have such a fixed belief that it would
15	you just couldn't set it aside?
16	A. No.
17	MR. ROBINSON: We would challenge, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Any objection?
19	MR. REMAKLUS: We don't object, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right, we appreciate your frankness,
21	Mrs. Benson. We will excuse you, then, and appreciate your
22	time you spent.
23	You will be subject to call on other cases by the
24	Jury Commissioner. We don't have a specific date for you to
25	appear, but the Jury Commissioner may call you to come and serve
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

1 on other cases. 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: Thank you. 4 All right, the stand-by juror is 123, Robert Yost. 5 I'll have the Clerk draw another stand-by juror. 6 7 ROBERT W. YOST, 8 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 9 the stand and testified as follows: 10 11 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 12 BY THE COURT: 13 Mr. Yost, I want to ask you a few questions first 14 and then each of the lawyers will want to examine you. 15 Everything we state has to be reported by the Court Reporter 16 sitting here (indicating) if you will speak loudly enough so 17 he can hear you and report what you say. 18 Okay. A. 19 I want to visit with you for just a minute about 20 the procedure we're going to use with the jury once we completely 21 select the jury and get started with taking evidence in the trial. 22 At that point we'll do what we call sequester the jury; which 23 means the jurors won't be able to go home during the recesses 24 or in the evening but we'd house them in a motel. We have made 25 arrangements at the Stardust to do this, take the meals at

1 restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will 2 continue for the duration of the trial. 3 None of us know right now how long the trial will 4 take, we estimate, maybe three weeks, that's, probably, a 5 reasonable estimate. 6 Bearing in mind this procedure, we're wondering 7 if there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, 8 your work situation, that makes it impossible for you to do 9 this, or create an extreme hardship. 10 Now, we now know, at the outset, it's going to be 11 inconvenient so we don't talk in terms of convenience, but in 12 terms of whether there's anything in your particular situation 13 that would just make this impossible to do. 14 My employers don't pick up any of my wages that 15 I do lose --16 I see. 17 -- would be the only thing. A. 18 For whom do you work? 19 ASARCO at the Galena Mine. Then I teach school A. 20 part time too. 21 Will you -- I take it they can arrange a substitute 22 teacher or are you a standard --23 I'm just a part-time teacher. A. 24 You are a part-time teacher? 0. 25 And I don't get paid for that either because I'm 7

1,312 YOST, R., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1 not salaried. 2 Well, this, depending upon the particular 3 arrangements with the employer, we recognize some jurors are 4 going to be in worse shape than others, losing money. I 5 suppose there are some employers, perhaps, that make this up 6 and others don't. I work for the only mine in the district that 8 doesn't. 9 That doesn't do it, is that right? 10 A. Um-hmm. 11 I guess that sort of creates a problem. If we Q. 12 let all the people off jury duty that work for that mine I 13 quess pretty soon all the mines would take that out of the 14 contracts. 15 I doubt that they'd take it out. It's in the 16 contract, they can't take them out. 17 Is your own financial condition such that this 18 is just going to create -- nobody likes to lose money, but --19 It would if it went for a lengthy period of time. 20 It would just depend if it went for just like a two or three 21 week period there would be no problem. 22 You could handle that? 23 A. I could. 24 Well, as I say, we don't know for sure, but that's, 25 I think, a reasonable estimate.

1,313 YOST, R., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

- A. Financially I could stand that.
- Q. If that is the only problem?
- A. Financially, yes.
- Q. All right. Let's move onto another subject, then, Mr. Yost.

Under our system of justice and philosophy of criminal law a criminal in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until proved beyond a reasonable doubt to be guilty by the State and put the burden on the State to prove the guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

and defined to you -- to the jurors later on in the trial and in my instructions. For the present purposes, what it means is that the defendant doesn't have to do anything to prove his innocence under this system, or philosophy. That burden is on the State to prove him guilty. The practical effect right now is that he must be considered innocent as he sits here today if this principle of law is applied and we do require that it be applied.

Of course, you recognize that once the evidence comes in, the case is fully submitted to the jury, then the jurors weigh the evidence and make a determination based on the evidence and the law. But, right now before any evidence has been heard the law cloaks Mr. Creech with a presumption of innocence that we have to give him at this point in the trial.

Now, is there anything that would prevent you from giving the defendant here the full benefits of this principle and consider him innocent right now?

- A. I've read a great deal about this trial.
- Q. Have you?
- A. Yes, before the changing of venue up here I read a considerable amount on it. Other than that I could.
- Q Let me just pursue that a little. We would like to know just what you remember about any reported facts you have read or heard about the case; in other words, sort of asking you to test your memory to see how -- what stands out in your memory and what you can actually report of the purported facts that you have read or heard about the case.
- A. My father-in-law has access to the Boise Statesman.
  - O. I see.
- And I've read a considerable amount about the future of this -- outcome of this trial, possibility of being extradited to Oregon, particularly Eugene, Oregon, and actually him being taken to other states also where other bodies have been shown and dug up.
- Q I see. You feel that, based on this information you have received, that you have formed at this time an unqualified opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant?

	1	A. Yes, I have, Your Honor.
	2	Ω You feel that it would be difficult, or impossible
	3	for you to set this aside and judge the case solely on the
	4	evidence produced here in court?
	5	A. Yes, I do.
	6	MR. ROBINSON: I would challenge, Your Honor.
	7	THE COURT: Resist the challenge?
4	8	MR. THOMAS: I would like to ask a couple of questions
	9	if I may, Your Honor.
4	10	THE COURT: Go ahead.
	11	
	12	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
	13	BY MR. THOMAS:
	14	Q. Mr. Yost, when you say it's impossible for you to
	15	come into this trial with an open mind, are you saying that
	16	you feel that you would be completely unable to put aside what
	17	you've heard and look at the evidence objectively in this case?
	18	A. Well, I could look at the evidence objectively but
	19	I already have an opinion formed so I mean, I couldn't
	20	change that.
	21	Q. Well, would it be possible for you to consider the
	22	possibility at least that your opinion may be wrong if the
	23	material that you read in the newspaper may be wrong?
	24	A. I don't think they could all be wrong, really,
	25	not when I've read it well, other than the Boise Statesman

the Spokesman Review also carried the same information and I don't believe they are wrong.

- Q. What information are you thinking of in particular?
- A Specifically Eugene, Oregon, where the body was supposedly found in the same area that Mr. Creech lived. I believe it was in Nevada where -- for one instance he was staying and bodies were shown and they were dug up.
- Q. Now, the charge in this case, Mr. Yost, is First Degree Murder and relates to two specific instances.
  - A. In the State of Idaho.
- Q. Could you take a look at that evidence and make a determination, objectively putting out of your mind any of these other considerations about whether this man did that or not?
- A. Yes, I believe I could just on the evidence, based in the State of Idaho.
- Q. In other words, you feel you could go into this trial if you were a juror and look at the question presented to -- namely whether the defendant was guilty of this crime charged and find him innocent if you didn't find enough evidence that convinced you beyond a reasonable doubt he was guilty of the two murders in the first degree with which he's charged?
- A. For the State of Idaho? Yes. But, the thing is,
  I still honestly believe that my own opinion is -- over the
  whole material, the evidence, you know, everything brought into

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 BETTY M. BARR,

2

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

4

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

Mrs. Barr, I want to ask you a few questions first

5

BY THE COURT:

7

Q.

8

and then the attorneys will want to ask you a few. Everything

9

we say here has to be reported by the Court Reporter sitting

10

here (indicating) so if you will please speak loudly enough

11

for him to hear you and he can't report a nod, so you will have

12

to answer audibly to the questions you are asked.

13

A. Yes, sir.

14

Q. I want to visit with you for just a minute about the procedure we're going to follow with the jurors once we

15 16

get under way as far as taking evidence and the jury has been

17

selected. At that point we're going to do what we call

18

sequester the jury; which means the jurors won't be able to go

19

home during recesses, during the eveing. They will be housed

20

in a motel and make arrangements at the Stardust for this

21 22

purpose and take their meals at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will continue for the

23

duration of the trial.

24

Right now we don't know exactly how long the trial will last. Our estimate is three weeks, that is, probably,

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a reasonable estimate.

We need to know whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, work situation involved in that, that would make this impossible for you, or create an extreme hardship. We recognize it will be inconvenient for all jurors, but we can't phrase it in terms of convenience, extreme hardship or real serious problems.

- A. No problems, Your Honor.
- Q. You can handle the situation?
- A. Yes.
- Q. We'll go on to something else, then.

Under our system of justice and philosophy of criminal law a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt by the State. These terms will be defined and explained in more detail later on in the course of the trial.

But, for the present purposes, probably enough to tell you the effect of this is that the defendant doesn't have to do anything to prove he's innocent because the law cloaks him with the presumption of innocence at this stage of the proceeding, you understand?

- A. I understand.
- Q. Obviously, when the evidence comes in and case is fully submitted then the jurors will have to weigh that evidence under the law and determine the issue. Right at this

stage, before any evidence has been heard or presented, as I say, the defendant, Mr. Creech, must be considered to be innocent, if we follow this philosophy, which you are -- we are required under our principle of law.

Just wondering whether you are able at this time to give Mr. Creech the full benefit of this principle and consider him innocent as he sits here at this stage of the proceeding?

A. I am.

Q. Have you heard or read anything about this case in the newspapers or on radio or TV or from any other source?

A. I read what was in the paper here about the change of venue when it was scheduled up here and I heard it mentioned once in Boise last spring. That's all.

Q. Can you just -- we do need to, probably, pursue a little what you distinctly remember at this point about any purported facts about the case or about Mr. Creech that you've heard. Do you have a memory of any specific purported facts about the case or Mr. Creech that you heard from these sources or read?

A. No, I don't. I don't remember -- my brother was talking about it but I wasn't really listening because I hadn't read about it myself before I went there and I didn't know what he was talking about and I said "What are you talking about"?

He said "Oh, that trial at Cascade".

1 I said "Oh". My sister-in-law and I were 2 talking and that's all I heard. 3 Q. That was the extent of it? 4 A. Yes. 5 I take it what you're saying is you haven't formed 6 any opinion as of guilt or innocence? 7 I really don't know anything about it. 8 Go on to another subject, then, Mrs. Barr. 9 Under our Idaho law neither the jury nor the Judge 10 plays any part, or has any discretion in the determining what 11 penalty should be imposed for First Degree Murder. The 12 legislature has passed a law that takes that completely out of 13 the hands of the jury and the Judge. The legislature has 14provided that the death penalty is mandatory for First Degree 15 Murder. 16 I say that's the legislative determination and 17 they just don't leave the jury or the Judge any discretion in 18 that regard if a defendant is convicted of First Degree Murder. 19 Now, knowing this to be the law I'm wondering 20 whether you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the 21 death penalty which would just prevent you from considering a 22 First Degree Murder conviction under the evidence? 23 No, I don't. A. 24 You feel you could, in spite of this, fairly weigh 25 the evidence under the law and make a determination on guilt or

1 innocence? 2 Try. A. 3 It will be the function of the jury to determine 4 the facts, weigh the credibility of witnesses and determine 5 the weight to be given to the evidence introduced. It's up 6 to the Judge to determine what the law is and instruct the jury 7 on the law. Occasionally I think jurors are surprised, 8 perhaps, what some of the law they are instructed on is and 9 maybe not -- disagree with it at times. 10 However, under their oath, they are required to 11 accept the law as given by the Court. Could you do this? 12 A. Yes. 13 Do you know of anything else that I haven't 14 touched on that would prevent you from being a fair and 15 impartial juror to both sides in this case? 16 A. No. 17 THE COURT: Counsel may inquire. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1,323 BARR, B., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. REMAKLUS:
3	Q Mrs. Barr, you've told the Judge that you could
4	make these arrangements to be away?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. For any time this might take?
7	A. That's fine.
8	Q. I see your children are grown and away from home
9	now?
10	A. Yes, they are both married and gone.
11	Q. What do they do for a living?
12	A. My son is a chemical metallurgist for U.S. Borax
13	Corporation in Anaheim, California. My daughter is a
14	graduate student at the University of Idaho in bacteriology.
15	Q. Your husband has a highly skilled position; doesn't
16	he?
17	A. He's manager of the metallurgy department for
18	Sunshine Mining Company.
19	Q. What's your educational background?
20	A. I have a high school education.
21	Q. Um-hmm. You and Mr. Barr have any hobbies?
22	A. Oh, camping and, you know, I do some needlework
23	and that's about it.
24	Q. Do you are you active in social work,
25	volunteer work in your community?

1	A. Not very, no. I have done a little with the
2	children in that school there in Kellogg, but not very much,
3	transporting children.
4	Q. Um-hmm. You take the Spokane papers?
5	A. Yes, the Spokesman Review.
- 6	Q. Um-hmm. Do you take other magazines?
7	A. Magazines, yes.
. 8	Q What kind of reading do you and your husband enjoy?
9	A. Well, we take Time magazine and Sports Illustrated
10	and the Smithsonian magazine, National Geographic. I take
11	some ladies' magazine, paper from Women's Wear Daily and let
12	me think. Good Housekeeping, McCalls.
_ 13	Q. Do you folks watch television, Mrs. Barr?
14	A. Well, some, but not a lot. My husband likes the
15	sporting events.
16	Q. Um-hmm. These lawyer programs wouldn't be
17	misleading to you, then, if you watched any of them?
18	A. I never watch them.
19	Q. If you are selected here, Mrs. Barr, there will be,
20	I'm sure, long and detailed instructions that you'll be
21	instructed to follow very closely and you will have to accept
22	the Judge's instructions as being the law in the case. You
23	wouldn't have any difficulty with that, I'm sure, would you?
24	A. No.
25	MR. REMAKLUS: We'll pass the juror for cause,
HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R 30940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705	1,325 BARR, B., VOIR DIRE.

1	Your Honor.
2	
3	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. ROBINSON:
5	Q. Mrs. Barr, I'm going to be asking you some
6	questions just like the Judge and Mr. Remaklus did.
7	We're not intending to pry into your private
8	affairs, necessarily, it's just that we have to elicit
9	answers to questions so that we can do our job and that job is
10	to select an unbiased and unprejudiced jury. So, will you take
11	my questions in that light?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q All right. With what church are you affiliated?
14	A. None.
15	Q. Do you believe in God?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q Do you believe that Satan exists?
18	A. Not really, no.
19	<ol> <li>And have you heard any conversations about</li> </ol>
20	witchcraft being practiced here in the Shoshone County,
21	Silver Valley area?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Do you know whether or not a John Birch Society
24	exists here in North Idaho?
25	A. Yes, I believe it does.

1	Q.	Where?
2	ā,	Well, I don't know if it does right now. I know
3	we were invi	ted to a meeting one time at the high school. It's
4	been several	years ago and I assume it's still in force, but I
5	really don't	know for sure.
6	Ω.	Are you a member?
7	λ.	No.
8	Ω	Do you receive any of their literature?
9	A.	Not that I knew that it was John Birch literature,
10	no.	
11	Ω.	Have you or any member of your family, close
12	friends, been	n victims of any crimes?
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	Any members of your family run afoul of the law,
15	charged with	any crimes
16	A.	No.
17	Q.	barring traffic citations, things of that
18	nature?	
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	Have you been around any persons who have used
21	drugs and na	rcotics?
22	A.	Not that I knew that they were.
23	Q.	Do you have a basic prejudice against persons
24	who have made	e use of drugs and narcotics?
25	A.	I really don't know about that. I've never been

1,329

BARR, B., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

0940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 1 Your Honor.

MR. THOMAS: We resist the challenge, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mrs. Barr, I want to hear some argument on this problem. It would be better if we took it up out of your presence if you'd step back in the jury room and don't discuss the questioning here with the other jurors please.

We'll call you when we're ready to proceed and we'll want you to come back out.

(Prospective juror left the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Well, I'm concerned about this line of questioning. This is about the second or third time it's happened, Mr. Robinson. I'm not sure this matter will come to the attention of jurors except to the extent you've brought it to their attention and certainly has not been the State or Court that's brought it to their attention. You are the one that's done it.

Now, if this does come in as evidence in the case it will be entirely proper for the jurors to consider it in weighing their evidence because if it comes in it will be relevant evidence and material evidence or it won't get in. If it gets in they'll have to weigh it because it will be evidence for them to consider. So, I don't see that a juror can be disqualified because they say they are going to consider relevant evidence that's admitted in the case and that will affect their verdict. It's supposed to if it's admitted.

If it doesn't get admitted, then they shouldn't consider it. But, it appears to me you are bringing it to their attention without it, maybe, even getting in evidence and that disturbs me, frankly, and I'm not going to challenge a juror because you bring something to their attention that nobody else does.

Now, I don't think it's been really shown here that apart from what -- if it is relevant and material evidence, apart from the point of them really considering it and weighing it with the other evidence that you've shown that they could give any undue weight to it; or that they would really relieve the State of its burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

I have a hard time drawing the line between proper consideration of this evidence if it gets before them and the improper consideration. I'm of the opinion it wouldn't get before them unless, at least as far as I'm concerned, unless you bring it before them yourself. I'm not going to let the State prove -- unless it's so intrinsically connected with other evidence to prove other offenses and things in this case. I've already told Counsel that.

So, for that reason I'm inclined to deny the challenge but I'll hear what you have to say about it.

MR. ROBINSON: I'm weighing the Court's words,
Your Honor. I'm looking at the overall defense in this case

4 5

and I agree with the Court that it is a very thin hairline circumstance and, of course, anticipating the defense I know that this information will be a part of the testimony.

THE COURT: If it is then it has to be weighed by the jury along with everything else that comes in and considered by them and it will be proper for them to do that. Otherwise it shouldn't be let into evidence.

MR. ROBINSON: I agree with the Court's observations and I appreciate them, Judge Durtschi. There are many people, of course, who do use drugs that don't get involved in any killing. The point that I'm trying to arrive at is whether or not the prejudice is so strong in a juror on the use of drugs in itself that this would tend to affect their judgment when they are possibly sitting on the fence, to make a decision as to whether or not Tom Creech did in fact kill these two that he is charged with.

THE COURT: Let me just interrupt you and say this:

The fact that people are prejudiced against drugs per se to me doesn't disqualify them as jurors. I am prejudiced against the use of drugs too and I think most ordinary citizens are and I don't think that disqualifies them to sit as jurors unless that prejudice would go to the extent that if such evidence came in properly and it was to be considered it would so overrule them that they would find the defendant guilty of any crime even though it wasn't the charge of a drug crime.

I don't think that's really been established that just -- that this prospective juror, just because she has a personal prejudice against the use of drugs and that she would let that lessen the burden on the State and find the defendant guilty of First Degree Murder because of that prejudice. You haven't established that yet through your questioning as far as I'm concerned.

MR. ROBINSON: Um-hmm.

THE COURT: It seems to me that's the real crux of the thing you have to establish. I'll grant the challenge if that is once established that her prejudice is so strong in this regard that she'd -- if drug evidence came before her and she found out about it she'd convict him with any crime he was charged with; whether it related to drugs or not. But, I don't think that's been established.

MR. ROBINSON: No, Your Honor, and I'm sure from the answers that Mrs. Barr has given to us that I could go to that extent and I would still come up with an evasive -- but I, of course, must go into this line of questioning to evaluate the use of my pre-emptories.

THE COURT: I'm not saying you can't, but we're faced with a challenge here of a juror because you opened it up and gone into it and I'm not inclined to grant that challenge. If you want to go into those matters to test whether you should exercise a pre-emptory challenge that's fine, I'm not

criticising you for that at all. But, you are asking me to release her for cause, not on a pre-emptory challenge.

MR. ROBINSON: I believe, Your Honor, I probably have gone as far with Mrs. Barr that I dare go without destroying an effectiveness if she is not pre-emptory challenged and it's a thin hairline as the Court well realizes that if pushed overboard, then if necessary I would have to use a pre-emptory.

THE COURT: Well, does the State want to add anything?
MR. THOMAS: I don't think so, Your Honor.

MR. REMAKLUS: No, not at this point. This point has been bothering us in our evening discussions of how far we should permit this kind of questioning to go but, no, we have no further comment at this point.

THE COURT: My position is simply this, Mr. Robinson.

The mere fact that you established that a juror is prejudice against the use of drugs, to me, doesn't qual -- disqualify that person as a juror in and of itself. Likewise the fact that if you represent to them this is going to come into evidence and they say, well, they are going to consider that evidence and weigh it, doesn't disqualify them because that would be their duty to do that. I think it has to go to the point where they will say that their prejudice goes to the extent that they would simply convict such a defendant of anything he's charged with; whether it relates to drugs or not if they found out about that and I don't think we've really reached that point with this

1 juror. 2 I want to ask her a couple of questions and I'll 3 bring her back and ask her the questions and then you can 4 pursue it again if you want to. 5 MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you, sir. 6 THE COURT: Bring Mrs. Barr back. 7 (Whereupon the prospective juror re-entered the 8 courtroom.) 9 10 FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 11 BY THE COURT: 12 Mrs. Barr, I just want to pursue this matter a O. 13 couple of questions of my own here in relation to your feeling 14 about the use of drugs and how it might affect your deliberations 15 or weighing the evidence in this case. 16 Let me first state to you, of course, if such 17 evidence comes before you it will only come before you in the 18 trial because the Court has determined that it's relevant and 19 material and to that extent it isn't improper for you to 20 consider it and weigh it for the purposes for which it's 21 offered. You understand that? 22 A. Yes. The point we're concerned about is whether your 23 24 feeling about the use of drugs which, if it should come out 25 that if this is involved in this case and is relevant and

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise. Idaho 83705

1,335 BARR, B., VOIR DIRE.

material for some reason, if it should come up, whether your feeling is so strong about this that just this one item of evidence, regardless of the purposes for which it was offered, or the point to which it's relevant would create such a feeling of prejudice in your mind that you would simply relieve the State of its burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt; bearing in mind that the charge here doesn't have anything, per se, to do with the use of drugs, it isn't a drug charge or drug offense. It's murder in the first degree, whether you feel this assertion and feeling against drugs is so strong that you would just simply not require the State to prove him quilty of this particular charge of murder beyond a reasonable doubt and would tend to convict him of that charge without it being proved beyond a reasonable doubt just because he used drugs. Oh, no, I don't think so. I can understand a habit, I guess. I smoke like a fiend myself and it would not just because -- what you are saying is that I would think a man guilty just because he mentioned drugs? Yes, guilty of murder. 0.

- A. Oh --
- o. Not guilty of the use of drugs, guilty of murder.
- 3. Oh, I see. Well, no, I guess not.
- Q. In other words, this evidence may, if it comes in at all before you, Mrs. Barr, it will have to be relevant to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Fred Patano. 2 I'll have the Clerk draw another stand-by juror. FRED R. PATANO, 5 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 6 the stand and testified as follows: 7 8 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 9 BY THE COURT: 10 Mr. Patano? 0. 11 Yes. 12 I'm going to ask you a few questions, Mr. Patano, 13 and then the attorneys would have some. Everything we say has 14 to be reported by the Court Reporter, so if you will speak 15 loudly so he can hear you and he can't get nods if you don't 16 answer audibly. 17 I want to first discuss with you the procedure 18 we're going to use with the jurors once we get under way as far 19 as taking evidence and getting the trial proper. 20 When we reach that point the jury will be 21 sequestered; which means the jurors won't be able to go home 22 during recesses at night, we'll house the jurors in a motel. 23 Arrangements have been made at the Stardust for this and we'll 24 take their meals at restaurants under the supervision of the 25 Bailiffs. This will continue during -- for the duration of

1 the trial. 2 None of us know how long the trial will take right now. We estimate three weeks and that is, probably, a reasonable 3 4 estimate. 5 The first thing we need to know is whether there's 6 anything in your personal life or home situation, your work 7 situation, that would make this impossible for you to do or 8 create an extreme hardship. 9 Now, we know it's going to be inconvenient, but 10 we don't talk about convenience, but whether there's something 11 particularly in your situation that would just create a real 12 severe situation for you if you had to do this. 13 Well, I would think in my line of business it 14 would be an extreme hardship because I own a bar in Kellogg and 15 I happen to work it in the 13 hours a day and it would -- I 16 would have to hire somebody, two people to replace me. I work 17 during the lunch and, then, I tend bar every night and I would 18 have to hire two people. I have only been in business for six 19 months and I don't really know if I could afford to do it. 20 Is this a sole proprietorship? 21 Yes. It was a partnership up to about three weeks 22 ago and I dissolved the partnership and I am now the sole 23 proprietor. 24 It means that you'd just have to close the entire 25 business down unless you hired somebody; is that what it means?

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q. Mr. Charles, I want to ask you a few questions first and then the attorneys will, perhaps, have some questions. Everything we say has to be reported by the Court Reporter so if you will speak loudly enough for him to hear you and he can't get a nod so you'll have to answer audibly, okay?

A. Okay.

Q I want to visit with you first about how we'll handle the jurors during this trial once we get started taking evidence. The jury is completely selected and sworn; at that point the jury will be sequestered; this means that they won't be able to go home at recesses or in the evening, the jury will be housed in a motel. We've made arrangements at the Stardust for that. They'll take their meals at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will go on for the duration of the trial.

Now, we don't know -- no one knows at this point how long the trial will last. Our estimate is about three weeks and that's, probably, a reasonable estimate.

1 The first thing we need to know is whether there's 2 anything in your personal life, your home situation or work 3 situation that would make this impossible for you or be an 4 extreme hardship. Now, we know at the outset it's going to be inconvenient for all the jurors so we're not just talking about 6 mere inconvenience, but we want to know whether there's some 7 special hardship that you'd be subjected to because of this. 8 A. No. 9 You feel you could handle the situation all 0.

A. Yes.

All right. We'll go on to something else, then.

Mr. Charles, under our system of justice and philosophy of criminal law a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt and the burden is on the State to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Now, those terms will be defined for you later on in the trial in more detail. But, for the present purposes what this means is that the defendant doesn't have to do anything to prove his innocence. The burden is on the State to prove him guilty, see?

Now, of course, when the evidence has all been presented and the case is finally submitted to the jury then the jury will have to weigh that evidence under the law and make a decision to determine guilt or innocence under the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right?

day?

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1,344 CHARLES, D., VOIR DIRE.

1 Under our system it is the function of the jury 2 to determine the facts to test the -- weigh the credibility 3 of witnesses and weight to be given to the evidence. The 4 function of the Judge is to explain the law to the jury. I 5 think, sometimes, jurors find that they are surprised by what 6 may be some principle of law that the Judge instructs them on 7 and they might even find out they disagree sometimes with 8 some of those principles. But, under their oath they are 9 responsible to accept the law as it is explained by the Court. 10 Do you feel you could do this in good conscience? 11 A. Yes. 12 Do you know of anything that -- whether I've 13 asked you or not, that would prevent you from being a fair 14 and impartial juror to both sides in this case? 15 No. 16 THE COURT: Counsel may examine. 17 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MR. REMAKLUS: 20 Mr. Charles, where is Murray, where you live? Is it in the mountains? 21 22 Yes. ā. 23 Is it a small place? 0. 24 Λ. Yes. 25 According to your questionnaire you've only been 0

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 CHARLES, D., VOIR DIRE.

1.345

1	here in the State of Idaho for four years, is that right?
2	A. Yes.
3	O. Where did you live before you came here,
4	Mr. Charles?
5	A. Illinois.
6	O. And did you come out here with your mother and
7	father?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What kind of what does your father do for a
10	living?
11	A. He's an operating engineer. He runs a Cat.
12	0. Um-hmm. And who does he work for?
13	A. That's a good question.
14	Q. Is it a mining company or road construction?
15	A. It's union.
16	Q. Um-hmm. And what is your job with the Forest
17	Service?
18	A. I'm a crew boss.
19	Q. And are you kind of you build roads or trails
20	or something?
21	A. No, piling brush and burn cat piles and that.
22	Q. How long have you been a boss up there?
23	A. About four months.
24	Q Is that a year-round job?
25	A. No. I work from April to December.
- 1	· ·

П	
_ 1	Q But, you would be working today if you weren't
2	here, right?
_ 3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Of course, with working for the government you
5	can you are not jeopardizing your job by being away, are
6	you?
7	A. No.
8	0. What is your educational background, Mr. Charles?
9	A. Well, I didn't finish high school, I went to the
10	eleventh grade.
11	O. Um-hmm. Was that back in Illinois?
12	A. No, this was here.
13	Q Um-hmm. What do you live with your folks now?
14	A. Yes.
15	Ω Do you have brothers and sisters that are younger
16	than you?
17	A. Now, I'm the youngest. I've got four brothers
18	and one sister.
19	Q Um-hmm. Do they live in this area?
20	A. I've got a brother that lives in Pinehurst and
21	I've got a brother that lives down from us, about seven miles.
22	Q. What kind of work do they do?
23	A. My oldest brother that's here, he's laid off
24	from the mines, got a back injury. My other brother, he works
25	at the Lucky Friday.

			120 120 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
1		Q.	Um-hmm. Have any of your family or any of your
2	friends 1	had	any been in court or had any trouble with the
3	law?		
4	1	Α.	No.
5		Q.	What kind of hobbies do you have?
6	1	A.	None, just working on cars.
7		Q.	Do you hunt and fish and do things like that?
8	i	A.	Yeah.
9		Q.	Do you go out camping?
10		Α.	Not very seldom.
11		Q.	Of course, you live out in the hills, you don't
12	have to	go c	amping, do you? Do you take the daily papers up
13	there?		
14		ā.	Yeah, but it is always a day late.
15		Q.	Um-hmm.
16		Λ.	I never read it anyway.
17		Ω	Um-hmm. Do you folks watch television in the
18	evenings	?	
19		A.	Yeah, when I'm home.
20	*	Q.	Do you subscribe to any kind of magazines?
21		A.	Yeah, I think they do, sports magazines and
22	Time.		
23	8	Ű.	Do you read those at home?
24		ñ.	No.
25		Ω.	What do you buy any magazines when you go to
	1		

## 1 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. ROBINSON: 3 Mr. Charles, has any member of your family, your Q. 4 good friends, been victims of any crimes? 5 Ē. No. Do you believe in God? 6 0. 7. Yes. Do you believe that Satan exists? The devil? 8 0. 9 I don't know, that's a hard question. A. Mr. Charles, you know yourself better than 10 0. anyone else in this courtroom knows you. Is there anything 11 in your personality or your make-up that you would feel that 12 would disqualify you to sit as a juror in this case? 13 14 No. You feel you are unbiased, unprejudiced and 15 if selected as a juror you can give a fair trial in this 16 17 matter? Yes. 18 A. MR. ROBINSON: We'd pass for cause, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Charles, we will ask you to step 20 back into the jury room, then, and wait there and ask you not 21 to discuss the questions that's taken place here with any of 22 the other jurors as they come in. Thank you. 23 The stand-by juror is number 51, Wayne Jacobs. 24 I'll have the Clerk draw another stand-by juror. 25

## WAYNE L. JACOBS,

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

- Q. Mr. Jacobs, I'm going to ask you a few questions first here and then the attorneys will each ask you some questions. Everything we say has to be reported by the Court Reporter who is sitting right here (indicating) so, if you will answer loudly enough for him to hear you and not nod your head. He can't get nods, okay?
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. Can you hear me all right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q All right. I want to visit with you first about how we'll handle the jurors as far as the procedure once this trial gets started as far as taking evidence. When the jury is completely selected and we reach that point we'll do what we call sequester the jury; which means the jurors won't be able to go home at recesses or in the evening. The jurors will be housed at a motel, we've made arrangements at the Stardust for this. They will take their meals at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will continue for the duration of the trial.

We don't know at this point how long the trial will last. Our best guess is about three weeks and that's probably a reasonable estimate.

The first thing we have to know is whether there is anything in your personal life, your home situation or work situation that would make it impossible for you to do this; to serve under those circumstances, or it would create an extreme hardship. I know -- we know it's going to be inconvenient for all jurors, so I don't ask in terms of mere convenience but whether there's something in your situation that would simply make it a real serious matter for you.

- A. No, sir.
- Q. You can handle this kind of a situation, then?
- A. Yeah, I guess I could, yes.
- Q. All right. Move to another subject, then.

Under our system of justice and philosophy of criminal law a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt by the State. In other words, this puts the burden on the State to go forward with the evidence and prove the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Those terms will be explained to you in more detail when I give you my instructions at the end of the trial.

But, for the present purposes what it means is

that the defendant doesn't have to do anything in this trial to prove his innocence. The burden is on the State to prove him guilty. You understand that?

A. Um-hmm.

Q. It also means that as he sits here right now this principle requires him to be considered to be innocent. Now, of course, you understand that when the evidence comes in and when the case is fully submitted the jury will then have to weigh the evidence and under the instructions of the Court and determine whether guilt has been established by the -- beyond a reasonable doubt and determine guilt or innocence at that time.

But right now, before any evidence has come in and as Mr. Creech sits here this morning he has to be considered innocent. Now, are you able to give him the full benefit of this principle right now and treat him as innocent?

- A. Well, I really don't know. I mean, do I have to answer yes or no? I really don't know.
- Q. Let me ask you first, do you have any quarrel with this general philosophy or principle of law that I've just explained to you?

A. No.

Q. In other words, you don't have any real quarrel or question about the principle that says a defendant is innocent until the State proves him guilty beyond a reasonable

Π.		
П	1 2	doubt?
		A. No.
	3	Q. And puts the burden on the State to prove guilt
_	4	rather than putting the burden on the defendant to prove
	5	innocence?
П	6	a Yes.
	7	0 You accept that?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. I'm just wondering, is there any reason why you
	10	can't accept that principle in this particular case as far as
	11	Mr. Creech is concerned?
П	12	A. None that I can think of, no.
	13	Q. Okay. Have you read or heard anything about this
	14	case in the newspapers or on radio or TV or from individuals
	15	or any other source?
	16	A. No. All I've read is what they said, the trial
	17	was going to be up here. That's all I know.
	18	O So, right now you haven't read or heard anything
	19	that purports to be facts about this case or Mr. Creech; other
	20	than what I said in court the other day?
	21	A. No.
	22	Q. Your mind is just completely open, then, as far as
	23	this case is concerned right now?
	24	A. I've never read anything until I read that piece
	25	in the Spokane paper the other day.

Yes.

Ā.

0.

24

25

Okay. The legislature has said if the jury

disagree with some principle. But, they do have a duty under

25

Ī	
1	their oath to accept the law as stated by the Court. Is there
2	any reason why you couldn't do that?
3	A. Not first of all I don't know that Idaho had
4	the death penalty. I really didn't.
5	Q. But, I'll be instructing you on lots of principles
6	of law in this case.
7	A. Yes, sir.
_ 8	Q I'll be defining terms. Do you have any
9	philosophy or anything that would prevent you from accepting the
10	law from me and letting me tell you what it is?
11	A. No.
12	Q You'd be willing to do that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Do you know of anything that would prevent you
15	from being a fair and impartial juror to both sides in this
16	case?
17	A. No.
18	THE COURT: Counsel may inquire.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	,
25	*

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. THOMAS:
3	Q. Mr. Jacobs, if you felt that the evidence in this
4	case that was put on and that you considered proved beyond a
5	reasonable doubt that the defendant was guilty, you feel that
6	you could vote to convict him; even though the death penalty
7	might be mandatory for First Degree Murder conviction?
8	A. I think so, yes.
9	Q. And I take it that you would be able and willing to
10	concentrate on whether or not the evidence shows guilt or
11	innocence rather than considering the penalty?
12	A. I think so, yes.
13	Q. You understand from what the Judge has explained,
14	that the jury does not
15	A. Right.
16	Q is not concerned with the penalty in this case?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. The jury just determines whether the defendant is
19	guilty or innocent of First Degree Murder.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you feel you can give the State, as well as the
22	defendant, a fair trial?
23	A. I think so, yes.
24	Q. Do you watch programs on television which
25	dramatize lawyers' work or courtroom activities?
-	

1 an absolute certainty, do you? No, I don't think so, no. 3 I think the Court will instruct you, if you serve 4 on the jury, that a reasonable doubt means just that; a doubt 5 that's reasonable and not just an imaginary doubt that anybody 6 can drum up. 7 If you are on the jury it will be up to you to 8 determine whether you are certain in your mind that the 9 defendant is guilty; that is, that the charges against him have 10 been proved beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you feel in your mind 11 any confusion about the difference between a reasonable doubt 12 and an imaginary doubt? 13 Yes, I do. What's a reasonable doubt? 14 I think the Court will give you some instructions, 15 but what I'm trying to get at is, you understand that it's not 16 just any doubt that will acquit a defendant, it has to be 17 reasonable. You understand that? 18 A. Yes. 19 And you understand that it is possible to create an 20 imaginary doubt? 21 Well, that's true, yes. 22 Or a fanciful doubt and that's not what we're 23 talking about when we talk about reasonable doubt. Do you get 24 that distinction up to this point? 25 A. Yes. HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1,361 JACOBS, W., VOIR DIRE.

1	Q. The Court will give you more detailed instructions
2	at a later time.
3	We don't wish to pry into your personal affairs,
4	Mr. Jacobs, but there are a few questions we'd like to ask about
5	your background so we can get a little better idea of what your
6	background is. So, if you'd just bear with us for a moment or
7	two on this
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. Where were you born?
10	A. In Genesee, Idaho.
11	Q. You've lived in Idaho since that time?
12	A. Yes.
13	O. And what is your educational background?
14	A. High school.
15	Q. You have not gone to college?
16	A. No, sir.
17	Q. Taken any educational courses of any kind since
18	high school?
19	A. No.
20	Q. What's your religious preference?
21	A. Lutheran.
22	O. And do you attend church on a fairly regular
23	basis?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	0 Do you have any grown children, Mr. Jacobs?

=			
	1	A.	Yes, sir.
	2	Q.	What are their occupations?
-	3	A.	Two of them are students, one works at Sunshine
	4	Mining Compa	any.
ment.	5	Q.	What does he do there?
	6	Α.	He's a superintendent, operator of the antimony
	7	plant.	
	8	Ω.	Do you know any of the attorneys who appear in this
	9	case?	
	10	А.	No, sir.
	11	Q.	Do you know of any of your friends or relatives
	12	that may kno	ow any of the attorneys who are participating in this
-	13	case?	
	14	Λ.	Not that I know of.
1010	15	Q.	Do you know, or any of your family members or
	16	close friend	ds been in any legal difficulties; either in
	17	criminal cas	ses or in civil cases?
	18	A.	No, sir.
	19	Ω	Have you ever been a witness in a criminal case?
	20	А.	No, sir.
	21	Ω	Have you had any past jury experience?
	22	ñ.	Yes, sir.
	23	Q.	What kind of case was it that you
	24	А.	It was a civil case.
	25	Q.	Was a civil case?

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 1,363 JACOBS, W., VOIR DIRE.

П	1	L. Yes.
	2	0. What was involved in that
Т	3	A. It was an automobile accident.
T	4	Q. Personal injury accident?
Ţ	5	h. Yes.
т	6	Q. Who won?
	7	A. Gosh, it's been so long ago I don't remember.
Т	8	O Do you do any volunteer work of any kind?
1	9	A. No.
T	10	Q. If the evidence in the case demonstrated to you
) I.	11	that the victims were not nice people; that is, the people who
I	12	were killed, would that tend to make you feel that murder was
П	13	excused, or was less serious?
Ц	14	A. No.
	15	Q. Have you ever discussed this case with anybody
	16	who believed, or expressed an opinion, that the defendant was
	17	either guilty or innocent?
П	18	A. No, sir.
	19	MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Jacobs. Pass the juror for
П	20	cause.
1-1	21	MR. ROBINSON: If I may, Your Honor?
П	22	THE COURT: Yes.
	23	
	24	
	25	

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 1,364 JACOBS, W., VOIR DIRE.

	1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
	2	BY MR. ROBINSON:
	3	Q Mr. Jacobs, have any members of your family,
	4	relatives, close friends, ever been victims of crime?
	5	A. No, sir.
	6	Q. Do you believe in God?
	7	A. Yes, sir.
	8	Q. Do you believe that Satan exists?
	9	A. No, sir.
	10	Q. Have you heard any conversations you've had with
	11	your fellow workers and family, anything about witchcraft being
	12	performed here in the Silver Valley area?
	13	A. No, I haven't.
	14	Q And, Mr. Jacobs, you know yourself better than
	15	anyone in this courtroom knows you. In looking at your own
	16	personality, do you know of anything in your personality, or
	17	make-up, that you feel would disqualify you to sit as a juror
ī	18	in this case?
	19	A. No.
1	20	MR. ROBINSON: Pass Mr. Jacobs, Your Honor.
	21	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Jacobs, I'd ask you to step
	22	back into the jury room and wait there and ask you not to
	23	discuss the questioning that's taken place here with any of the
	24	other members.
	25	All right, the stand-by juror is number 41,
	4	

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 1,365 JACOBS, W., VOIR DIRE.

1 Edwin Helfer. 2 Draw another name. 3 4 EDWIN L. HELFER, 5 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 6 the stand and testified as follows: 7 8 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 9 BY THE COURT: 10 Mr. Helfer, I want to ask you a few questions first 11 then the attorneys will ask you a few. Everything we say here 12 has to be reported by the Court Reporter so if you will speak 13 loudly enough for him to hear you, please. 14 A. Okay. 15 He can't get nods of the head so if you will answer 16 audibly each time a question is asked of you. 17 I want to visit with you first about the procedure 18 we'll follow then with the jury once the trial starts as far as taking evidence and see if this is going to create any problem 19 20 for you if you were a juror. 21 Once we reach that point, the jury is completely 22 selected and we start receiving evidence, the jury will be what 23 we call sequestered; this means the jurors will not be able to 24 go home at recesses or in the evening, the jurors will be 25 housed in a motel, at the Stardust, the jurors will take their

1,366 HELFER, E., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

1 meals at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and 2 this will continue throughout the trial until it's completed. We don't know, any of us at this point, how long 3 4 the trial will actually take, but we estimate at about three 5 weeks and that's, probably, a reasonable estimate. 6 We need to know at this point whether there's 7 anything in your personal life, your home situation and your 8 work situation that would make it impossible for you to do this 9 or create an extreme hardship. We know it will be inconvenient 10 for all the jurors so we're not going to ask if it will be 11 inconvenient. We need to know if there's anything in your 12 particular situation that would make it a real serious problem 13 for you. 14 Yes, it would, because I'm an agent and I have nobody else to take my place in my office. My wife is only 15 taking my place while I'm doing this right now and it would 16 17 really, you know, make a hardship for me to do it. What kind of an agent? What do you --18 I'm an insurance agent but I have nobody else, no 19 20 other help in my office to handle my work. In other words, you are the sole proprietor? You 21 don't have any employees at all? 22 Yes, I have my daughter only as a secretary is all. 23 24 I just virtually couldn't be away from the job for three weeks. 25 Q. Is this because of -- what kind of daily activity?

1,367 HELFER, E., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

1 Are you selling or --2 Well, no, in handling claims and --A. 3 0. Handling claims? You do all of that? 4 Right. A. 5 You just don't have anybody that could do that in 0. 6 the office? 7 A. Well, not to do the entire thing, no. Another 8 thing, I live at Prichard; which is 20 miles from here and my 9 wife doesn't drive and this provides a hardship too if I wasn't 10 around to take her home, back and forth, which my mother lives 11 with us and we have nobody to care for her too, so --12 MR. ROBINSON: I'd stipulate, Your Honor. 13 MR. REMAKLUS: He may be excused. 14 THE COURT: All right, well, under those circumstances 15 we'll excuse you, Mr. Helfer, and we appreciate your problem and 16 thank you for the time you've spent here with us. 17 THE WITNESS: All right. 18 THE COURT: You will be subject to call on future trials, perhaps shorter trials that won't involve this kind of a 19 20 problem. The Jury Commissioner will call you if you are needed. 21 THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. 22 THE COURT: The next stand-by juror is number one, 23 Jerry Adams, 24 25

1,368 HELFER, E., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

## JERRY R. ADAMS,

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q. Mr. Adams, I want to ask you a few questions first and then the attorneys will have a few questions for you. Everything we say has to be reported by the Court Reporter here so if you will answer loudly enough for him to here you and he can't get a nod of the head so if you'd answer audibly to each question.

A. Right.

Q I want to spend a minute with you here visiting about the procedure we're going to follow with the jury once we select and start taking evidence in the trial. At that time we do what we call sequester the jury; which means the jurors won't be able to go home during recesses and are housed in a motel and take their meals at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs. This will continue until the trial is over.

At this point none of us know for sure how long the trial will take. We estimate about three weeks and that's probably a reasonable estimate.

Now, understanding this procedure we need to know whether there's anything in your personal life, your home

situation or work situation that would make this impossible for you to perform as a juror under these circumstances, or create an extreme hardship. We can't talk about convenience because we know it will be inconvenient for all jurors but we need to know if there's anything that will be of a particular serious problem for you in your own situation?

- A. No.
- Q. You think you could serve under those conditions, then?
  - A. Yes.

0.

Under our philosophy of law and system of justice,
a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent
until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt and
the burden is on the State to prove his guilt beyond a
reasonable doubt. Now, those terms will be defined more fully

Fine. Turn to another subject, then, Mr. Adams.

later in the trial when I give instructions to the jury. But, for the present purposes, what it means is that the defendant doesn't have to do anything to prove his innocence because the law gives him the cloak of innocence at that stage of the

proceeding, see.

Now, of course, once the evidence is all in and the case is concluded and instructions have been given to the jury and the jury required to deliberate on its verdict, then the jurors will have to weigh this evidence and make a decision

1 on guilt or innocence and decide whether the guilt has been 2 established beyond a reasonable doubt. But, at this point in the 3 trial before any evidence has been presented in court what this 4 principle means is that Mr. Creech, the defendant, has to be 5 considered innocent. 6 Now, are you able at this time to give him the 7 full benefit of this principle and consider him to be 8 innocent right now before you've heard anything about the case? 9 A. Yes. 10 Have you read or heard anything about this case 11 in the newspapers, on radio or TV or from individuals, other 12 than what you heard in court the other day? 13 Just one article in the Kellogg Evening News that 14 the fact that the trial was coming up. 15 Coming up? 16 A. Yes. 17 Can you tell me, as best you can remember, what, if anything, any facts, were reported in that case about -- or 18 in that story about the case or about Mr. Creech? 19 20 That he had been charged with First Degree Murder 21 of two people. 22 Anything else you remember about the story? 23 No. A. 24 So, as far as you are concerned in your memory right 25 now the only purported facts you've heard about the case is what HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 1,371 ADAMS, J., VOIR DIRE.

1 you heard in court the other day that I explained and, then, a 2 news story that says he's charged with murdering two people? 3 A. That's right. And that the trial was coming up soon? Q. 5 A. That's right. 6 That's the extent of your -- what you've heard 0. 7 about it? 8 A. Yes. 9 Under our Idaho law, Mr. Adams, neither the Judge 10 nor the jury has any discretion as to the penalty to be imposed 11 for First Degree Murder. 12 What was that? A. 13 Neither the jury nor the Judge has anything to say 14 about the penalty in First Degree Murder. The legislature has 15 passed a law, statute, that makes the death penalty mandatory. 16 In other words, the legislature has made that decision itself 17 and taken it completely away from the jury and the Judge to be 18 concerned about that. 19 What this means is that if you are on the jury the 20 only thing the jurors would be voting on is guilt or innocence 21 of the defendant of the charge, or lesser charge, and will not 22 be voting on the penalty. Likewise, the Judge won't have 23 anything to say about the penalty because that's already been 24 fixed by the legislature if the defendant were convicted of 25 the charge here, First Degree Murder.

1,372 ADAMS, J., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

Now, understanding this to be the law, do you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty which would prevent you from fairly considering the evidence and weighing the evidence and the law on the issue of guilt or innocence?

A. I believe that you'd have to make a real serious effort to make sure that you made the right decision.

Q. Yes. We're assuming, of course, that you would never find the defendant guilty unless the State proved that beyond a reasonable doubt under the Court's instructions.

But, the question I'm putting to you right now is if, in weighing the evidence, you felt that -- and in considering the law, you felt the State had really done that, would you hesitate, or be prevented from rendering a verdict on that basis because of the penalty that you knew the legislature had fixed for this?

A. No.

Q. You could render a verdict on the issue of guilt or innocence, then?

A. Yes.

Q Under our system of trials, the jury will be the judge of the facts and the jury will weigh the evidence and judge the credibility of witnesses. But, the Judge has to give you the law. The Judge doesn't tell you anything about the facts but that's purely up to the jury and completely within

1 their discretion. But, I have the duty of explaining the law 2 to the jury. The jury is supposed to accept the law from the 3 Court. Any reason why you couldn't do that? 4 5 Do you know of anything, whether I've asked you or 6 not, that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial 7 juror to both sides? 8 No. 9 THE COURT: I presume Counsel will want to pursue a 10 little questioning. I think we'll have to take our noon 11 recess at this time. 12 Mrs. Dumont, can you -- I guess we are getting 13 quite a crowd back there. You can stay right there, you'll 14 have to come back and take the same seat again when we start 15 at 1:30, if you would, please, and don't discuss this questioning 16 with other members of the panel during the recess. 17 You think you can get all of them in here? 18 MRS. DUMONT: I've got two rooms full, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Yeah, just have them come in and fill the 20 jury seats and then take seats back here. 21 (Whereupon the questioned prospective jurors 22 re-entered the courtroom.) 23 THE COURT: Just take whatever seats you can find. 24 The first thing, we're still not completed with the selection 25 of the jury, there are several pre-emptory challenges left, so HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 1,374 ADAMS, J., VOIR DIRE. 10940 Hollandale Drive

Boise, Idaho 83705

COLLOOUY.

we'll still be going on this afternoon. I think we're going to have to have two rooms overflowing as we keep adding jurors so if those of you who were in this room, the nearest room here, not back in the conference room, but in the nearest room, whoever you were, we will ask you, after the noon recess, to come over to the Courthouse and go into the jury room over there in the Courthouse. I guess you all know who you are, whoever was in this room next door here, if those jurors would report to the jury room at the Courthouse after the noon recess. The rest of you can go back to the same room you were in. I would, again, remind you to just abide by the admonition I've given at other recesses, don't discuss the case or listen to any news reports or read anything or let anybody talk to you about the case.

We'll be in recess until 1:30.

I think I'll have the Clerk draw one stand-by juror to come back over here when we start after noon.

(Recess taken and following proceedings occurred in the main courtroom at the County Courthouse.)

THE COURT: Well, just to bring you up to date, we haven't gotten through, we've got about nine pre-emptories left, so you will to come back after noon.

I will ask you to abide by the same admonition,
don't discuss the case or let anyone discuss the case with you,
don't listen to any radio news reports.

1	We'll be in recess until 1:30.
2	(Noon recess taken.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705	1,376 COLLOQUY.

T		
T.	1	WALLACE, IDAHO, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1975, 1:30 P.M.
	2	
T	3	
- 1-	4	THE COURT: Ready to proceed?
	5	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
т	6	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, Your Honor.
1	7	THE COURT: Mr. Adams is back on the stand.
Т	8	Counsel may examine Mr. Adams.
10.0	9	MR. REMAKLUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
T	10	
	11	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
1	12	BY MR. REMAKLUS:
Т	13	O. How long have you been a fireman, Mr. Adams?
1.	14	A. Seventeen years.
Г	15	Q. Do you have a Fire Protection District up there?
4-	16	Is that who you work for?
I	17	A. Yes.
г	18	Q. Your children are grown and gone, is that it?
1	19	A. I'm divorced.
П	20	Q. Oh, I see. What are the ages of your kids?
1	21	A. I have a daughter 18 and a daughter 15 and a
Г	22	boy ten.
_	23	Q. Um-hmm. You have never been a juror before, have
1	24	you, Mr. Adams?
Π	25	A. No.
HMYSS	N W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705	1,377 ADAMS, J., VOIR DIRE.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And I assume you have not remarried, is that right?  A. That's right.  Q. Do you have any hobbies, Mr. Adams?  A. I do.  Q. Would you tell me about them, please.
3 4 5 6	A. That's right.  O. Do you have any hobbies, Mr. Adams?  A. I do.
4 5 6	O. Do you have any hobbies, Mr. Adams?
5	ā. I do.
6	
	Q. Would you tell me about them, please.
7	
	A. I like to golf.
8	Q. Um-hmm.
9	A. I enjoy boating and some hunting.
10	O. Do you take some of the Spokane daily papers, or
11	the local papers?
12	A. I do at the fire station.
13	Q. Um-hmm. Do you watch television?
14	A. At the fire station generally.
15	Q. You haven't got any preconceived idea of lawsuits
16	about watching some of the TV shows, are you?
17	A. How is that?
18	Q. You don't have any preconceived idea about
19	lawsuits after watching some of those television shows, do
20	you?
21	A. No, not really.
22	Q. You've made can make proper arrangements to
23	spend this time away from your work if you are selected?
24	A. Yes.
25	MR. REMAKLUS: We'll pass the juror for cause, Your Hon
	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Boise, Idaho 83705

П		
	1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
I	2	BY MR. ROBINSON:
Т	3	Q. Mr. Adams, would you tell us what the extent of
1:	4	your formal education is?
Т	5	à. High school.
11	6	Q And what is your religious preference?
I	7	A. Protestant.
-	8	O. Which denomination?
1	9	A. Lutheran.
T	10	0. And are you a regular attender, or sporadic?
	11	A. Sporadic.
T	12	g. Do you work in church work at all?
_	13	A. At one time I was a member of the Board of the
	14	Lutheran Church, been about ten years ago.
T	15	Q. And how about other volunteer work? Do you do
- 1,1	16	other volunteer work outside of your occupation as a fireman?
I	17	A. Like belong to organizations?
т	18	Q. Yes, um-hmm.
	19	A. I do.
Т	20	Q. What organizations are those?
14	21	A. I've been a member of the Chamber of Commerce
T	22	for two years. I'm on the Board of Directors at the golf
т	23	course.
	24	Q. How about the Elks, Masons?
T	25	A. I belong to the Masons.
-		

1,379 ADAMS, J., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hallandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

Γ		
-	1	0. Do you believe in God?
	2	A. I do.
Т	3	Q Do you believe that Satan exists?
1	4	A. I believe oh, yes.
П	5	Q. Mr. Adams, have you been involved in any
-	6	conversations or heard any conversations regarding some
T	7	
-1	8	witchcraft being practiced here in the Silver Valley,
	9	Shoshone County area?
т	10	A. No.
	11	Q. Have you or any member of your family had any
T	12	unfortunate occasion of running afoul of the law and being
1	13	charged with any crimes
	14	A. No.
per l	15	0 excluding traffic citations, things of that
		nature?
Т	16	A. No.
	17	Q. Have any members of your close family, yourself
T	18	or your good friends, relatives, ever been victims of a
1	19	crime?
T	20	A. No.
T	21	Q. Do you know whether or not there is a John Birch
	22	Society chapter operating in this area?
Т	23	A No, I don't.
	24	Q. Mr. Adams, you know yourself better than anyone
Ţ	25	in this courtroom knows you and you know your make-up and your
10940 Hollan	ABEE, C.S.R. Idale Drive aho 83705	1,380 ADAMS, J., VOIR DIRE.

1 BILL E. JAMES, 2 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 3 the stand and testified as follows: 4 5 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 6 BY THE COURT: 7 Mr. James, I want to ask you a few questions first 8 and then each attorney will want to ask you a few. Everything 9 we say here has to be taken down by the Court Reporter so if 10 you will answer loudly enough for him to hear you and he can't 11 take a nod so if you will answer audibly to all the guestions, 12 please. 13 Okay. 14 I want to visit with you just a few minutes about 15 the procedure we're going to follow in this case with the 16 jurors once we get into the actual trial, take the evidence and 17 the jury is completely selected. When we reach that point the 18 jury will be what we call sequestered; that means the jurors 19 won't be able to go home at recesses at night, we're going to 20 house the jury in a motel and make arrangements at the 21 Stardust Motel to do this. The jurors will take their meals 22 at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this 23 will continue until the trial is over. 24 None of us know right now how long this trial 25 will last. We estimate, or guess, about three weeks and that's

1 probably a reasonable estimate. 2 The first thing we need to know is whether this 3 arrangement, method of handling the jury, will create any 4 impossible situation for you or extreme hardship. We know at 5 the outset it's going to be inconvenient for all the jurors 6 so we aren't asking in terms of convenience but if there's 7 something particularly in your situation which would just 8 make it impossible for you to handle we'd like to know about 9 it. 10 Well, it's going to be a hardship financially, 11 see, I've just bought a house and I also own another house 12 that -- well, I thought I'd have sold by that time and I've 13 had to draw all my money out of the bank and I'm a gypo miner 14 and if I'm working I can make it, you know, until I get 15 this other house. But, right at the present time I don't 16 know -- I don't know, it's --17 Do you have dependents you have to support? 18 I have five dependents, yes. Zi. 19 Five dependents? 0 20 Five children plus my wife. A. 21 Is she working? 0. 22 No. We have children at home, still small ones, A. 23 so --24 I'm not that familiar with mining. How do you 25 actually make your money at gypo mining, what -- mine her and

1,383

JAMES, B. VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

1 sell it, is that what you do? Well, no. I work at the Bunker Hill, it's just 3 the more work -- the more footage you advance per day the 4 more you get paid. 5 You get paid on the basis of what you --6 I'm not -- don't get just a regular day's pay. So, you are on a salary, then? 8 No, just how much work I do. 9 Apparently Bunker Hill has an arrangement for 0. 10 salaried employees so they make up the difference what they 11 lose by being on jury duty. Do they have any kind of 12 arrangement like that with you? 13 Not -- I really don't know. I don't know. 14 haven't been able to get out of here in time to find out. 15 I see. 16 But, I don't think they would make up the 17 difference with the gypo. But, they are a good company, but 18 I don't think they're that good. I don't think they'd do 19 that, no. 20 Is there -- what will be the situation if they 21 don't -- if you lose your entire salary during this period, 22 will you actually lose the house do you think? 23 Well, I don't know how much the loan would go on A. 24 I've got borrowed money on one house from the Small 25 Business Administration. I don't know how much they give a

1,384

JAMES, B., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

1		
Γ		
_	1	person and the other one I've well, like I say, I've drawed
1.	2	my money out of the bank to make the down payment.
	3	Q. Have you left your family without any finances
	4	at all, then?
	5	A. Well, we're down approximately to about \$500 in
-	6	the bank still.
	7	Q. Um-hmm.
	8	A. So, if this went very long I don't I don't
13	9	know right at the present time with my normal business plus
	10	the two house payments my bills are running about \$500 a
_	11	month, that's just straight bills, not for food and clothing
	12	and so forth.
П	13	Q Is there any way, if we gave you a little while,
	14	that you could find out whether Bunker Hill makes any
Ti.	15	arrangement for your particular occupation, to make up what
-	16	you lose in jury service?
	17	A. I suppose I could call. I don't know. One guy
	18	told me that they would make up the day's pay difference.
	19	Q. That's what we've been told by other jurors.
	20	A. But, as far as the contract goes, I don't they
	21	might, but it doesn't stand to reason that they would. I
	22	don't really know.
П	23	THE COURT: What would Counsel want to do? You want
	24	us to have him check?
	25	MR. ROBINSON: I would imagine his union representative
HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705		1,385 JAMES, B., VOIR DIRE.

1 should be able to answer that question, Your Honor. 2 MR. REMAKLUS: I would like to have Mr. James find out 3 what his financial position would be. 4 THE COURT: Could you call your union representative 5 and see what arrangements they could make? We'll give you a 6 few minutes to do that, then. Do you have a phone he could use? 8 Why don't you go in and grab the phone. 9 (Brief delay.) 10 THE WITNESS: According to the union hall, they do 11 make up the difference, so --12 THE COURT: I see. 13 THE WITNESS: According to her they make up the 14 difference in the contract. 15 BY THE COURT: So, you could function, then, on 16 that basis? 17 Um-hmm. 18 Turning to another matter, Mr. James. Under our system of justice and philosophy of 19 criminal law, a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to 20 21 be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt. That puts the burden on the State to prove him guilty 22 23 beyond a reasonable doubt. Now, these terms will be defined 24 further in the trial in written instructions. But, for the 25 present purposes what this means is that a defendant doesn't

1,386

JAMES, B., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

1 have to do anything to prove his innocence. The entire burden 2 is on the State to go forward with the evidence and prove the defendant is guilty and that has to be proved beyond a 4 reasonable doubt. 5 Of course, once all the evidence is in and you've 6 had the instructions of the Court and the case is fully submitted then it will be the function of the jurors to weigh 8 that evidence and decide whether this has been proven up to 9 that standard of beyond a reasonable doubt and then the jurors 10 would decide guilt or innocence on that basis. 11 But, it means that right now, before you've 12 heard any evidence that at this stage of the trial Mr. Creech, 13 the defendant, must be considered innocent under that 14 philosophy and rule. 15 Are you able at this time to give Mr. Creech the 16 full benefit of this principle and consider him to be 17 innocent at this stage of the proceeding? 18 Well, I don't know. I heard one bit of 19 information that would -- it might kind of make it hard. 20 Okay. Why don't you tell me what that was that 21 you heard. 22 Well, I heard that the girl is already serving 23 a couple of years for an accomplice. 24 I see. Do you feel that -- well, let's go into 25 that a little further, Mr. James. HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 1,387 JAMES, B., VOIR DIRE.

1 Have you heard anything else about it other than 2 that one thing? 3 Well, I know that there was supposed to have been 4 a couple more in Oregon. 5 A couple more in Oregon? 6 Yes. A. 7 Anything else you heard, purported facts about 8 Mr. Creech, about the case? 9 A. No. 10 So, you've heard that a girl that was with him 11 is already serving time and there were a couple of other 12 incidents in Oregon? 13 Yeah. 14 Do you feel that this -- these facts that you 15 have heard would make it difficult to give him the benefit 16 of the presumption of innocence, then, right now? 17 Well, I don't know. If the girl has already A. 18 pleaded guilty to being an accessory that would put me in 19 quite --20 You do understand that that was a separate 21 proceeding and, of course, has really no bearing on this --22 on this case as far as the law is concerned. Mr. Creech's 23 case has to be -- stand and be decided on evidence that's 24 presented right here in this court in this trial and his 25 quilt or innocence can't be decided -- based on what's happened HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 1,388

JAMES, B., VOIR DIRE.

1 to somebody else even if it would appear from news reports 2 and things to be connected. 3 Well, I understand that, yes. 4 O. You understand that? 5 But --6 What we want to know is what effect this has had 7 on your mind; understanding what the principles are, do you 8 have formed an unqualified opinion at this time 9 as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, based on these 10 things you heard? 11 Well, like I said, it was -- I understand she 12 was supposed to have been with him so it looks like if she 13 pleaded innocent then it must have been -- or guilty, so 14 there must have been quite a bit to it. 15 Do you feel with that present state of mind that 16 it would require Mr. Creech to come forward with some 17 evidence to prove he wasn't in you rather than the State 18 having the full burden of proving him guilty? 19 I'm afraid I'd have to look at it that way. 20 MR. ROBINSON: Challenge, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Want to resist the challenge? 22 MR. THOMAS: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Do you want to question? 24 MR. THOMAS: Yes. 25

1,389

JAMES, B., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

## 1 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. THOMAS: 3 Mr. James, you feel that you could come into this 4 courtroom and sit down and listen to all the evidence that 5 was presented and make up your mind on the basis of that 6 evidence instead of anything you heard from outside the 7 courtroom about whether this defendant is quilty or innocent? 8 Well, I don't know. I would really -- I don't 9 know because all I'll hear, well, it is like your side of it, 10 you know. 11 What side of it have you heard? Have you heard 12 anything besides what you told the Judge? 13 No. 14 On the basis of the few articles you have heard, 15 do you feel that you would not be able to make your judgment 16 solely based on the evidence you hear in the courtroom? 17 Well, bad habit, usually I make a decision, I 18 have a bad habit of sticking with it, so I don't know. I 19 might be able to. 20 You might be able to? You say you have made a 21 decision about the defendant's guilt, or you are just thinking 22 about it? 23 Well, like I said, the girl friend, I assume 24 that's what it was, pleaded guilty to accessory and that --25 and they convicted her, so. HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 1,390 JAMES, B., VOIR DIRE. 10940 Hollandale Drive

Boise, Idaho 83705

1 You do not believe that you would be able to 0. 2 set that feeling aside with the purpose of looking at the 3 evidence in this case? 4 I believe it would always be there, the idea. 5 Of course, I'm not asking whether you could 6 forget about it or not. The only thing I'm asking you is 7 whether or not you feel that you could refrain from drawing 8 any conclusions from what you know about whether this 9 defendant is guilty until after you've heard all the evidence 10 in this case. 11 Do you think you could do that? 12 I think it would be awful hard. 13 It would be hard? 0. 14 I don't know whether I could or not. 15 Are you telling us that you feel that no matter 16 what, you don't think you could give the defendant a fair 17 trial on the charges he's facing in this case? 18 No, I don't think so. A. 19 MR. THOMAS: Well, we do not resist the challenge, 20 Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. We'll excuse you, then, 22 Mr. James. We appreciate your time you spent here and you 23 will be subject to call on other cases if they need you. The 24 Jury Commissioner will contact you if you are needed on some 25 other case. HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 1,391 JAMES, B., VOIR DIRE.

1 You may be excused at this time. 2 All right, the next stand-by juror is number 36, 3 Linda Gust. 4 I'll have the Clerk draw another name. 5 6 LINDA L. GUST, 7 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 8 the stand and testified as follows: 9 10 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 11 BY THE COURT: 12 Mrs. Gust, I want to ask you a few questions 13 first and then the attorneys would each ask you a few. 14 Everything that's said here has to be taken down by the 15 Court Reporter sitting here (indicating) so you will have to 16 answer loudly enough for him to hear you and he can't take 17 down a nod so if you will answer audibly to each question, 18 please. 19 I just want to spend a few minutes visiting with 20 you first about the procedure we'll follow with the jurors 21 once the trial starts as far as receiving evidence and see 22 if that's going to create some problems for you. 23 Once we reach that stage and the jury is 24 completely selected and start receiving evidence the jury 25 will be what we call sequestered; which means they couldn't

1,392 GUST, L., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

1 go home during recesses or during the evening. They will be 2 housed at a motel, made arrangements at the Stardust for that, 3 and they'll take the meals together in the restaurants under 4 the supervision of the Bailiffs. This will go on until the 5 trial is over. 6 Right now none of us know for sure how long this 7 trial will last. The estimate is about three weeks and I 8 think that's, probably, a reasonable estimate. 9 Bearing that in mind, is there anything in your 10 personal life, your home situation or work, if you work, that 11 would make this impossible for you, or create an extreme 12 hardship? We know it's going to be inconvenient for all the 13 jurors so we are not going to ask in terms of convenience, 14 but just if there is some special other problem you feel you 15 have in your situation that would just make this extremely 16 difficult for you to handle? 17 No, I guess not. A. 18 You could serve under those circumstances? 0. 19 A. I suppose. 20 Turn to another subject, then. 21 Under our system of justice and philosophy of 22 criminal law a defendant is presumed to be innocent until 23 his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt and this 24 principle places the burden on the State to come forward with 25 evidence and prove him quilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

1,393 GUST, L., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

1 Now, what -- these terms will be defined more 2 fully later on in the trial and give written instructions 3 to the jury. For the present purpose, what it means at this 4 stage is that the defendant doesn't have an obligation to 5 come forward with any evidence or do anything to prove he's 6 innocent. 7 In other words, the law cloaks him with this 8 presumption of innocence and that shifts the whole burden on 9 the State to prove him quilty beyond a reasonable doubt. 10 Of course, after all the evidence has been 11 presented and the case is fully submitted and I've 12 instructed the jury on the law then the jury will have to 13 weigh the evidence under the instructions of the Court and 14 make a decision on guilt or innocence under that standard, 15 beyond a reasonable doubt. 16 Right now, as we sit here in court, without any 17 evidence having been presented, this principle I have 18 explained to you requires that Mr. Creech be considered 19 innocent. Now, is there anything that would prevent you from 20 giving him the full benefits of this principle and in 21 considering him innocent right now? 22 No, there isn't. 23 Have you read or heard anything about his case 24 in the newspapers or on the radio or TV or from any person 25 other than what I have told you in court the other morning?

1,394 GUST, L., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

A. The only thing I read was before this trial started, the little piece of paper in Kellogg News and all it said was what you told us.

Q. You can't remember any other facts that were in that story; other than what I told you the other morning?

A. I cannot.

Q. I want to discuss -- well, let me touch on one other aspect. Apart from the news reports, have you had any conversations with any individuals that have told you what purported to be facts about the case, or about Mr. Creech?

A. No, I haven't.

Q. I want to discuss one other proposition of law that I found a lot of jurors didn't know about and comes as somewhat of a surprise to them.

Under our Idaho law, neither the jury nor the Judge has any discretion in fixing the penalty for First Degree Murder. Our legislature has passed a law that makes the death penalty mandatory for defendants who are convicted of First Degree Murder.

In other words, the legislature has made this decision and taken it completely out of the hands of the jury and the Judge. We just don't have any say about it. So, all the jury will be voting on is guilt or innocence under the instructions of the Court and the Judge doesn't

have any discretion either.

Now, with this knowledge that the law imposes the mandatory death sentence if a defendant is convicted of First Degree Murder, would that prevent you from fairly considering the evidence and law bearing on that charge of First Degree Murder? In other words, would that interfere with you deciding the issue of guilt or innocence which would be the only issue submitted to the jury?

A. No.

Q. Okay. The function of the jury in a case like this is to decide the facts and that includes weighing the credibility of witnesses, deciding how much weight to be given to testimony of witnesses and the evidence presented.

The function of the Judge is not to tell the jury anything about the facts but to instruct the jury on the law in the case. Occasionally I think when a Judge gives juries instructions, individual jurors might find a particular instruction they disagree with, or wouldn't think is good law or maybe they'll find some law they didn't know was the law.

Anything that would prevent you from accepting the full instructions I would give you as to the law?

A. No.

Q Do you know of anything that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror to both sides in this case?

T		
-	1	A. No.
	2	THE COURT: Counsel may inquire.
П	3	MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Your Honor.
-	4	
П	5	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
_	6	BY MR. THOMAS:
	7	Q. Mrs. Gust, if you felt that the evidence in
П	8	this case proved First Degree Murder beyond a reasonable doubt,
	9	could you honestly say that you would be able to vote to
П	10	convict even though you knew the death penalty would be
	11	mandatory on a conviction of First Degree Murder?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	You would, then, be willing and able to
	14	concentrate on the issue of whether the defendant is guilty
	15	or innocent and not concern yourself with the penalty; is
	16	that correct?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. And you do understand that the jury does not
	19	have the responsibility for the penalty but only has the
	20	responsibility for deciding guilt or innocence?
	21	A. Yes.
	22	Q. Do you feel, looking inward at your own state of
	23	mind that you could give the State as well as the defendant
	24	a fair trial?
	25	A. Yes.

П		
100	1	Q. Do you watch any programs on television,
Ц	2	Mrs. Gust, that dramatize the work of lawyers or trials in
П	3	courtrooms?
	4	A. No.
П	5	Q. Have you seen programs like Kate McShane and
	6	Petrocelli?
	7	A. I suppose I've seen them, yes. I don't usually
-	8	watch them.
	9	Q. Have you gotten any impression from watching
П	10	those programs as to what ought to happen in the courtroom
	11	during a trial?
	12	A. Yes.
-	13	Q. If things were different here during this trial,
	14	would that influence you to think that there was something
П	15	wrong in this case?
	16	A. No.
	17	Q. You understand that those are dramatizations
	18	and the way things work here may be considerably different?
	19	A. Yes.
П	20	Q Have you recently read any books or articles
	21	about law or lawyers?
П	22	A. No.
1000	23	Q. Have you read anything recently about famous
	24	trials or famous criminal cases?
П	25	A. No.

1,398 GUST, L., VOIR DIRE.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1 You understand that if you are a member of the 2 jury, that the jurors are the judges of the believability of the witnesses who come into this court and that if 4 conflicting testimony is presented to the jury, the jury 5 makes the determination of who to believe. You understand 6 that? 7 Yes. A. Would you have any problems with that? 8 9 A. No. The Court has mentioned to you the reasonable 10 doubt standard. You don't believe that reasonable doubt means 11 that the State has to prove beyond all shadow of a doubt the 12 defendant is guilty, do you? 13 Well, yes, I think I do. 14 What is your conception of reasonable doubt? 15 What do you think that means? 16 THE COURT: I don't think that's a proper question, 17 Mr. Thomas. She's said she will follow the instructions 18 of the Court on that subject and I don't think it's really --19 if she has an erroneous belief, she's said she will follow 20 what the Court tells her and I think that's all that counts. 21 BY MR. THOMAS: Mrs. Gust, I think the Court 22 will probably instruct you that there's a difference between 23 a reasonable doubt and an imaginary doubt, or a fanciful 24doubt. As a juror it would be up to you to determine whether 25

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 1,399

GUST, L., VOIR DIRE.

1	or not you are certain in your mind the defendant is guilty
2	beyond reasonable doubt; that is, that the charges have been
3	proved beyond a reasonable doubt.
4	Do you feel that you could adequately distinguish
5	in your own mind between a reasonable doubt and a fanciful
6	doubt?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. We don't wish to pry into your personal affairs,
9	but I hope you bear with us because we do have to ask a
10	few background questions to get an idea about you.
11	Where were you born, Mrs. Gust?
12	A. Kellogg.
13	Q. Kellogg? And have you lived there all of your
14	life?
15	A. All but a few months of my life, yes.
16	Q And what is your educational background?
17	B High school.
18	Q And your religious preference?
19	A. Protestant.
20	0 Do you attend church regularly?
21	A. No.
22	You have several children; is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	0 What are their ages? Could you tell me that?
25	Eleven, ten, seven and six.

1	Q. Do you know any of the attorneys in this case?
2	A. No, I don't.
3	Q. Do you know whether or not any of your close
4	friends or relatives are acquainted or associated with any
5	of the attorneys in this case?
6	A. No, I don't.
7	Q. Have you or any members of your family had any
8	legal troubles in either criminal or civil lawsuits?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Have you ever been a witness in a criminal
11	case?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Do you have any previous jury experience?
14	A. No.
15	Q Do you do any volunteer work?
16	A. No.
17	Q. If the evidence in the case revealed that the
18	victims, the people who were killed, were bad people, would
19	that tend to make you believe that murder was justified, or
20	in any way less serious?
21	a. No.
22	Have you ever discussed this case with anybody
23	who expressed an opinion that the defendant was either guilty
24	or not guilty?
25	A. I've not really discussed it. I've heard

1	people say one way or another, but I haven't discussed the
2	case.
3	Q Have you formulated any opinion of your own,
4	based on what you've heard?
5	A. No, I haven't.
6	MR. THOMAS: Pass the juror for cause, Your Honor.
7	
8	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. ROBINSON:
10	Q Mrs. Gust, have any of your close friends,
11	relatives, family, been a victim of any crime committed against
12	them?
13	A. No.
14	Q. What denomination of the Protestant religion do
15	you belong to?
16	A. Nazarene.
17	Q Do you believe in God?
18	A. Yes, I do.
19	Q. Do you believe that Satan exists?
20	A. Yes, I do.
21	MR. ROBINSON: Pass this juror for cause, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Mrs. Gust, we will ask you to step back in
23	the jury room and wait for further instructions and ask you not
24	to discuss the questioning that's taken place here with other
25	members of the panel as they come in please.

3

## DONALD A. CRAWFORD,

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Mr. Crawford, I want to ask you a few questions first and then each attorney will ask you some questions. Everything we say here has to be reported by the Court Reporter sitting right here (indicating) so it will be necessary for you to answer loudly enough for him to hear you and he can't take down a nod, so if you will answer audibly to all the questions that are asked, okay?

A. Yeah.

Q. I want to visit with you just a minute about the procedure we'll follow when once the jury is selected and we start the actual trial as far as receiving evidence, to see whether that's going to create any problem for you if you were selected to serve.

When we reach that point the jury will be what
we call sequestered, which means the jurors won't be able to
go home at recesses or in the evening, we'll house the jurors
in a motel and arrangements have been made at the Stardust.
The jurors also will take their meals at restaurants under
the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will continue until the

1 trial ends.

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Right now we don't know how long the trial will last, none of us do. But, an estimate is about three weeks and that's, probably, a reasonable estimate.

Bearing this procedure in mind, is there anything in your personal life or your home situation, work situation, that would make it impossible for you to serve under these conditions, or create an extreme hardship for you?

I use those terms because we know at the outset it's going to be inconvenient for all jurors so we're not going to ask in terms of convenience but in terms of whether there's some particular problem in your situation that would just make this a real serious burden?

- A. No.
- You can serve under those circumstances?
- Yes. There wouldn't be any more than anybody else A. would have.
  - All right. That's fine. 0.

Turn to another principle, then, that I want to discuss with you. Under our system of justice and philosophy of criminal law, a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is proved beyond a reasonable doubt and this places the burden on the State to do this, to go forward with the evidence and prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

These terms will be given to you in more detail

later in the trial and written instructions, but for the present purposes what it means is that the defendant doesn't have to do anything to prove his innocence. Our system places the burden the other way, on the State to prove guilt. This means that right now at this stage of the proceeding, before any evidence has been presented, Mr. Creech, the defendant, must be considered innocent. Of course, you understand that when the evidence comes in, the case is fully submitted, the instructions have been given as to the law to the jury, then the jurors will have to weigh that evidence and decide the issue of guilt or innocence based on the evidence and instructions by the Court and decide whether the State has met its burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Right now, before we add any evidence and sit here in the court today, Mr. Creech must be considered innocent.

Is there any reason right now why you couldn't give Mr. Creech the full benefit of this principle and consider him innocent?

- A. None that I can think of.
- Q. Have you read or heard anything about this case in the newspapers or on radio or TV or from individual gossip and individual conversations?
- A. Outside of when I got my summons there was speculations as to which case I might be involved in.
  - O. I see.

A. No detail other than that. Somebody would say "lawsuit" or, you know, something to that effect.

Q. You haven't heard, other than what you heard in court the other day when I outlined the nature of the charge, other than that statement I made, you are saying, then, that you haven't heard anything that purports to be facts about this case or about Mr. Creech, then?

- A. I didn't even know about the case until Monday.
- Q. So, you don't -- you knew there was a case but not even what case it was; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And now that you've found out what case it is, you haven't heard any purported facts about it?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Turn to a different subject, then, Mr. Crawford.

Under our Idaho law neither the jury nor the Judge has any discretion in fixing the penalty for First Degree Murder. The legislature has removed that from the jury's and Judge's hands and the statute that has passed -- in that statute the legislature chose to make the death penalty mandatory in First Degree Murder.

Now, this means that the jury will not be voting on the penalty, the Judge won't. I wouldn't have anything to say about the penalty if there's a First Degree Murder conviction.

Now, will this knowledge -- well, let me put it this way: Do you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty knowing that if there is a conviction of First Degree Murder it would follow automatically? Do you have any personal beliefs or feelings that would interfere, or prevent you from fairly considering the issue of guilt or innocence?

- A. Not to that extent, no.
- Q. In other words, -- could you at least set this knowledge aside and determine solely the issue of guilt or innocence based on the evidence and instructions of the Court?
  - A. Yes.
- A It's the function of the jury in a trial like this, Mr. Crawford, to decide the facts and weigh the credibility of witnesses and how much weight to be given to their testimony or other evidence that's presented. The Judge doesn't have anything to do with that.

The Judge has the function of instructing the jury on the law to be applied in the case, nothing about the facts.

Occasionally I'm sure jurors find that the law is different than they thought it would be, or sometimes, perhaps, they even think that the law was incorrect or should be different, the law that I give them.

Now, if you find that you had a different impression of the law or that it's different than you thought

1	it was, would you still be willing to follow my instructions
2	on the law?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q Do you know of anything that would prevent you from
5	being fair and impartial to both sides in this case?
6	A. No.
7	THE COURT: Counsel may examine.
8	
9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. THOMAS:
11	Q. Mr. Crawford, if you felt after hearing all of the
12	evidence in this case about and that evidence proved beyond
13	a reasonable doubt in your mind that the defendant was guilty
14	of First Degree Murder, would you genuinely say that you could
15	vote to convict him even though you knew that the death penalty
16	was mandatory for conviction of First Degree Murder?
17	A. My decision would be just guilty or not guilty;
18	wouldn't it?
19	Q Yes. Do you have any problem with that?
20	A. No.
21	O So, you'd be willing to concentrate on that issue
22	and not consider the penalty?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you feel, Mr. Crawford, that you'd give the
25	State, as well as the defendant, a fair trial in this case?

you that we don't have to prove the charges to an absolute certainty but to only beyond a reasonable doubt, would you follow the Court's instructions or your own inclination and believe that we have to prove the charges beyond all doubt?

- A. Well, now, I wouldn't be bound to follow this instruction by the Court, would I? Is that what you are saying?
  - O. Yes.
  - A. I'm bound to?
- Q. Would you follow the Court's instructions or would you require us to prove the charges to an absolute certainty?
- A. I would require you to prove it to an absolute certainty.
  - MR. THOMAS: Challenge for cause, Your Honor.
- MR. ROBINSON: We would resist, Your Honor. I think there's a misunderstanding.

getting at, Mr. Crawford, about following the instructions the Court gave you. I'm sure this concept of reasonable doubt is a difficult one, it's a difficult one for the Court to instruct on but still the law has it defined, this term, and set it out and I will intend, when the appropriate time comes, to give you a definition of reasonable doubt and define that term for the jury.

Now, this is a principle of law and not a

question of fact and, as I told you before, the jurors in their oaths are required to accept the law from the Court and this is what I meant when I asked you whether you could wholeheartedly accept that principle. As I say, whereas the Judge can't interfere with the juror's findings what the facts are, neither can the jury replace the Court in deciding what the law is.

You see what I'm saying?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think so.

THE COURT: And since this definition of reasonable doubt is a question of law, not of fact, it's up to the Court to give the instruction on that and I will tell you that you will be bound as a juror under your oath to accept the law as I give it to you; including my definition of reasonable doubt.

Now, I perhaps didn't explain that fully enough before when we were going over this, but do you think you can, in good conscience, accept my definition even if it's a little different than you thought it was?

THE WITNESS: Excuse me, I think I'm misunderstanding you, now, by reasonable doubt. Is there set guidelines for this?

THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to tell you that you won't have any questions after you read the instruction because I think it's a hard concept to put into words. But, yes, there will be -- I have a fixed instruction that I will give you to define that term and you are bound by your oath to accept that

definition and apply it as best you can. All we can ask you now is whether you will do that and do your best to interpret that instruction and to follow it.

THE WITNESS: Well, I'd be bound to follow the Court's instructions provided that I know what they are.

writing. You see, they'll all be written down and at that time the Court will read those instructions and then the jury will actually be given a copy of them and be able to take them into the jury room so you can read them. In those instructions will be an instruction on the burden of beyond a reasonable doubt that the State is faced with and that term will be defined. I'm not going to tell you that you might not be disappointed and I think it isn't just as clear as it could be. But, at least it will be a definition that you can try to follow and apply and all we can ask is that you try to follow that definition and apply it; whether you are willing to do that. Will you be willing to do that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.

THE COURT: I think the juror misunderstood.

MR. THOMAS: Excuse me, Mr. Crawford, perhaps I misunderstood your answer.

THE COURT: Probably the Court's fault too in not explaining it fully.

Q. BY MR. THOMAS: Do you recognize, anyway, that

1	Q Yes.
2	A. No.
3	0 It wouldn't make any difference about the victims
4	to you, then, if all of the elements of murder in the first
5	degree were proved to you beyond a reasonable doubt?
6	No, it wouldn't make any difference.
7	Q. Have you ever discussed this case with anyone,
8	you expressed an opinion about whether the defendant was
9	either guilty or not guilty?
10	A. No.
11	MR. THOMAS: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
12	MR. ROBINSON: May I proceed, Your Honor?
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	
15	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. ROBINSON:
17	Q. Mr. Crawford, what are your hobbies?
18	A. Motorcycle riding.
19	Q. What kind of motorcycle, or motorcycles? Do you
20	have more than one?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Would you describe them to me?
23	A. I've got one road machine I like to ride it and
24	then one I do a lot of trail riding.
25	Q Belong to any clubs or organizations of guys that
	,

get together and ride motor bikes?
A. No, nothing official.
Q. Do any racing?
š, Ko.
O. Have you or any member of your close family run
afoul of the law and been charged with any criminal offenses,
other than traffic violations?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. Have any members, you or any members of your
family, close relatives or friends, ever been a victim of any
crime committed against them?
A. By "crime" you mean how serious?
Q Anything that the other person was charged with or
chargeable with as a crime against society?
A. Other than small claims or something like that?
Q. Well, those are more in the civil nature. I was
thinking
A. No, not that I know of I haven't.
Q. In other words, no robbery, burglary, mugging or
anything of this nature?
A. No.
Q. Now, does the mere fact that Mr. Creech is
incarcerated raise any presumption in your mind that more than
likely he is guilty?
A. No.

1	Ω.	You stated that you do not attend church on a
2	regular basi	s. Were your parents members of any particular
3	church?	
4	۸,	No, they weren't.
5	ŭ	Do you believe in God?
6	ā.	Yes.
7	Q.	Do you believe that Satan exists?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Ω	Have you heard any conversations about any
10	witchcraft h	being performed, or ceremonies held here in the
11	Silver Valle	ey area?
12	<b>B.</b>	In the immediate area?
13	Q.	Here in this North Idaho area.
14	A.	Yes, I have heard stories.
15	0.	Would you tell us about it?
16	А.	Oh, just that there was supposed to be some
17	groups over	around the Rathdrum area and they were doing
18	sacrifices a	and stuff like that.
19	Q.	Sacrifices of what?
20	A.	Of cows, animals.
21	Q.	To your knowledge was there anything factual in
22	that regard?	>
23	А.	No.
24	Q.	Was it rumor?
25	Ã.	Just rumors, stories.
		6

	The state of the s
1	Q. What impression did it make upon you?
2	A. Didn't really make any.
3	Q. Did you happen to see the movie Sunday evening
4	Kathryn, the girl revolutionary?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What impression did that make upon you; if any?
7	A. It impressed me as somebody trying to make some
8	money over this Patty Hearst thing.
9	Q. Mr. Crawford, if the evidence in this case and
10	some testimony involves vulgar, profane, coarse, crude
11	language, would this in itself tend to prejudice you against
12	the defendant?
13	A. No.
14	Q. And in the event there is, as part of the State's
15	evidence, there are color photographs of the deceased victims
16	that are somewhat grotesque, would this in itself tend to
17	prejudice you against Mr. Creech?
18	A. No, it wouldn't prejudice me, might upset me, but
19	wouldn't prejudice me.
20	Q. In other words, you'd still look at all the evidence
21	and keep an open mind until all of the evidence has been
22	submitted to you by the State and by the defense?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Mr. Crawford, you know your mind and yourself
25	and your personality better than anyone in this entire
7	

1	look at Defendant's sixth and advise me that I have correctly
2	marked the name of excuse me, may I approach the bench?
3	THE COURT: Well, I can tell you who it was, yeah.
4	It was do you want to know?
5	MR. ROBINSON: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Jerry Adams.
7	MR. ROBINSON: Yes.
8	THE COURT: All right, Defendant's seventh.
9	All right, the next stand-by juror is number 76,
10	that's Ernest Mollendorf.
11	Draw another name, please.
12	
13	ERNEST P. MOLLENDORF,
14	a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took
15	the stand and testified as follows:
16	
17	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
18	BY THE COURT:
19	0 Mr. Mollendorf, is it "Mollendorf"?
20	Yes, but it's really Paul.
21	Q It should be Paul?
22	A. Yes.
23	0 All right. I'll show "Paul".
24	Mr. Mollendorf, I want to ask you a few questions
25	first and then each attorney will ask you a few. Everything

we say here has to be reported by the Court Reporter so you will need to answer loudly enough for him to hear you and he can't get a nod of the head so if you will answer audibly when we ask questions.

A. Okay.

Q. I want to visit with you first about the procedure we'll follow once we start the taking of evidence and the jury is completely selected.

At that stage of the trial we'll do what we call sequester the jury; which means you won't be able to go home during recesses or at night. We'll house the jurors in a motel. Arrangements have been made with the Stardust to do this, take your meals in restaurants together under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will last for the duration of the trial.

None of us knows right now how long the trial will last, but our estimate is three weeks and that's, probably, a reasonable estimate.

The first thing we will like to know is whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, your work situation, that would make it impossible for you to serve under these circumstances, or create an extreme hardship for you.

Now, we don't ask you about any convenience because we know it's going to be inconvenient for all the jurors, but we do -- we would like to know if there's anything in your particular circumstance that would really create a serious problem for you?

- A. No, the mining company is paying my wages.
- Q. You could serve under these conditions?
- A. And I'm single.
- Q. Okay. I will turn to another subject, then.

Under our system of justice and philosophy of criminal law, Mr. Mollendorf, a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt. This has the effect of putting the burden on the State to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Those terms will be defined when I instruct the jury later on in the trial in more detail. For the present purposes it's sufficient to tell you that the effect of this is that the defendant doesn't have to do anything to prove his innocence.

In other words, our system puts the burden on the State to prove the guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and doesn't put any burden on the defendant.

Obviously when the case is fully submitted and all the evidence has been presented and the Court has instructed the jury and the jury is retired to deliberate the jury will then have to weigh the evidence and determine the issue of guilt or innocence based on the evidence and

instructions of the Court and make a decision at that time.

But, as we sit here in court today without any evidence having been presented the effect of this principle is that the defendant, Mr. Creech, must be considered to be innocent at this time.

I'm wondering whether you are able at this time to give him the full benefits of this principle and consider him to be innocent?

- A. I think so.
- Q. Have you read or heard anything about this case in the newspapers or radio or TV or from any individual?
  - A. Just that it was going to be transferred up here.
- Q What source did you get that from, the newspaper story, radio, TV or do you remember?
  - A. Just the newspaper and that was way last summer.
- Q. Do you recall any other facts that were reported in that story other than the fact that it was going to be transferred?
  - A. No.
- O You are saying, as far as your present memory is concerned, then, you don't have any knowledge or purported knowledge of any purported facts in this case about Mr. Creech then?
  - A I don't think so.
  - And from any source, then, other than people or

24

mandatory.

Now, this means that the only issue this jury will vote on and have to decide is guilt or innocence of the First Degree Murder, or lesser-included offenses. The jury will not be voting on, or considering the penalty, but it's fair for you to know that if the First Degree — if a First Degree Murder verdict is rendered that the death penalty will be mandatory and automatic.

So, you need to know that but, still, you wouldn't be voting on that, you'll just be voting on the issue of guilt or innocence.

Now, do you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty which would prevent you from fairly considering the issue of guilt or innocence under the instructions of the Court?

A. No.

Q. Mr. Mollendorf, under our system of trials it's the sole function of the jury to determine the facts, weigh the credibility of witnesses, give weight -- how much weight they want to to the evidence. The Judge hasn't got anything to do with that.

On the other hand, it's up to the Judge to tell the jury what the applicable law is and the jurors are supposed to follow that law under their oaths. I think occasionally jurors find that perhaps the law is a little

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Yes.
- So far as you are concerned, what you've heard about this case you feel that you would not -- not permit that to interfere with your view of the evidence that you would get here in the courtroom; by this I mean you could make up your mind on the evidence here, couldn't you?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Of course, the Judge's instructions will be read to the jury and then you'll actually take a copy of those to the jury room with you, sort of have a chance to look them over and I'm sure you wouldn't have any difficulties then with hearing them and then reading them.

Do you think that if the State meets their obligation of proving this case beyond a reasonable doubt and that if your view of the evidence is that he is -- that guilt has been established beyond a reasonable doubt, do you think the fact that there is a death penalty involved, would that interfere with your exercise of your own best judgment?

- A. No.
- O. Fine. Do you have any hobbies, Mr. Mollendorf?
- A. I hunt and fish and play golf.
- Q. Um-hmm. You have a religious preference?
- A. Well, baptized Catholic.
- 0 Um-hmm. Are you an occasional church goer?
- Not very often.

1	Q. So, you are on a straight wage?		
2	5 Straight wage.		
3	Q Yeah, I understand. And Hecla pays so you don't		
4	have any problem		
5	A. Right.		
6	O while you are here?		
7	MR. REMAKLUS: Fine, we'll pass the juror for cause,		
8	Your Honor.		
9			
10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION		
11	EY MR. ROBINSON:		
12	c Mr. Mollendorf, have either you, close members		
13	of your family, good friends, ever been victims of crimes		
14	committed against them?		
15	A. No.		
16	Q. And your comments, you say you were baptized		
17	Catholic?		
18	A. Yes.		
19	@ And seldom attend your church? However, do you		
20	believe in God?		
21	A. Yes.		
22	O. Do you believe in the existence of Satan?		
23	Well, if you believe in God you have to.		
24	O. Have you heard any conversations, rumors or		
25	otherwise, concerning the practice of witchcraft here in		

1 with other members of the panel as they come in. 2 State's eighth pre-emptory. 3 The stand-by juror is number 12, 4 Velma Canterbury. 5 I'll have the Clerk draw another name. 6 7 VELMA R. CANTERBURY, 8 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 9 the stand and testified as follows: 10 11 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 12 BY THE COURT: 13 Mrs. Canterbury, I want to ask you a few 14 questions and then the attorneys will want to ask you some 15 questions. All these questions and answers have to be 16 reported by the Court Reporter sitting right here (indicating) 17 so you'll need to answer loudly enough for him to hear you. 18 Okay. 19 He can't report a nod so you'll need to answer 20 audibly during these questions if you would, please. 21 A. Okay. 22 I want to visit with you for a minute about the 23 procedure that we'll follow once we start receiving evidence 24 and get into the regular trial of the case after the jury is 25 selected to see if there is anything that would prevent you

from serving under that procedure.

Once we reach that point the jury will be what we call sequestered; which means you won't be able to go home during recesses in the evening, we'll house the jurors at a motel. Arrangements have already been made at the Stardust for this. The jurors would have to take their meals together at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will last for the entire trial once we start this.

A. Yes, I understand that.

Q Okay. Now, we don't know how long the trial will last. Our best estimate right now is about three weeks, that could be wrong because we don't know. I think that's a reasonable estimate.

Now, understanding this procedure, is there anything in your personal life, your home situation, any work situation you have that would make this impossible for you to serve under these circumstances or would create an extreme hardship?

We ask in terms of that because we know it will be inconvenient for everybody so we can't just ask you whether it would be inconvenient. But, we need to know whether there's a serious problem that it would create for you.

- a No, I don't believe so.
- You think you could serve under those conditions?
- A I think I can.

All right.

7 8

THE COURT: Excuse me just a minute. Apparently we drew another name that was excused so we'll draw another name.

BY THE COURT: I want to turn to another subject,
then, Mrs. Canterbury.

Under our system of criminal justice and
philosophy in criminal law a defendant in a criminal action
is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is proved beyond
a reasonable doubt. This has the effect of placing the
burden on the State to prove the defendant guilty beyond a
reasonable doubt and it means the defendant doesn't have to do
anything to prove that he's innocent. You understand that?

- A. Yes.
- Q Now, naturally, when the evidence has all been presented and the Court gives the jury instructions and the jury is required to deliberate, the jury will then have to weigh the evidence and vote on the question of guilt or innocence under the evidence and instructions of the Court. But, right now, before any evidence has been presented this principle requires that Mr. Creech, the defendant here, be considered to be innocent.
  - A. Yes.
- Of course, that continues with him until he's been proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Are you able at this time to give him the full benefit of this principle and consider him to be innocent?

- A Yes, I think so.
- Q. Have you read or heard anything about this case in the newspapers or radio or TV or gossip or any other from individuals?
- A. No, not really. I just heard of him when you brought him into the courtroom up there.
- Q. Apart from what I told you about when you came into court, have you heard any other purported facts about the case or about Mr. Creech?
  - A. No.
- Q Under our Idaho law, Mrs. Canterbury, the jury nor the Judge has any discretion as to the penalty to be imposed for First Degree Murder. The legislature has passed a law that takes this completely out of the hands of the jury and the Judge.

Under this law that the legislature passed, the death penalty is mandatory for First Degree Murder. In other words, it's automatic for First Degree Murder and the jury doesn't have any choice and neither does the Judge.

Now, knowing this to be the law and knowing that, actually, the only thing you will be voting on is guilt or innocence but that the result would follow a guilty verdict for First Degree Murder, is there anything in your personal

beliefs or feelings or do you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty that would prevent you from voting and fairly considering the issue of guilt or innocence of First Degree Murder?

- A. No, I don't think so.
- Q. You feel you could do that?
- A, Yes.
- Q Even though you know the penalty that would
  follow, if you did vote for guilty of First Degree Murder ---
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Under the system that we try cases,

  Mrs. Canterbury, it's the sole function of the jury to determine
  the facts, or to weigh the credibility of witnesses and the
  weight to be given to the evidence. The Judge hasn't got
  anything to do with that and can't give you any instructions
  on that.

On the other hand, it's the sole function of the Judge to decide what the law is and to instruct the jurors on the law. Under your oath as a juror you would be required to accept the instructions as to the law that I would give you. I'm sure occasionally jurors find that the law is a little different than they thought it was, maybe sometimes they think maybe the law should be different than it is.

If you have any such feelings when you read the instructions, would you be willing to set them aside and

1	accept the law as I give it to you?		
2	A. Yes, I think I would.		
3	Q. Do you know of anything that would prevent you		
4	from being fair and impartial to both sides in this case?		
5	A. No.		
6	THE COURT: Counsel may examine.		
7	*		
8	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION		
9	BY MR. THOMAS:		
10	Q. Mrs. Canterbury, I take it from what you've		
11	said that if you felt that the evidence proved First Degree		
12	Murder beyond a reasonable doubt that you could vote to		
13	convict the defendant, even though the death penalty would		
14	be mandatory in such circumstances; is that correct?		
15	A. Yes.		
16	Q You would be willing and able to concentrate,		
17	then, on whether the evidence shows guilt or innocence and		
18	not even consider the penalty in your deliberations about		
19	whether the defendant is guilty or not?		
20	A. Yes, I think so.		
21	Q Do you feel that you could give the State, as		
22	well as the defendant, a fair trial in this case?		
23	A. Yes.		
24	Q. Do you watch any programs on television,		
25	Mrs. Canterbury, in which courtroom dramatizations occur or		

1	in which lawyers are portrayed in their work?		
2	E. Well, no, not very much.		
3	0. Have you seen programs like Petrocelli?		
4	Yeah no, I don't watch that.		
5	n You have seen it any of them have you		
6	gotten any impressions from television programs about how		
7	things should work in a courtroom?		
8	A. No.		
9	0. In other words, you don't have any preconceived		
10	ideas		
11	A. No.		
12	0 about what ought to happen in here and you are		
13	not going to be distressed by anything like that?		
14	A. No.		
15	Q. Have you recently read any books or articles		
16	about law or lawyers?		
17	A. No.		
18	Q. Have you read any such things about famous cases		
19	or trials?		
20	A. No.		
21	Q. Do you understand, Mrs. Canterbury, that the		
22	jurors in this case are the sole judges of whether or not the		
23	witnesses are to be believed in case there is conflicting		
24	evidence?		
25	A. Would you repeat that again, please.		

1	Ł.	In Hunter, Oklahoma.
2	ρ.	And have you lived in the State for some period
3	of time?	The state of the s
4	А.	In Idaho?
5	Ω	Yes.
6	A.	Since '40.
7	9	1940?
8		Yes.
9	0	And has all that been in this area around
10	B.	Yes.
11	ſ?	Shoshone County?
12	Ē.	Yes.
13	Q.	What is your educational background?
14	A.	Well, I went through the eighth grade and that's
15	the end of i	.t.
16	Q.	Have you taken anything like correspondence or
17	Ē.	No.
18	Ω.	or special evening courses; things of that
19	kind?	
20	P.	No.
21	Ω.	Do you read?
22	Λ.	Yes, I read, but
23	Q	What kind of things do you read?
24	<b>D</b> .	Oh, several different kinds of books and stuff.
25	ő	Is there any particular kind of book that you

1	prefer?	
2	B.	Well, no, not really. I read stories and
3	different ma	agazines and things.
4	Q.	Mostly fiction?
5	$F_{2n}$	No, not all of them are.
6	g.	What is your religious preference?
7	ħ.	Well, the Methodist Church.
8	Q	And do you attend church there regularly?
9	Λ.	No.
10	<u>0</u> .	Do you have any grown children, Mrs. Canterbury?
11	A	Yes, I have three.
12	Q-	What are their occupations?
13	ā.	My son is a miner.
14	Q.	He's in this area?
15	А.	Yes. He works for the Hecla Mining Company. I've
16	got a daught	er that works for Kentucky Fried Chicken down
17	here in Kell	.ogg.
18	Q.	Um-hmm.
19	A.	And my other daughter is just a housekeeper.
20	Q.	Are you acquainted with any of the lawyers in
21	this case?	
22	$I_5$ .	In here?
23	Ω	Yes.
24	P.	No.
25	O	Do you know whether any of your close friends or
1		

1	relatives know or are associated in any professional capacity
2	with any of the lawyers who are appearing in this case?
3	A. No, I don't.
4	Q. Have you or any of your close friends or
5	relatives ever been involved in any legal differences in,
6	either, a criminal or civil lawsuit?
7	a. No.
8	Q. Have you ever been a witness in a criminal case
9	before?
10	A. No, I haven't.
11	Q. Have you had jury experience before?
12	A. Yes, one time.
13	0. What kind of case did you serve on?
14	A. It was a civil case.
15	Q. And what was the case all about?
16	A. Well, it was about a kind of an assault case.
17	0. Was it for damages?
18	h. I think so.
19	O Do you recall which side won the case?
20	A. Yes, was the one that Mr. Magnuson, for
21	Jack Clark.
22	Q. The plaintiff win then?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. If it turned out that in this case the evidence
25	revealed that the victims, the people who were killed, were
1	

1	very unpleasant people, would that, in your mind, tend to		
2	excuse the murder, or tend to reduce the seriousness of it		
3			
4	in any way?		
5	A. No, I don't think so.		
1	Q. In other words, you'd concentrate on the issues		
6	rather than pay any attention to what the victims were like?		
7	A. Yes.		
8	Q. Have you ever discussed this case,		
9	Mrs. Canterbury, with anybody who expressed an opinion about		
10	whether the defendant was innocent or guilty?		
11	A. This case?		
12	Q. Yes.		
13	A. No.		
14	MR. THOMAS: Pass Mrs. Canterbury for cause,		
15	Your Honor.		
16	MR. ROBINSON: May I proceed, sir?		
17	THE COURT: Yes.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1 No, I don't. A. MR. ROBINSON: Thank you very much. Your Honor, we 2 pass Mrs. Canterbury. 3 THE COURT: All right, Mrs. Canterbury, we'll ask you to step back into the jury room there and wait there until we 5 have further instructions. 6 We ask you not to discuss the questioning here 7 with any of the other jurors that may come in. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay, I won't. 9 THE COURT: Defendant's eighth. 10 The stand-by juror is number six, Wilford Bonomi. 11 I'll ask the Clerk to draw another name. 12 13 WILFORD D. BONOMI, 14 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 15 the stand and testified as follows: 16 17 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 18 19 BY THE COURT: Mr. Bonomi, I'll want to ask you a few questions 20 and then each of the attorneys will want to ask you a few. 21 The Court Reporter has to take down the entire proceedings here 22 so you will have to answer loudly enough so that he can hear you 23 and write down your answers. 24 25 I'll try. A.

Q. He can't get a nod of the head, so if you answer audibly to all the questions, please.

A Okay.

**5** 

Q. I want to visit with you just briefly about the procedure we're going to follow once the jury is finally selected to try the case and we start receiving evidence.

At that point we're going to do what we call sequester the jury; which means that the jurors won't be able to go home during recesses or in the evening and we'll house the jurors in a motel, already made arrangements for that at the Stardust. The jurors will have to take their meals together at restaurants and under the supervision of Bailiffs. This procedure will continue during the entire trial until it's over.

We don't know right now how long the trial will last, actually, but we've made an estimate of three weeks and that's, probably, a reasonable estimate.

What we'd like to know right now is whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, work situation, that would make it impossible for you to serve under these conditions, or extreme hardship. We know that it's not going to be convenient for any juror, so we're not talking about just convenience, but something that would be very serious in your situation.

A. Yes. Well, as far as my job goes, my boss is,

1 to further call on other trials. 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 3 THE COURT: I don't think they will be this long or 4 have these consequences to you. But, the Jury Commissioner 5 will call you if they need you. Thank you for the time you 6 spent here. 7 Stand-by juror is number 44, Maxine Hill. 8 I'll have the Clerk draw another name. 9 10 MAXINE HILL. 11 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 12 the stand and testified as follows: 13 14 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 15 BY THE COURT: 16 Mrs. Hill, I'll want to ask you some guestions 17 and then the attorneys want to ask you some questions. All our 18 questions and answers -- and your answers, have to be written 19 down by the Court Reporter so you'll need to answer loudly 20 enough for him to hear you. 21 A. Okay. 22 And he can't get a nod, so if you will answer 23 audibly to all these questions, please. 24 A. Okay. 25 I want to visit with you for just a minute first 0.

about the procedure we'll follow once the jury is finally selected and sworn to try the cause and we start taking evidence in the case.

At that point the jury will be sequestered; which means they won't be able to go home during recesses or at night and we have arranged to house them at the Stardust Motel. They will take their meals together at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will last during the entire trial until it's over.

None of us know right now how long the trial will take, we made an estimate of three weeks, that's, probably, a reasonable estimate.

Understanding this procedure, is there anything in your personal life or your home situation or your work situation that would make it impossible to serve as a juror under these conditions, or create an extreme hardship for you?

A No.

Q Okay. Let me turn to another subject, then.

Under our system of justice and philosophy of criminal law a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt. This means that the defendant doesn't have to prove anything to prove he's innocent because the law cloaks him with that presumption and the burden is on the State to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. I'll define these terms

more for you, the jury, later on in the trial.

2

3

For the present purposes it means, basically, that right now application of this principle requires Mr. Creech to be considered innocent.

Now, of course, you recognize that once the

4

6

7

evidence is all in and the Court will instruct the jury on the law and the jury is required to deliberate on the verdict, then you'll have to weigh this evidence under the instructions of

8

the Court and decide whether there is proof beyond a reasonable

10

doubt and vote on guilt or innocence. But, right now before

11

any evidence has been presented and until there is proof

12

beyond a reasonable doubt, as I say, our philosophy and principle requires Mr. Creech to be considered innocent.

13 14

Is there anything that would prevent you at this

15

time from giving him a full benefit of this principle and considering him to be innocent right now?

16

A. No.

17 18

Q. You can do that?

19

A. Um-hmm.

20 21

Q. Have you read or heard anything about this case on the news, or radio or television or just from individual conversations with other people?

22

A. No.

23 24

Q. In other words, as you sit here today you don't have any knowledge of any purported facts about the case or

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

As the trial is conducted, as we conduct the trials and the way they are handled, Mrs. Hill, the jury has the sole function of deciding the facts, deciding the credibility of witnesses, how much weight to give to the evidence. The Judge has nothing to do with that and can't control the jury's discretion at all as to that matter.

On the other hand, the Judge has the sole function to decide what the law is and instructing the jury as to the law and the jurors are supposed to accept the law from the Court.

Now, occasionally I'm sure jurors find that the law is different than they thought it was, or perhaps they even disagree with the law and think it's a bad law.

Do you feel that you are in such a condition that if you would run into this problem, if you find the law is different than you thought it was, or maybe even felt that it isn't a particularly good law, that you could still accept the law as I state it to you and follow my instructions?

Yes.

Do you know of anything, whether I've asked you or not, that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror to both sides in this case?

No, I don't think so.

THE COURT: Counsel may examine.

MR. REMAKLUS: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	
2	BY MR. REMAKLUS:	
3	Q. Mrs. Hill, you have one child living at home now?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. And that's a boy or girl?	
6	A. A boy.	
7	0. Is he working?	
8	a. Yes.	
9	Q. What does he do?	
10	A. He works at the Galena Mine.	
11	Q. And has Mr. Hill has he been a miner or	
12	employed in the mines? Has that been his life's work?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	O. I see you have lived here in this county for a	
15	long time; haven't you?	
16	A. Yes, 57 years.	
17	0. What is your religious preference, Mrs. Hill?	
18	A. Lutheran.	
19	O. Are you active in the church?	
20	λ. No.	
21	Q Do you are you active in civic things in	
22	Smelterville? Do you belong to some ladies' groups?	
23	A. I belong to the Eastern Stars.	
24	Q Um-hmm. Do you and Mr. Hill have any hobbies?	
25	A. Fishing, hunting; things like that.	

		(
1	Ü. U:	m-hmm. Watch television?
2	Ä. 0:	nce in awhile. I don't watch it too much.
3	Q. Ye	ou don't have any preconceived ideas, then, from
4	watching telev	ision what a trial should be like, then?
5	A. No	o, not too much. I don't care for television
6	too much.	
7	Q. A.	ll right. What kind of magazines do you folks
8	subscribe to?	
9	A. 01	n, we don't subscribe to any. I read books, but
10	I don't take an	ny magazines at home.
11	Ú Do	you take the daily paper?
12	A. Ye	25.
13	Q. WI	nat kind of books do you generally read?
14	A. 01	, autobiographies or history books such as that.
15	О. Н	ave you read any recent books about, oh, famous
16	legal cases or	anything like that?
17	A. No	).
18	Q. Ha	ave you read books about prominent lawyers?
19	A. No	
20	ō I	meant to ask you about your other child. Is
21	that a boy or	girl?
22	<b>A.</b> G:	irl.
23	Q. Wi	nere does she live?
24	A. II	Spokane.
25	Q. Uı	n-hmm. Is she employed?

1	A. Yes.		
2	Q What kind of work does she do?		
3	A. She's working in a business office, Valley General		
4	Hospital.		
5	Q And is she married?		
6	A. Yes.		
7	Q. And what does her husband do?		
8	A. He's not personnel director well, he works		
9	for VIRA Water and Irrigation in the valley.		
10	Q. Does he work for a brewery?		
11	A. VIRA Irrigation and Water is the name of the		
12	_company.		
13	Q. Thank you, I misunderstood.		
14	Are you sure that if you are selected as juror		
15	here and you are called upon to listen and to the testimony		
16	and see the exhibits and so on, do you think that you can		
17	base your decision as to whether or not this defendant is		
18	guilty of murder in the first degree, can you base your decision		
19	on the evidence and not be bothered by this penalty thing that		
20	you know about now, the death penalty?		
21	A. I think so.		
22	Q. You think you can separate that?		
23	A Um-hmm.		
24	0. Of course, what we're asking you to do is be		
25	strictly objective and decide this case on the evidence and		

1 not on sympathy or any kind of personal feeling. 2 A. Um-hmm. 3 Have you, any of your friends or family ever been 4 involved in any kind of a lawsuit? 5 A. No. 6 And you've never been a juror before either, have O. 7 you? 8 A. No. 9 MR. REMAKLUS: I think we'll pass the juror for cause, 10 Your Honor. 11 MR. ROBINSON: If I may, Your Honor? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 14 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. ROBINSON: 16 Mrs. Hill, have either you, your family, or 17 close friends been a victim of a crime committed against you 18 or they? 19 A. No. 20 MR. ROBINSON: Pass this juror for cause, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right, Mrs. Hill, we'll ask you, then, 22 to step back into the jury room. You'll have to remain there 23 until you get further instructions. You are not to discuss 24 the questioning here that's taken place with other members of 25 the panel as they come in.

1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 THE COURT: State's ninth. 3 All right, stand-by juror is number 58, 4 Jacqueline Jordan. 5 I'll have the Clerk draw another name. 6 7 JACQUELINE C. JORDAN, 8 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 9 the stand and testified as follows: 10 11 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 12 BY THE COURT: 13 Is it Mrs. Jordan? 0. 14 I'm divorced. 15 Okay. I'm going to ask you a few questions and 16 then, after I get through, each attorney will want to ask you 17 a few. All of the proceedings have to be reported by the 18 Court Reporter, sitting right here (indicating), so you will 19 have to answer loudly enough for him to hear your answers and 20 he can't write down a nod so you will have to answer audibly 21 so that he can hear your answer. 22 A. Okay. 23 Mrs. Jordan, I'd like to just visit with you a 24 minute about the procedure we're going to follow in this case 25 as far as handling the jury after we fully select the jury and

swear them to try the cause and start the receiving of evidence

At that point in the trial we do what we call sequester the jury; which means the jurors can't go home during recesses or in the evening. We have arranged at the Stardust Motel, to house the jurors at the motel in the evening recesses the jurors will take their meals at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs. This procedure will go on until the trial is over.

Now, we don't know how long the trial will last right now, none of us do. We've estimated it at three weeks and that, probably, is a reasonable estimate.

Bearing this procedure in mind, we need to know, first here, whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation or any work situation involved in that that would make it impossible for you to serve as a juror under these conditions, or that would create an extreme hardship for you. I use those sort of excessive terms because we know all the jurors will be inconvenienced, it won't be convenient for anybody, so we can't just ask you whether it's convenient. But, we would like to know if there's anything in your particular circumstance that would create a severe hardship for you to serve under these conditions.

A. My son is 20 months old, my mother isn't really, after three days we've decided to make arrangements for the possibility if I should be selected, she'd watch them and I

doubt. This, of course, puts the burden on the State to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt and in effect means he doesn't have to do anything to prove his innocence.

Of course, procedurally, as we sit here right now no evidence has been presented at all against him. As the evidence comes in, of course, we'll eventually reach a point where all the evidence is in and the Court will instruct the jury on the law, the jury will retire to deliberate on a verdict. At that point the jurors will have to make the decision as to guilt or innocence based on the instructions of the Court and this instruction about proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

But, that's all in the future. As we sit right here today this philosophy and principle I've explained to you means that Mr. Creech must be considered innocent as he sits here today.

Now, is there anything that would prevent you from giving him the full benefit of this principle in considering him to be innocent?

- A. No, I don't think so. From the minute I got my first notice I've just put it through my head to ignore anything. I didn't know the man's name until Monday.
  - O Until I explained it in court Monday?
  - A. Yes.
  - O. Probably that answered my next question. I was

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

penalty if the decision is First Degree Murder -- or conviction of First Degree Murder.

So, what that means is the only issue the jury will actually be voting on is on the decision of guilt or innocence of the charge, or of some lesser offense.

I'm wondering, knowing that this penalty is automatic and mandatory; whether there's -- do you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty which, even though you wouldn't be voting on that directly, would interfere with your consideration of the issue of guilt or innocence and prevent you from fairly considering that issue?

I have feelings against -- well, about the death penalty. When you put it the way that it's out of the hands of the jury or Judge, I don't know. I was under the impression, perhaps it's mistaken, but I was under the impression that the Supreme Court of the United States had declared the death penalty unconstitutional.

- Well --
- Is this right?
- Put it this way. You are correct under -- in a particular case and under particular circumstances and a particular statute, what the Supreme Court was considering, they did make that ruling. But, I think that interpretation is -- the interpretation of that case has been that under proper circumstances and properly drawn statutes, that it isn't

completely outlawed. Now, even that question is still pending in the Supreme Court. I think a case has been argued up there again testing one of these new statutes.

But, the Idaho Statute was passed pursuant to that decision to try to meet the requirements of that decision. So, I think you have to accept the fact that, at this point, that under Idaho law that death penalty is mandatory right now. None of us know what the future will be as far as future decisions go. But, this Idaho statute was passed pursuant to that Supreme Court decision to try to meet its requirements.

So, bearing that in mind, that that is the law and you can't really, I don't think, safely assume that it's going to be held unconstitutional at this point; would this prevent you from fairly passing on the issue of the guilt or innocence; knowing that if you did decide that he was guilty of First Degree Murder that the legislature has fixed that penalty?

A. I don't know if I can honestly answer that.

I haven't, really, given it any thought. I know that if I
were to make a decision I would have to be willing to point a
gun at the defendant and shoot him myself in order for myself
to make the decision of the death penalty. When it's put this
way, I haven't thought it out.

Q I can see that would be one way of looking at it.

I suppose another way of looking at it maybe you would consider

11(3476)

that rationalization, but another way of looking at it, I suppose, would be to say, "Well, you aren't pointing the gun, the legislature did", that will have to be on their conscience and not yours.

You are the only one that can search your mind on this point, Mrs. Jordan, and we'll give you, if you want to think about it, and think a minute about it and do that. But, I think ultimately we do need an answer from you whether your feeling about the death penalty would prevent you from fairly weighing the evidence and the law on the issue of guilt or innocence; knowing that's the only issue you can vote on.

A. On the issue of guilt or innocence I don't think that would sway me one way or another. I just can't honestly say -- I think I would be able to determine guilt or innocence, I couldn't tell a person that he had to die.

Q But, you wouldn't, at least -- you might consider it rationalizing, the legislature would, if that were your decision.

A. Yes.

Q. You feel, under those circumstances you would be able to vote, then, on guilt or innocence and fairly weigh the evidence and return a verdict of First Degree Murder if you felt it was proved beyond a reasonable doubt under my instructions?

A. Yes, I think I could.

1 2 3 5 4 T 5 d d t

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

Q Along that line, Mrs. Jordan, dividing the function of the Judge and the jury in a case like this, the jury is the sole judge of the facts and weight to be given to the evidence. The credibility of witnesses, I can't interfere with your decision at all in that. Conversely, it's the sole function of the Judge to determine what the law is and instruct the jury on the law and the jury is bound by their oath to accept the law as the Court states it to them.

Now, occasionally, I'm sure it's true that jurors find the law is different than they thought it would be and that, perhaps they might even think it's a bad law, or disagree with it. But, I'm wondering whether, if you run into that situation, you could set that aside and in good conscience accept the law as I state it to you; even though you might have thought the law was different, and accept the instructions of the Court. Could you do that?

- A. In my youth I probably couldn't have and I've mellowed out in my old age, I'd say yes, sir.
  - Q You understand that would be your duty as a juror?
  - A. Yes.
- Q As I say, the law is sort of dividing the functions.

  I can't infringe on the jury's responsibilities to decide the facts and the jury shouldn't infringe on my responsibility to decide the law. You think you can make that division all right?
  - A I would hope so, yes.

1	Q. Do you know of anything that would prevent you
2	from being a fair and impartial juror to both sides in this
3	case?
4	A. No.
5	THE COURT: Counsel may inquire.
6	
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. THOMAS:
9	Q. Mrs. Jordan, to return just a minute to the
10	discussion of the death penalty that you had with the Judge,
11	I have a question I'd like to follow up with you about that.
12	Is it my understanding that you recognize fully
13	that the jury is entitled only to determine the issue of guilt
14	or innocence and should not be concerned at all with the
15	penalty?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q Are you willing to fully honor that principle?
18	A. To the best that I can say I am.
19	Q Could you tell us, Mrs. Jordan, if you can be
20	certain in your own mind that your hesitation about the death
21	penalty would not automatically prevent you from voting for a
22	First Degree Murder conviction no matter what the evidence?
23	A. Could you repeat that? I didn't quite understand
24	your point.
25	0. Yes. Could you assure us that, in your own
4	

THE COURT: The jury makes the determination, the Court gives you the instructions as to the distinction.

MR. THOMAS: Does that answer your question?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q BY MR. THOMAS: I think the "Jury" will instruct you that -- I'm sorry, the Court will instruct you that in order to convict the defendant, the case of First Degree Murder must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt but not to an absolute certainty. Would you require the State to prove, in your mind, to an absolute certainty that the defendant is guilty of First Degree Murder before you would vote to convict?

A. I would find it hard to believe that anything is an absolute certainty. I don't believe that I need to have it proved quite that far.

Q Also I think that the Court will instruct you that a reasonable doubt means just that, a reasonable doubt and not a fanciful or imaginary doubt. Do you have any question in your mind that you could distinguish between what was reasonable and what was fanciful in terms of doubt as to the defendant's guilt?

- A. I don't know -- no, I have no question.
- Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer?
- A. I have no question.
- 9. You have no question?

1	that way?
2	A. I should hope not.
3	Q Have you recently read any books or articles about
4	law or lawyers?
5	A. No.
6	O. Have you read any books or articles recently about
7	well-known trials?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Do you understand that as a juror you would be the
10	judge of the credibility or believability of the witnesses who
11	testify and if there is conflicting testimony the jury will
12	have to resolve the conflict? Do you have any problem with
13	that? You feel you would have any difficulty in making a
14	determination like that?
15	A. I feel I have a certain amount of ESP and in this
16	case I would have to rely on it.
17	Q I don't wish to unnecessarily pry into your personal
18	affairs, Mrs. Jordan, but I hope you bear with me for just a
19	moment while I get a little background information.
20	Could you tell me where you were born?
21	A Warden Hospital in Kellogg, Idaho.
22	Q. Have you lived here all of your life?
23	A. Except for being away at school, yes.
24	Q. What is your educational background?
25	A Bachelor of Science and Sociology, semester into

1 my Master's in environmental :	science.
2 0. Do you have a re:	ligious preference?
3 2 I would imagine	just the universality of mankind.
4 0. You do not attend	d a regular denomination?
5 A. No.	
6 Q. Do you know, or	are you acquainted with any of the
7 attorneys appearing in this ca	ase?
8 A. No.	
9 Q And do you have a	any close friends or relatives who
have been associated with, or	acquainted with, any of the
11 attorneys in this case?	
12 A. Not to my knowled	lge.
13 Q Have you or any o	of your close friends or relatives
14 had any legal troubles in crim	minal or civil lawsuits?
15 A. I lost a case in	small claims court once, that's
16 about as far as it goes.	
0 What is your 3	I notice that you were divorced
18 and you indicated that a momen	nt ago. Was that a contested
19 matter? Did you go into court	t for that?
20 A. No, it was we	had the same lawyer as a matter
21 of fact. It was a very even-	type thing, no problems.
Q Amicable kind of	divorce?
23 A. Yes.	
Q. What did your hus	sband former husband do?

1	don't think that the nature of the victim would affect my	
2	decision in any way.	
3	Q Have you ever discussed this particular case with	
4	any person who offered an opinion that the defendant was either	
5	guilty or not guilty?	
6	A. I refuse to discuss it with anybody.	
7	MR. THOMAS: I see. Pass the juror for cause.	
8	Thank you.	
9	MR. ROBINSON: May I proceed, Your Honor?	
10 .	THE COURT: Yes.	
11		
12	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	
13	BY MR. ROBINSON:	
14	Q. Mrs. Jordan, have you either you or your	
15	relatives or close friends, other than this car theft	
16	situation, been victims of other crimes committed against them?	
17	A. No, I don't recall anything serious, no.	
18	Ω And you stated your religious feelings were the	
19	universality of mankind?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Ω And does that include a belief in a Supreme Being	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q per se? Do you believe in God?	
24	A. Per se I believe you are God, I am God. Each	
25	human being is a part of God and God a part of each human being	

1 THE COURT: All right, I'll direct the Clerk to draw 2 another name, then. 3 4 FRANK L. ORAZEM, 5 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 6 the stand and testified as follows: 7 8 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 9 BY THE COURT: 10 Mr. Orazem, I'm going to ask you a few questions 11 first and then each of the attorneys will want to ask you some 12 questions. 13 A. Okay. 14 Everything we take up here has to be reported by 15 the Court Reporter sitting right here (indicating) so he needs to hear your answers. 16 17 A. Okay. He can't write down a nod, so if you will answer 18 audibly to all the questions. 19 20 A. Okay. I want to visit with you for just a minute about 21 the procedure we're going to follow once the jury is fully 22 selected and we start taking evidence in the case and we get 23 on with the regular trial. At that point we're going to 24 sequester the jury; that's what we call it, now, this means 25

1 conditions. The Jury Commissioner will call you if he needs 2 you. 3 THE WITNESS: I'll be available. 4 THE COURT: I'll ask you not to discuss the questions 5 with other members of the panel. THE WITNESS: No, I won't. 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 The stand-by juror is number 45, Sharon K. Hill. 9 I'll ask the Clerk to draw another name. 10 11 SHARON K. HILL, 12 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 13 the stand and testified as follows: 14 15 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 16 BY THE COURT: 17 Mrs. Hill, I want to ask you a few questions and 18 then the attorneys will want to ask you a few. Everything we 19 say here has to be reported by the Court Reporter sitting here 20 (indicating) so you'll need to answer loudly enough so he can 21 hear you and get your answers down. He can't report a nod, so 22 you will need to answer audibly so he can hear your answers and 23 write them down, okay? 24 A. Okay. 25 Visit with you for a minute first about the 0.

procedure we're going to follow when the jury is completely selected and we start receiving evidence and get into that phase of the trial.

When we reach that point the jury will be what we call sequestered; which means the jurors won't be able to go home during the recesses or in the evening. We're going to house the jurors in the motel, we've already arranged for that at the Stardust. The jurors will take their meals together at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will go on until the trial is concluded.

We don't actually know how long this trial will last but we estimate about three weeks and that is, probably, a reasonable estimate.

What we need to know right at the outset here is whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, work situation, that would make it impossible for you to serve under these conditions or that would create an extreme hardship. I know it's going to be inconvenient for all the jurors so we're not talking about inconvenience, but serious situations that it would create.

Do you have any kind of a situation like that?

- A. None.
- You feel you could serve under these conditions?
- A. Yes, I could.
- Turn to another subject, then.

Under our system of justice and philosophy of criminal law a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his quilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt. Now, this puts the burden on the State to prove him quilty beyond a reasonable doubt and has the effect of saying that the defendant doesn't have to do anything to prove his innocence. Later on in the trial when I give instructions to the jury I will further define these terms and explain them in more detail.

of course, you understand that when all the evidence is in and the jury has been instructed in the law and retire to deliberate on the verdict, then the jury, of course, will have to weigh the evidence and make a decision as to whether the State has proved the case beyond a reasonable doubt and vote on the issues of guilt or innocence.

You see, at this stage of the trial, before any of this could happen in this principle I have explained to you means that the defendant here, Mr. Creech, must be considered innocent right at this point in the proceeding and that will continue until his guilt has been established beyond a reasonable doubt.

Is there any reason at all that you know of why you couldn't give Mr. Creech the full benefits of this principle at this time and consider him to be innocent?

A. I don't feel that he is innocent.

1	Q. You don't feel that he is?
2	A. No, I don't.
3	Q. Is this a feeling you have about this particular
4	case or do you have any quarrel with that general principle?
5	A. I think I've read too much about it, really, for
6	Q So, it isn't the general principle you question?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Just this particular case?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q Can you give me some of the facts that you
11	purported facts, that you've heard about this case that makes
12	you feel this way?
13	A. Well, I just I don't know, I just really think
14	that he probably is guilty, you know, just different things
15	I've read.
16	Q That's what we'd like to know; what those things
17	are that you remember reading.
18	A. Well, I mean, they think that he has killed the
19	people and
20	Q. What people do you think?
21	A. These two people here in Idaho and also I've
22	heard and, you know, that he is wanted in another state besides
23	this one.
24	Q. He is wanted in another state besides this one?
25	A. Yes, and hasn't he also led men to other places?

1 MR. ROBINSON: All right, sir. 2 I'm going to grant the challenge. 3 MR. THOMAS: We don't resist, for the record. 4 THE COURT: All right. We appreciate your frankness, 5 Mrs. Hill, and we will excuse you. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 THE COURT: You will be subject to call on other cases. 8 The Jury Commissioner will contact you if needed. 9 Thank you for your time you've spent here. 10 The stand-by juror is Bonnie Jameson, number 54. 11 I'll have the Clerk draw another name. 12 13 BONNIE E. JAMESON, 14 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 15 the stand and testified as follows: 16 17 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 18 BY THE COURT: Mrs. Jameson, I want to ask you a few questions 19 20 and then each attorney will want to ask you a few. Everything we say here has to be written down by the Court Reporter so you 21 22 will need to answer loudly enough for him to hear. He can't 23 report a nod, so you will need to answer audibly so he can 24 get your answer down, okay? 25 A. Okay.

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

I want to visit with you for a minute, please, O. first, about the procedure we'll follow once the jury is selected and start receiving evidence in the trial.

At that point we'll do what we call sequester the jury; which means the jurors won't be able to go home at recesses or in the evening. We have made arrangements to house the jurors at the Stardust Motel, and take your meals at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will continue until the trial is over.

We don't know at this time how long this trial will last, however, the best estimate we can make is about three weeks and I think that's a reasonable estimate.

The thing we need to know right at the outset is whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, your work situation, involved in, that would make it impossible for you to serve under these conditions or create an extreme hardship. We know it's going to be inconvenient for all the jurors, so we're not just talking about inconvenience. But, if there is a very serious situation in your --

- There's none that I know of. A.
- Okay. You feel you could serve under those conditions, then?
  - A. Yes.
  - Well, we'll move on to another subject, then. 0. Under our system of justice and philosophy of

criminal law, a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt. This puts the burden on the State to prove a defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt and relieves the defendant of any burden of proving his innocence, or coming forward with any evidence to prove his innocence. Do you understand that principle?

A. I do.

Q Obviously you will reach a point in the trial, after all the evidence is in and instructions have been given to the jury, that the jurors will have to weigh the evidence and make a decision under the instructions of the Court as to guilt or innocence and whether the State has proved guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. But, at this stage of the proceeding and until this is proved beyond a reasonable doubt, this principle means that Mr. Creech, the defendant here, must be considered innocent.

Is there any reason at this time why you couldn't give him the full benefits of this principle and consider him to be innocent?

- A. Well, I can't think of any.
- Q. Have you read or heard anything about this case in the newspapers or on radio or TV or conversations with other people about the case?
  - A. The only thing I've read is when it first came out

and taken that away from the jury and the Judge.

is mandatory and automatic on a conviction of First Degree

Murder. But, what that means is that the jury won't be voting
on the penalty, the Judge won't be -- have anything to do with
the penalty. The only thing the jury will be actually voting
on and considering is the issue of guilt or innocence of
First Degree Murder or any lesser degree of homicide; under
the instructions of the Court. You won't be voting on penalty.

What I need to know now is whether you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty that would prevent you from fairly considering the evidence and voting on the issue of guilt or innocence.

- A. I don't really think that's any --
- Q. In other words, even though now this penalty would follow a conviction of First Degree Murder, you feel you could give the issue of whether the State has proved him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of that offense, a fair consideration and weigh the evidence and make a decision on that issue?
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. The division of responsibility in a trial such as this, then, between the jury and the Judge; as we've established is that the jury is the sole judge of the facts, the jury will weigh the evidence, test the credibility of witnesses and determine how much weight to give the credibility you give to

witnesses. The Court can't interfere with that decision at all on the part of the jury.

The other side of the coin is the Court is supposed to have the sole responsibility to determine the law. The jury is supposed to accept the law as stated by the Court. I think a lot of times the jurors probably find that the law is a little different than they thought it was, maybe. Sometimes they thought it was a bad law or they don't particularly agree with it. But, still, under their oath and this division of responsibility, the jurors are required to take the law as the Court gives it to them and accept that.

Is there any reason why you couldn't do that?

- A. Well, I don't think there is.
- Q. As far as, you know, you found the law was different than you thought it was, you had been misunderstanding of the law, you could still accept the law as I give it to you?
  - A. Yes, I think I would.
- Q All right. Do you know of anything that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror to both sides in this case?
- A. Well, no, because I don't know him or anyone that he knows.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel may examine.

MR. REMAKLUS: Thank you, Your Honor.

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. REMAK	
3	Q.	I notice on the questionnaire that you sent in,
4	Mr. Jameson,	that you said that you are a widow?
5	Α,	Yes.
6	Q.	How long have you been a widow?
7	A.	Be seven years in December.
8	Q.	Um-hmm. And you still have two of the five
9	children at 1	nome?
10	A.	Yes.
11	. ۵	And you've made, or will make, the necessary
12	arrangements	for them to be taken care of if you are kept here?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	Fine. Do you take any of the daily papers?
15	A.	Take the Kellogg Evening News.
16	Ď.	I notice you said you didn't have too much time to
17	read the pape	ers, if I remember correctly.
18	ā.	Right.
19	Q.	Do you take any other magazines in the mail?
20	ā.	No, I don't.
21	Q.	Do you buy books at the book store or at the
22	newsstand?	
23	ā.	No. My son does, but I don't.
24	Q.	Um-hmm. Do you get magazines at the newsstand?
25	Ā.	No.

1	0 Are you a television fan, Mrs. Jameson?
2	D. Well, until about 9:00 at night I am.
3	0. I suppose you watch some of the shows that
4	portray lawyers and lawsuits and things like that; haven't you?
5	A. A few.
6	Ω Well, this may not be like those on the TV. You
7	don't expect that here, do you?
8	A. No, I don't.
9	O. Fine. What is your religious preference?
10	A. Methodist.
11	Q. Do you go fairly regularly?
12	No, I'm sorry to say I don't.
13	Q. Um-hmm. Do you have any hobbies?
14	A. Well, I used to have the hobby of sewing, but
15	not in the last seven years.
16	Q What kind of work do you do over at the Bunker?
17	A. I work in the "cell" room at the zinc plant.
18	Q. Um-hmm.
19	A It's hard to explain, really.
20	Q. I've been up by the zinc plant, I know it's a
21	big place. Your husband, prior to his passing away, worked.
22	He was an outdoor worker?
23	A. Yes, he worked in the woods.
24	Q. Um-hmm. During the course of the trial, if you,
25	as a juror, will listen to the witnesses and if there's a

Under the Statute, the Statute requires the Court first to make a minute entry to reflect that the trial will be a protracted one and there will be alternate jurors needed.

I make such a finding at this point and will have that entered in the minutes; that the trial is likely to be protracted and alternate jurors will be necessary.

The Statute provides that the alternate jurors will be chosen immediately after the regular jury is impaneled and sworn. This creates an extreme dilemma in a case like that because once the jury is sworn, they can't separate. They have to immediately be sequestered.

I'm wondering if Counsel will be willing to waive that requirement and let the alternate jurors be chosen before the regular jury is sworn and impaneled.

MR. ROBINSON: Defense so waives.

MR. REMAKLUS: Yes.

MR. THOMAS: Yes.

on this, I'll hear from Counsel if Counsel have any objections or feel it isn't regular or proper; would be, then, to let our stand-by juror be the first name drawn as an alternate and, then, draw one additional name as a -- and reveal both names to Counsel so you would know the two alternates and examine them both for cause.

MR. REMAKLUS: That's agreeable.

THE COURT: And, examine for cause until we have two jurors that have been passed for cause and then begin exercising pre-emptory challenges and each side will have two pre-emptory challenges. Any question about that procedure?

MR. ROBINSON: No questions.

MR. REMAKLUS: That's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I will advise Counsel that the first stand-by juror we have is number 34, Ronald Gribble and I'll direct the Clerk, then, to draw another name.

It's number 49, Billee Howard. That juror has been excused, we'll have to draw another one.

Number 114, Frederick F. Townsend.

Counsel have any objection to having a stand -- having a stand-by juror for challenges for cause here?

MR. THOMAS: No, Your Honor.

MR. ROBINSON: No objection.

THE COURT: All right, draw a stand-by juror, then.

If it's all right with Counsel, I think we'll just take a short recess before we proceed with picking the alternate jurors. I would like to go over and explain to the rest of the panel that we are going to go -- keep going until we complete the selection. That's all I'll say to them; ask the Bailiff to advise the jurors that are over here of the same fact.

(Recess taken.)

1	THE COURT: Counsel ready to proceed, then?
2	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
3	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay, bring in Mr. Gribble.
5	
6	RONALD F. GRIBBLE,
7	a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took
8	the stand and testified as follows:
9	
10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
11	BY THE COURT:
12	Q. Mr. Gribble?
13	I. Yeah.
14	0 Is that the way you pronounce it?
15	A. Yeah.
16	<ol> <li>I'm going to ask you a few questions first,</li> </ol>
17	Mr. Gribble, and then the attorneys will each want to ask you
18	some questions. Everything we say here has to be reported by
19	the Court Reporter sitting right here (indicating) so he has to
20	be able to hear your answers and he can't take down a nod so if
21	you'll answer audibly so that he can hear your answer.
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. I want to visit with you just a minute about the
24	way we're going to handle the jurors and the trial once we get
25	the selection of the jury complete and start taking evidence.

The jurors at that point will be what we call sequestered; which means the jurors won't be able to go home at the recesses in the evening, we'll house them in a motel. We've made arrangements at the Stardust to do that; take their meals together at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will go on until the trial is over.

None of us know right now how long the trial will take, we have made an estimate of three weeks and that's about as reasonable as we can make of an estimate.

So, right at the outset we need to know if there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, your work situation, that would make it impossible for you to serve under these conditions; whether this would create an extreme hardship. We know it's going to be inconvenient for all the jurors so we're not talking about just inconvenience. We need to know if you've got any particular serious problems that you have?

- A. No.
- Q. You feel you could serve under these conditions?
- A. Yes.
- Q All right. Go on to another subject, then.

Under our system of justice and our philosophy of criminal law in this country, a defendant is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt. This places the burden on the State to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt and means that the defendant doesn't

1 have to come forward and do anything to establish his innocence. 2 Do you understand that --3 Yes. P. 4 -- that principle? 0. 5 Of course, once the evidence is all in and 6 instructions on the law have been presented to the jury and the 7 jury is retired to deliberate on its verdict, then you will have 8 to weigh the evidence and make the decision under the law as to 9 guilt or innocence and whether the State has proved guilt 10 beyond a reasonable doubt. But, right at this stage of the trial 11 and until the defendant has been proved guilty beyond a 12 reasonable doubt, his -- this principle that I've explained 13 means that the defendant must be considered to be innocent. 14 Now, are you able at this time, as you sit here, 15 to give him the full benefits of this principle and consider him 16 to be innocent? 17 Well, I've read, you know, about the case in the 18 papers from time to time. 19 Okay. Let me just, maybe, before we get into that, 20 I'll move on to that next. But, apart from this particular 21 case, do you have any quarrel with that general principle and 22 philosophy --23 A. No. 24 Q. -- presumption of innocence? You accept that --25 A. Yeah.

presented in the local papers.

Q. Is there anything about -- just that much that caused you to -- would keep you from considering him innocent right now until you've heard evidence to consider him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?

Let me ask it a little different. Have you formed an opinion, an unqualified opinion, as to his guilt or innocence at this time; based on what you've read?

- A. No. I find the papers don't -- aren't the best news source in the world.
- Q Is there any other question you have, why you couldn't consider him innocent right now and leave it up to the State to prove that he is guilty?
  - A. No, I don't think so.
  - Q. You think you could accept that?
  - A. I think so.
- Q Under our Idaho law, Mr. Gribble, neither the jury nor the Judge has any discretion as to the penalty to be imposed for First Degree Murder. The legislature has passed a law that's taken this completely out of the hands of the jury and the Judge.

The legislature has provided that the death penalty is mandatory on First Degree Murder convictions; which means that the jurors wouldn't -- aren't, in a First Degree Murder trial, involved in voting on the penalty and the Judge

isn't involved in fixing a penalty. All the jurors would be involved in is voting on guilt or innocence of First Degree Murder; or lesser-included offenses.

The thing I need to know, because of that state of the law, is whether you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty which would prevent you from fairly deciding the issue of guilt or innocence under the instructions of the Court?

- A. I think we'd all think about it, pretty hard. I would.
  - O I'm sure that's true.
  - No.
- Q. You think, even though you know that is the law, that you could still fairly listen to the evidence and instructions of the law and weigh the issue of guilt or innocence under those circumstances, make a finding in accordance with your convictions on that, without regard to this penalty?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. In the division of responsibility between the jury and the Judge in a trial like this, Mr. Gribble, the jurors are the sole judges of the facts in the case and the credibility of witnesses and weight being given to the evidence. The Court can't interfere with the jury's decision in that regard in any way.

On the other hand, the Court is the sole judge of the law and instructs the jury on the law and the jurors are supposed to accept the law as given by the Court. Occasionally I think it happens when the Court instructs the jury on the law and individual jurors might find that some instructions are different than they thought the law was and maybe think it's a bad law; something like that. Still, under the juror's oath the jury would be required to accept the law as given by the Court.

Any reason why you couldn't accept following the instructions of the Court, even though you found some point that might be different than you thought they were or should be?

- A. I would say if I definitely didn't really believe it, I couldn't do it.
  - O. You feel --
  - A. Because I don't know that much about law.
- Q Well, I think that's the reason the law makes that division. The Judge is supposed to know what the law is, that's why the Judge is supposed to instruct the jury on that.

Do you think if you did find a principle that was different than you thought it really was, or even if you disagreed with some principle, believe that you still would take my word for it that that was the law and follow and accept it?

A. Well, if I did it wouldn't be fair to either the

defendant or the rest of the jury, in my own mind.

Q Well, you see, the entire jury will be under an oath to accept the law as stated by the Court so, then, you will all be in the same situation as being required, if you live up to your oath, to accept the law as stated by the Court.

I'm not sure you'll run into any conflict, you see, but the thing we need to establish is whether you can accept the law from the Court and just like I can't interfere with your decision of the facts, you are not supposed to interfere with my decision of the law. You are not sure you can accept that division of responsibility; is that what you are saying?

- A. Honestly, I don't think so, because we all have a little different standards.
- Q. Do you have any particular points of law that, in your mind at this time, that you might think you'll question?
- A. No, I don't have any points, but I don't know that much about law.
  - O. Well --
- A. I wouldn't want to claim that I'm an expert on knowing. I don't know anything about --
- Q. Perhaps I'm not explaining it very well, then. I think that's the whole thing that I'm trying to get across to you, Mr. Gribble. I'm supposed to be an expert on the law and you are not, that's why I'm supposed to give you the law, see. Since, as you say, you don't know the law, I'm wondering why

1	В.	Yes. But, I do some of the work on our own
2	equipment.	
3	Q.	Sure, I understand. What are your hobbies,
4	Mr. Gribble?	
5	A.	Fishing, hunting, bowling, basketball, football.
6	Q.	Do you take the local papers?
7	A.	Yes, I do.
8	Q.	Do you take other magazines and you subscribe to
9	other magazi	nes and things like that?
10	A.	The only one I subscribe to would be Field and
11	Stream and I	just subscribed to Outdoor Life.
12	Q.	Um-hmm.
13	A.	And there's a couple coin magazines, coin collections
14	Q.	Yeah. Do you watch television?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	You haven't got any preconceived ideas what a
17	lawsuit is s	apposed to be like from watching some of those
18	lawyer telev	ision shows, have you?
19	A,	It's got to the point where I don't usually watch
20	any of that.	
21	Q.	So, you are not going to be disappointed in the way
22	we might act	here as compared to the dramatization on television?
23	A.	I don't think so.
24	MR.	REMAKLUS: I don't think so either. Pass the juror
25	for cause, Yo	our Honor.
1	The state of the s	Fig. 1

		±
1	MR. ROBINSON: May I, Your Honor?	
2	THE COURT: Yes.	
3		
4	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	
5	BY MR. ROBINSON:	
6	Q Mr. Gribble, what is your religious affiliation?	
7	A. I was baptized Episcopalian.	
8	Q. Do you believe in God yourself?	
9	A. I do.	
10	Ω Do you believe that Satan exists?	
11	A. No, I don't think so.	1
12	MR. ROBINSON: Pass this juror for cause, Your Honor.	
13	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Gribble, we'll ask you, then,	
14	to step back into the jury room and wait there for further	
15	instructions.	-
16	I'll ask you not to discuss the questioning here	
17	with any other jurors that come in.	
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	1
19	THE COURT: Thank you.	
20	Mr. Townsend is next, number 114.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		1

# FREDERICK F, TOWNSEND,

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q. Mr. Townsend, I want to ask you a few questions at first and then the attorneys will want to ask you a few. Everything we say here has to be reported by the Court Reporter sitting in that chair (indicating) and, so, you will have to answer loudly enough for him to hear you and record your answers. He can't get a nod, so if you will answer audibly to the questions that are asked.

A. Yes, Your Honor.

Q. I want to visit with you just a few minutes about the procedure we'll follow once the selection of the jury is completed and the jury is sworn to try the cause and we start receiving evidence.

At that point we'll do what we call sequester the jury; which means the jurors won't be able to go home at recesses or in the evening. The jurors will be housed in a motel, we've made arrangements at the Stardust to do that. The jurors will take their meals together at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will go on until the trial has ended.

None of us know right now how long the trial will

last, our estimate is three weeks and that's, probably, about as
close as we can come right now.

We are wondering at the outset whether there's anything in your personal life or your home situation, work situation, that would make this impossible for you to serve under these conditions and work an extreme hardship on you. We know it won't be convenient and won't be convenient for any of the jurors. So, we don't ask you in terms of convenience, but in terms of real serious, severe problems that might create in your life.

Anything that would prevent you from serving under these conditions?

A. There is a question of health, maybe I shouldn't say "health" but something that just came to mind in the last day or two. I had a gall bladder attack some weeks ago and during the course of this they found I also had diabetes and the doctor, at the time, felt that this could be controlled and would be no problem serving on a jury. Now, this is the type -- I take no insulin and exercise. Apparently older-aged -- I mean, it's not a thing that came on early in life. Lots of exercise, just the last three days, has caused the effects of this to show. I wish the Court to be advised of this. I don't know what --

- Q. Upset the balance, by not exercising?
- A. I think that's it. It's just a pill and I don't

know how -- what type of effect it would have, but I felt the Court should be aware of this.

Q. That's the kind of thing we wanted to learn about. I don't know what the regimen would be, it -- I'm sure all the jurors would want to get a little exercise and I don't know how vigorous the Bailiffs will want to take them out and march them around and get some exercise, maybe some of that, maybe all the jurors wouldn't want to get as much as you need. That might be the problem.

What we want to do, Mr. Townsend, if you feel there's any risk at all here why, we would want not to create any hardship for you or risk for you.

Probably you need to be the judge of that if you feel there is, why, I'm sure Counsel will want to excuse you.

- A. I don't really know what the risk would -- I doubt that it would be severe because I wasn't even aware of it until they were taking these other tests, you know, and --
- Q. What have been the effects you felt here this last couple of days, just being inactive?
- A. Only in the sugar test in which has shown an increase because of lack of exercise.
- Q. If this flared up, is there -- see, there wouldn't be anything to preventing you from sending a message out to your doctor that you needed some medication and having the medication come in to you.

1 BETTY J. THATCHER, 2 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 3 the stand and testified as follows: 4 5 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 6 BY THE COURT: 7 Mrs. Thatcher? 0. 8 A. Yes. 9 I want to ask you a few questions first and then the 10 attorneys will want to ask you a few. The Court Reporter needs 11 to write down all your answers and my questions, so we will 12 have to speak loudly so he can hear us. 13 Yes, sir. 14 He can't get a nod, so if you will answer audibly 15 to all the questions. 16 All right. 17 THE COURT: Excuse me just a moment while we take care of -- we should draw a stand-by juror so we'll do that at this 18 19 time. 20 (Brief delay.) 21 BY THE COURT: I want to visit with you just a minute, Mrs. Thatcher, about the procedure we'll follow once 22 23 the jury is completely selected and sworn to try the cause and 24 we start taking evidence in the case. At that point the jury 25 will be sequestered; which means that the jury won't be able to

go home at recesses or at night. We will house the jurors in a motel and they will eat in restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs. This will last for the duration of the trial.

The problem is, we don't know exactly how long this trial is going to take. At this time our best estimate is three weeks and that's about as close as we can come, probably.

We'll need to know right at the outset here before we take time with other matters whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, your work situation, that would prevent you from serving under these conditions or work an extreme hardship on you. We know it's going to be inconvenient for all the jurors so we can't talk just about inconvenience. But, if there is a severe problem --

- A. No, sir, there wouldn't be a hardship.
- Q. You feel you could serve under these conditions, then?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. All right. Move on to another subject, then.

Under our philosophy of criminal law and system of justice in this country, a defendant is, in a criminal action, is presumed to be innocent until his guilt has been established beyond a reasonable doubt and this puts the burden on the State to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. It means, on the other hand, that the defendant doesn't have to come forward

with any evidence to prove his innocence. He doesn't have to prove his innocence.

Of course, once the evidence is presented to the jury and the jury is instructed by the Court and the argument of attorneys, they are required to deliberate on the verdict, then they will have to make a decision on guilt or innocence under the law that the Court has given them and will have to weigh the evidence and decide whether guilt has been established beyond a reasonable doubt. But, you see, we are at a point where none of this has happened and what the principle means is, right now and until the defendant is proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, Mr. Creech must be considered innocent.

Now, is there any reason that you are aware of right now that you can't give him the full benefits of this principle and consider him to be innocent?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Have you read or heard anything about this case in the newspapers or radio or TV or conversations with people?
  - A. You mean recently?
  - Q Any time.
- A. No. some time ago, several months ago I read where there was to be a murder trial in Southern Idaho and that they requested a change of venue and that Wallace was being considered. I really didn't pay any attention.
  - Q. In -- did any of these stories you read at that

Of course, this means that you will know this as you deliberate but, still, you will not be voting on the penalty at all. All you'll be voting on is the issue of guilty or innocence under the instructions of the Court and determine whether the State has proved guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

What we need to know is whether you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty that -- know of its existence, that would prevent you from fairly considering the evidence and the law and fairly determining this issue of guilt or innocence?

- A. No, I don't believe it would influence me.
- Q You feel, even though you know that if you vote for conviction that a death penalty has been provided by the legislature, that you could still weigh the evidence and make an independent decision on the issue of guilt or innocence?
  - A. I believe I could, sir.
- Q. I want to just explain to you a division of responsibility between the jury and the Judge in the trial.

The jury is the sole judge -- the jurors are the sole judges of the facts of the case and sole judges of the credibility of witnesses and the weight to be given to the testimony and the evidence and the Judge can't interfere with that at all.

On the other hand, the Judge is the sole judge of the law and the jurors are supposed to receive the law from the

20

21

22

23

24

25

Court and, even under their oath they are supposed to accept that as the Court gives it to them.

I'm sure occasionally jurors, when they read the law, or receive it from the Court, find that there's something a little different than they thought the law was, or, perhaps, than they think it should be. But, in spite of that, under their oath they are still supposed to accept those instructions that the Court gives to them. Do you feel you could do that?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you know of anything that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror to both sides in this case?
  - A. No, I don't know of anything.

THE COURT: Counsel may inquire.

MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Your Honor.

# VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Mrs. Thatcher, if you felt in this case -- if you, as a juror, felt that the evidence showed beyond a reasonable doubt in your mind that the defendant was guilty of murder in the first degree, would the death penalty be any deterrent to your voting to convict him?

- No.
- O So, you are willing to concentrate on the

1	determining	of the issues of guilt or innocence and not
2	consider the	penalty; is that correct?
3	Α.	Right.
4	Q.	You feel that you can give the State as well as
5	the defendan	t a fair trial in this case?
6	A.	I feel that I could, yes, sir.
7	Ω	Do you watch any programs on television that appear
8	regularly wh	ere courtroom scenes are dramatized, or lawyers'
9	work is port	rayed?
10	Α,	Oh, used to watch Perry Mason.
11	Ω.	You work as a secretary in a legal firm; don't
12	you?	
13	А,	I have. I'm not employed at the present time.
14	Q.	I see.
15	Д.	I have been a secretary.
16	Q.	You know about the law, that things don't usually
17	work the way	they do on television?
18	Α.	Right, right.
19	Q.	Have you recently read any books or articles dealing
20	with the law	or the legal profession?
21	А.	No.
22	Q.	Have you read any books recently, or articles,
23	concerning w	ell-known trials?
24	А.	No.
25	Q.	You understand, do you not, that as a juror you
ľ		

1	3.	Thirty-five.
2	Q.	Has that always been in this county?
3	à <b>,</b>	Yes, sir.
4	Q.	What is your educational background?
5	Α.	High school.
6	Q.	High school?
7	В,	Completed high school.
8	Ω.	Have you taken any postgraduate courses of any
9	kind?	
10	Α,	No, I've been a civil service employee and, then,
11	in the legal	field the last 15 years.
12	Q.	I see. And what is your religious preference?
13	ь.	Protestant.
14	Q.	Do you attend church on a regular basis?
15	Ä.	Yes, sir.
16	Ω	Do you have any grown children?
17	ĕ.	I have two boys grown.
18	Q.	They are not living in your home at the present
19	time?	
20	8.	No.
21	Q	What occupations do they pursue?
22	ã,	Parson?
23	Q.	Your sons, what occupations do they have?
24	ħ.	One is a miner and the other is a barber, stylist.
25	Q.	I see. Do you know any of the attorneys in this

1	case
2	A. No.
3	Q personally?
4	A. No, sir.
5	Q Do you know whether any of your close friends or
6	relatives know any of the attorneys?
7	No.
8	Q. Have you or any member of your family or close
9	friends or relatives had any legal troubles in criminal or
10	civil lawsuits?
11	A. My oldest boy's had traffic troubles.
12	Q. Would that cause you to feel any bias against the
13	State in this case?
14	A. Oh, no, no.
15	Q Have you ever been a witness in a criminal case
16	before?
17	No, never have.
18	0. Have you ever had any jury experience before?
19	Never.
20	Q. Do you do any volunteer work of any kind?
21	A. Well, I used to when I had more time. I was in
22	the PTA and little league and things like that.
23	Q. If it turned out that the victims in this case
24	were very unpleasant people, bad men, would that tend to make
25	you believe that murder was in any way justified, or was less

1	serious because of the victims' bad character?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Have you ever discussed this case with anybody who
4	expressed an opinion that the defendant was either guilty or
5	not guilty?
6	A. No. Never discussed it.
7	MR. THOMAS: Pass the juror for cause, Your Honor.
8	MR. ROBINSON: May I proceed?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	
11	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. ROBINSON:
13	Q. Mrs. Thatcher, what denomination of the Protestant
14	faith are you?
15	. United Church of Christ congregation.
16	Are you a regular attender at your church?
17	A. Yes.
18	n Do you do any church work?
19	No, I've been asked to join a group, but I haven't
20	as yet.
21	Q. Do you believe in God?
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	O. Do you believe in the existence of Satan?
24	ā. No.
25	6 Have you heard any of the conversations, rumors,

1	about witchcraft being practiced here in Silver Valley,		
2	Shoshone County?		
3	A. No.		
4	Q Hasn't come up in your conversations that you've		
5	been involved in?		
6	A. No, sir.		
7	MR. ROBINSON: Pass for cause, Your Honor.		
8	THE COURT: Mrs. Thatcher, we'll ask you, then, to		
9	go back into the jury room and remain there until you get		
10	further instructions from the Court. Don't discuss the		
11	questioning here with any other members of the panel that come		
12	in.		
13	THE WITNESS: All right.		
14	THE COURT: State's first pre-emptory.		
15	MR. REMAKLUS: Just one moment, please, Your Honor.		
16	THE COURT: Stand-by juror is number eight,		
17	Alvin J. Buchtel.		
18	I'll have the Clerk draw another name.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

# VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q. Mr. Buchtel, I want to ask you a few questions first and then the attorneys will each want to ask you some questions. Everything we do here has to be reported by the Court Reporter who is sitting right here (indicating) so it will be necessary for you to answer loudly enough for him to hear you and also he can't get a nod, so if you will answer loudly whenever questions are asked, please. Okay?

A. Okay.

Q. I want to visit with you for just a minute about the procedure we'll follow once the jury is selected and we start receiving evidence in the case. When we reach that point the jury will be what we call sequestered; which means that you will be housed in a motel, won't be able to go home at night or during recesses, you will eat in restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs. Do you understand that?

- A. Won't bother me, just myself to look after at home anyhow.
  - Q. It wouldn't bother you a bit?
  - A. No.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 0940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1	Q. You can handle that kind of a procedure?
2	A. Right.
3	Q. The trial will probably last about three weeks,
4	that's our best estimate, but you can serve during that period
5	without any problem, then, under these arrangements?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q Okay. Are you able to hear me all right,
8	Mr. Buchtel?
9	A. Well, just a little bit hard of hearing but most
10	of the time I can.
11	Q. I am, probably, the hardest one to hear in the
12	courtroom. Can you hear me all right?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. When you were over in the other courtroom could
15	you hear me?
16	A. I could up front, couldn't in the back of the room.
17	But, up by the railing I could.
18	Q. Are you one of those that moved up?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. I see. Actually, the witnesses will all be
21	testifying from the witness stand and the jurors will be over
22	in the those seats over there. Do you think if witnesses
23	speak up you can hear that far away?
24	A. I think so.
25	Q. Would you have any reluctance raising your hand

1 or speaking up if you can't hear some witness? 2 A. No, I don't. 3 You will do that? Yes. 5 All right. Move to another principle here, then, 6 Mr. Buchtel. Under our system of justice and philosophy of 8 criminal law a defendant is, in a criminal case, presumed to be 9 innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable 10 doubt and the burden is on the State to do that. 11 Yes. A. 12 This means he doesn't have to prove his innocence 13 or come forward with any evidence to prove that he's innocent 14 because the law cloaks him with this presumption of innocence. 15 Do you understand that? 16 Yes, sir, I do. 17 Of course, once all the evidence is in and the 18 instructions have been given to the jury and the jury is deliberating on their verdict, then you'll have to weigh the 19 20 evidence and make a decision on quilt or innocence and determine 21 whether the defendant has been proved guilty beyond a reasonable 22 doubt. 23 But, right at this point in the trial, before any 24 evidence has come in and until there is evidence to prove 25 quilt beyond a reasonable doubt, you understand that Mr. Creech

1	here has to be considered innocent?
2	A Right.
3	Q. Now, is there any reason you can think of at all
4	why you couldn't give him the full benefits of that principle
5	and consider him innocent as he sits here today?
6	A. No reason at all.
7	Q. Have you read or heard anything about this case?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Radio, TV, newspapers or from conversations with
10	other people?
11	A. No, I haven't.
12	Q. Do you know anything at all about the case; other
13	than what I told you in court the other day?
14	P. No.
15	Q. Not a thing?
16	A. No, not well, from came up, I read in the
17	newspaper where they transported him up from down south is all.
18	Q. In that story do you remember any facts about this
19	the case, purported facts in this story, or purported facts
20	about Mr. Creech?
21	A, No.
22	Q. All you knew, it was a case being transferred, is
23	that it?
24	A. Right.
25	0. Mr. Buchtel, under our law, a statute, the
1	

legislature passed, neither the jury nor the Court has any discretion as to the penalty to be imposed for First Degree Murder. That means if a defendant is convicted of First Degree Murder that the penalty the legislature has provided is automatic.

Now, the legislature has provided a death penalty for First Degree Murder and that's mandatory and automatic. Do you understand that?

- A. Um-hmm.
- Q. This means that the jury won't have anything to say about the penalty, the jury won't be voting on the penalty and the Judge doesn't have anything to say about it. All the jury will be determining and the only thing the jury will vote on is guilt or innocence. You understand that?
  - A. I understand that.
- Q. I'm wondering whether you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty that would keep you from fairly considering the evidence and weighing the issue of guilt or innocence?
  - A. No.
- Q. You feel that in spite of this death penalty that's automatic and mandatory, that you could weigh the evidence and vote fairly on the issue of guilt or innocence under the law as the Court instructs you?
  - A. Yes, sir.

10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. REMAKI	LUS:
3	Q.	This is your first time as a juror, Mr. Buchtel?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	What do you do over at Hecla?
6	А.	I'm a "sand man".
7	Q.	I mean
8	А.	Filling stopes and
9	Q.	I see. After the area has been mined?
10	A.	Right.
11	Ω.	Yes, thank you. You've been here between six and
12	seven years,	is that right?
13	А.	Yes.
14	Q.	Where did you live before you came here?
15	А.	Down in Oregon, Pendleton, Oregon.
16	Q.	What kind of work did you follow down there?
17	А.	Working on a ranch for awhile down there, about
18	three years.	
19	Q.	What did you
20	А.	Cattle ranch.
21	Q.	what did you do before that?
22	Α.	Well, coal mining down in Colorado.
23	Q.	Your life work has, then, been mostly in the mines,
24	is that right	t?
25	А.	More or less, yes.

1	Ω Have you been divorced for quite a number of years?
2	Well, since about '54.
3	0 Um-hmm. You have no children at all?
4	A. No.
5	9. You happen to know any of the lawyers seated up
6	here?
7	A. I do not.
8	Q. And you hadn't heard anything about this case,
9	other than it was going to be here, is that it?
10	A. That's right.
11	Q. Now, as a juror during the course of the trial
12	you are going to sit here and listen to the evidence and, if
13	people tell conflicting stories you are going to have to decide
14	who you are going to believe and who you are not going to
15	believe.
16	A. That's right.
17	9. You understand that, don't you, Mr. Buchtel?
18	ā. I do.
19	Q. Do you think you have any difficulty in making up
20	your mind as to who is telling the truth and who isn't?
21	A. I don't think too much.
22	Q. All right. Now, if the State of Idaho, if we put
23	on the evidence here that convinces you beyond a reasonable
24	doubt that this man is guilty of First Degree Murder, will you
25	let that death penalty bother you in making your decision?

3

### KATHLEEN M, JEROME.

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

- 0. Mrs. Jerome, I'm going to ask you a few questions first and then the attorneys will want to ask you a few. Everything we say here has to be reported by the Court Reporter so you will need to speak loudly enough for him to hear you and he can't get a nod so if you will answer audibly to all the questions, please.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. I want to visit with you first about the procedure we're going to follow once the jury is sworn and completely selected and sworn to try the cause and start taking evidence in the case. At that point we're going to do what we call sequester the jury; which means the jurors can't go home at night or during recesses and we'll house the jurors in a motel. These arrangements have already been made at the Stardust Motel. The jurors will take their meals together at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs. This will go on throughout the trial until it's ended.

None of us know right now how long the trial will take. Our best estimate is three weeks, and that's about the

1 best we can do as far as an estimate. 2 We need to know right at the outset before we take 3 time with other matters whether there's anything in your 4 personal life or your home situation, your work situation, that 5 would make it impossible for you to serve under these conditions, 6 or work an extreme hardship for you. I know it's going to be inconvenient for all jurors so you can't just talk about 8 inconvenience. But, if there is a severe problem that this 9 would create for you, we need to know about it. 10 Is there some problem you'd have? 11 ... Yes. 12 Can you tell us about it? 0. I have three small boys that won't have a babysitter. 13 14 How old are they? Q. 15 Two, four and seven. A. Two, four and seven? You don't have a regular 16 0 babysitter? 17 18 B. No. Do you have family here that could help you out 19 0. with that? 20 My sister-in-law has been doing it now. 21 A. You feel that you couldn't ask her to do this for 22 three weeks? 23 Yes, she would, you know, but it's kind of 24 25 inconvenient for her too. If it was necessary I probably could,

1	you know, arrange something, but
2	Q Would your sister-in-law do it? Has she
3	volunteered to do this for you?
4	A. I didn't ask her about that part, but I am sure she
5	probably would.
6	Q. Are the children comfortable with her? Do they
7	know her well enough so they don't get upset with her?
8	A. Yes. There is one other problem. One of them
9	just got sick today.
10	THE COURT: I see. Well, what do Counsel
11	MR. ROBINSON: I'd stipulate, Your Honor.
12	MR. REMAKLUS: We'd be willing to excuse Mrs. Jerome.
13	THE COURT: All right, that is quite a thing to take
14	a mother away from young children like that for that long, so
15	we will excuse you, then, Mrs. Jerome, and appreciate the time
16	you've spent here.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.
18	THE COURT: You will be subject to call for other
19	trials if the Jury Commissioner calls you. Thank you for your
20	time. You could leave at this time if you want to.
21	All right, the stand-by juror is number 22,
22	Margaret Dahl.
23	She, apparently, isn't here yet.
24	(Brief delay.)
25	THE COURT: I'll ask the Clerk to draw another number.

# MARGARET C. DAHL,

2 3

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

6 BY THE COURT:

> Mrs. Dahl, I'm going to ask you a few questions at first and then the attorneys will want to ask you a few questions. Everything we say here has to be reported by the Court Reporter sitting right here (indicating), so you will need to speak loudly enough for him to hear you. Also, he can't get a nod of the head, so if you'd answer audibly so he can hear you when you answer the questions, okay?

> > A. Yes, sir.

I want to visit with you first about the procedure O. we'll follow with the jury once the jury is completely selected and sworn to try the cause and start receiving evidence. At that time we'll do what we call sequester the jury; which means the jurors wouldn't be able to go home during recesses or in the evening. We'll house them in a motel and arrangements have already been made for the Stardust Motel to do this. Also they will take their meals in the restaurants under supervision of the Bailiffs during this time. This will go on during the entire trial until it's ended.

We don't know how long the trial is going to last,

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

probably the best estimate that we can make is about three weeks. Probably that's a reasonable estimate.

At the very beginning here, before we take time on any other matters, we need to find out whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation or work situation that you have — that would prevent you from serving under these conditions, or work an extreme hardship in your case. We know it's going to be inconvenient for all the jurors so we can't just talk about inconvenience. But, if there is a severe problem it would create for you, we'd like to give you a chance to tell us about it right now.

A. Well, I do. I deliver the Chronicle, I'm the Chronicle dealer. I have seven children under me and right now my daughter is doing it for me but she has a six-month old baby and he is now contacting pneumonia. I'm afraid her doing this -- I had a lady already set up, but she took off, so there is nobody else to take over the deliveryship of the Spokane Chronicle.

Q You don't have any substitutes?

A. I have no substitute now because this lady is taken off and I had her really trained and everything and she's just --

So, you are all by yourself?

A. All by myself. I have nobody except my daughter that could do it. If she didn't have the six-month old baby

1	and she has no way of getting anybody to take care of the baby,
2	so
3	THE COURT: Counsel have any
4	MR. ROBINSON: Stipulate, Your Honor.
5	MR. THOMAS: No problem.
6	MR. REMAKLUS: Mrs. Dahl may be excused.
7	THE COURT: All right. Under those circumstances we'll
8	excuse you, Mrs. Dahl. You will be subject to call on other
9	cases if the Jury Commissioner calls. Thank you for your time
10	you spent here.
11	All right, the next juror is number 99,
12	Scott Shock.
13	MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, during this break could
14	Mr. Creech be taken back so he can have his medication?
15	THE COURT: We will take a short recess.
16	(Recess taken.)
17	THE COURT: Ready to proceed?
18	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
19	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, Your Honor.
20	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
21	THE COURT: The juror's number is 99, Scott Shock.
22	Mr. Shock, just relax a minute, we'll be with you.
23	I'll direct the Clerk to draw another name.
24	
25	

#### SCOTT B. SHOCK,

2

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

4

# VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q. Mr. Shock, I'm going to ask you a few questions first, then the attorneys will each want to ask you some questions. The Court Reporter has to report all these proceedings so, he'll need to get your answers so you will have to answer loudly enough for him to hear you and, then, he can't get a nod of the head so you'll have to answer audibly to each question that's asked.

I want to visit with you first just a little about the procedure we'll follow when the jury is selected and sworn to try the case and see if this is going to create any problems for you as far as serving as a juror.

When we reach that point and start taking evidence the jury will be sequestered; this means that the jurors won't be able to go home at night, they will be housed in a motel, take their meals together at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs, and this will go until the trial is over.

We don't know how long this trial will take; our best estimate is about three weeks and that's just an approximatic you understand?

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

25

1 The first thing we need to know, before we go into any other things with you, is whether this will create any 2 3 real hardships for you, or make it impossible for you to serve under these conditions because of anything in your personal life, 4 your home situation or work situation. 5 6 7. You want me to answer that now, right? 7 0. Yes. 8 Pi-Not that I can think of right now. 9 You could serve under those conditions, then? 0. 10 A. Yes. 11 Go on to another subject, then, Mr. Shock. Ω. 12 Under our system of justice and philosophy of 13 law a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent 14 until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt and the burden is on the State to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable 15 doubt. 16 This means he doesn't have to come forward and 17 prove anything about his innocence or try to establish his 18 innocence. The burden is on the State. Do you understand? 19 Well --A. 20 Some question you have about that principle? 0. 21 No, I just didn't understand it. A. 22 All right. You understand that once the evidence 23 Q. is all in and you've listened to all the evidence by both sides 24 and heard the instructions of the Court and retired to 25

1	A. Well, I heard that he was with the bikers and also
2	that there was some killings in Southern Idaho and what was read
3	in the newspapers.
4	Q. Do you remember anything else you read in the
5	newspaper?
6	A. I didn't read much about it.
7	Q. Any other purported facts, either about the case
8	or Mr. Creech that you've heard
9	A. No.
10	Q other than those things?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Has what you've heard caused you to form an opinion
13	as to Mr. Creech's guilt or innocence right at this time?
14	A. Yes, you might say I have no love for bikers.
15	Q. Okay. Without regard to whether Mr. Creech is a
16	biker, but just your own state of mind, looking at that and
17	telling us what that is, do you have an unqualified opinion as
18	to the defendant's guilt or innocence right now?
19	A No, I don't.
20	Q. In other words, you are willing to follow this
21	principle of law I've stated to you and consider that he is
22	innocent until the State proved him guilty beyond a reasonable
23	doubt?
24	A Right.
25	Q. You are willing to accept that?

A. Right.

O Turn to another subject, Mr. Shock.

\_

·

Under our Idaho law, neither the jury nor the Court has any discretion as to penalty to be imposed for First Degree Murder. The legislature has passed a law making the death penalty mandatory for First Degree Murder. That means they've taken away from the jury and away from the Judge -- neither the Judge nor the jury has anything to say about it if a defendant is convicted of First Degree Murder. The death penalty follows automatically and is mandatory under that.

A. Right.

Q. Okay. The thing I need to know, of course, recognizing then that the only thing you would vote on with the other jurors in the jury room if you were selected as a juror, is guilt or innocence in determining whether the State would prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt and would not be determining penalty.

I'm wondering whether you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty which would interfere with your determination of guilt or innocence, or prevent you from fairly weighing the evidence and the law and then determine just the issue of guilt or innocence?

- A. That's not the point. I don't understand.
- Q. Well, you understand as I've explained that as a juror, if you are selected you wouldn't have anything to say

1 about the penalty. 2 Okay. 3 The law just provides that automatically if the 4 defendant is convicted of First Degree Murder. 5 A. Okay. 6 So, all you'll really be voting on is whether the 7 defendant is guilty or innocent, in other words. 8 A. Okay. 9 If you should find that the State had met its 10 burden and proved the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable 11 doubt under the evidence and the law, would the fact that the 12 death penalty would follow automatically prevent you from voting 13 for a guilty verdict? 14 A. No. 15 You would be able to do that under these 16 circumstances? 17 A. Right. Going to another principle, Mr. Shock. 18 19 The division of responsibility between the jury and the Judge in the trial like this is pretty well established in 20 The jurors are the sole judges of the facts and you'll 21 determine which witnesses to believe and what weight to give to 22 23 their testimony. The Judge can't tell you anything about that, 24 control that in any way. 25 On the other hand, the Judge is supposed to have

the -- supposed to be the sole judge of the law and the jurors are supposed to accept the law from the Judge. So, you each have a responsibility, I don't tell the jurors what the facts are and the jurors aren't supposed to tell me what the law is. You understand that?

A. Right.

Q. I'll give the law to the jurors in a form of written instructions. I'm sure when the jury gets those written instructions they will be quite long and be a number of them. But, individual jurors will run into particular instructions that maybe are different than they thought the law was and maybe they think it's bad law and don't particularly agree with it. But, still, under their oath, they are required to accept the law as I have stated it to them, just like I have to respect their decision on the facts.

Is there any reason why you couldn't accept the law as I stated it to you?

- A. If it's written in plain English and easy to understand I could. But, if it's written in big letters, I couldn't.
- Q. Well, some of these concepts are really hard to put into words, I will frankly state that to you right now, Mr. Shock.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. I'm not going to promise you it's going to be real

easy, but it will be as plain as I can make it, put it that way. 1 No, I've been to court a few times and I've had a 2 lot of trouble understanding and I believe I still would. 3 4 Q. You think you might have a real problem understanding 5 those instructions? 6 A. Right. 7 They would be printed in two ways, Mr. Shock. the first place, I would read them orally, I would sit here and 8 just read through the whole instructions to the jury orally, then 9 the jury would be given a copy of them to take into the jury 10 room where they could pass around and read them themselves and 11 discuss them. But, I can't tell you that they will all be 12 simple. Some of them won't be. The concept of reasonable 13 doubt, the distinction between degrees of homicide, some of 14 those things are a little complex. You feel you might have a 15 problem with that? 16 Yeah, I'm a fairly simple guy. 17 Well, that wouldn't be a problem unless you really 0 18 feel that you might have a hard time understanding. 19 I'd say I would. A. 20 You say you would? Q. 21 Right. A. 22 THE COURT: Counsel want to inquire on this point? 23 MR. ROBINSON: Defendant would stipulate, Your Honor. 24 MR. REMAKLUS: He may be excused. 25

1 THE COURT: We appreciate your frankness on this in 2 explaining this to us, Mr. Shock. Under the circumstances it 3 might create a difficulty for you, then, so we will excuse you. 4 We appreciate your time you spent here and waiting. The stand-by juror is number 113, 6 Irvin C. Thompson. I'll have the Clerk draw another name, then. 8 9 IRVIN C. THOMPSON, 10 a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took 11 the stand and testified as follows: 12 13 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 14 BY THE COURT: 15 Mr. Thompson, I want to ask you a few questions first and then the attorneys will each want to ask you some 16 questions. The Court Reporter here has to take down everything 17 you say and what I say so you will need to speak loudly so he 18 can hear you. 19 All right. 20 A. And not nod your head. So, if you will answer 21 audibly to all the questions, please. 22 I want to visit with you first just about the 23 procedure we'll follow as far as the jury, once it's completely 24 selected and we start receiving evidence. At that point we will 25

do what we call sequester the jury; which means the jury won't be able to go home at night or any recess; that they instead will be housed in a motel. We've made arrangements at the Stardust to do this. The jurors will take their meals together at a restaurant under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this

Now, we don't know how long this trial is going to last. We've made an estimate of three weeks and that's, probably, about the nearest we can come and that is probably a reasonable estimate.

The first thing we need to know is whether this procedure will make it impossible for you to serve as a juror or create an extreme hardship, either in your personal life or home situation or work.

- It makes it hard for me not being at home there because my boy -- the wife goes to work in the mornings and we are trying to buy our home and stuff and she had that encephalitis but now she's able to work. We're trying to get back on our feet and the boy is only 12 years old and I get him off to school before I go to work.
  - And she goes to work before he goes?
  - She goes to work about quarter after six.
  - Is she still in somewhat ill or poor health? 0.
- A. She's coming along good, but we are trying to get on our feet because when she had that encephalitis here in

6 will continue until the trial is over.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 0940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Seattle, it cost me a little over \$6,000 there in nine weeks.
2	THE COURT: Counsel?
3	MR. ROBINSON: Stipulate, Your Honor.
4	MR. THOMAS: Yes, Your Honor.
5	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right, under those circumstances we'll
7	go ahead and excuse you, then, Mr. Thomas. I think that's a
8	valid excuse.
9	We appreciate your time you spent over here.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
11	THE COURT: You may be excused at this time.
12	The next stand-by juror is number 66, that's
13	Ralph D. Lambert.
14	I'll ask the Clerk to draw another name.
15	
16	RALPH D. LAMBERT,
17	a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took
18	the stand and testified as follows:
19	
20	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
21	BY THE COURT:
22	Q. Mr. Lambert, I noticed you turned your hearing aid
23	up. Maybe we'd better talk about that at the very first thing.
24	Do you have any problem hearing, or can you hear

1	A. Well, some people I can hear them pretty good and
2	some I couldn't.
3	Q. Have you had a problem hearing me?
4	A. Well, it's high-pitched sounds that I've lost my
5	hearing on.
6	Q. I see.
7	A. The high notes.
8	Q. When you were over in the other courtroom were you
9	able to hear me all right over there?
10	A. Yeah, I could hear you there.
11	Q. The jurors will be sitting in a box like this and
12	the witnesses will be testifying from the witness stand. Do
13	you think you could hear that far away all right?
14	A. Unless it's somebody that talks awful low.
15	Q. Would you be reluctant to raise your hand, speak up,
16	if you miss if you weren't hearing someone so we could ask
17	them to speak up?
18	A. Yes.
19	0. Could you do that?
20	A. Yeah.
21	0. All right. Everything we say here has to be taken
22	down by the Court Reporter, so if you will answer audibly to all
23	of the questions, loudly enough for him to hear you, please.
24	I want to visit with you about the procedure we
25	will follow when the jury is completely selected and sworn to

try the cause. When we start receiving evidence, at that point the jurors will be what we call sequestered; this means you can't go home during recesses or evening recess, the jurors will be housed in a motel, take their meals in a restaurant under the supervision of the Bailiffs. This will go on for the duration of the trial.

The trial may last three weeks, that's our best estimate though none of us know how long it will actually last at this point.

We need to know outright, at the outset, if there's anything in your personal life, your home situation, your work situation, that would make it impossible for you to serve under these circumstances, or work an extreme hardship. We know it will be inconvenient, so we won't talk about that, but if there's any severe problem in --

- A. Well, I just got my wife out of the hospital last Tuesday.
  - Q. Is there anyone --
- A. Well, I've got a couple daughters-in-law and sons that live out close there but they've all got their families to take care of.
- Q So, there really wouldn't be anyone home with -- does she still need care?
  - A. Well, she's not supposed to do much.
  - O. I see.

1	A. Heart condition.
2	MR. ROBINSON: I will stipulate, Your Honor.
3	MR. REMAKLUS: We'd agree that this man may be excused.
4	THE COURT: All right, under those circumstances I
5	think that's a valid reason to excuse you, Mr. Lambert. I'll
6	go ahead and excuse you, then. Thank you for the time you've
7	spent here waiting.
8	THE WITNESS: Do I go on home now?
9	THE COURT: Yes, you can go home.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: The next stand-by juror is number 73,
12	Dale E. Magnus.
13	I'll ask the Clerk to draw another name.
14	
15	DALE E. MAGNUS,
16	a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took
17	the stand and testified as follows:
18	
19	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
20	BY THE COURT:
21	Q. Mr. Magnus, I want to ask you a few questions first
22	then the attorneys will want to ask you some.
23	Everything we say here has to be reported by the
24	Court Reporter sitting here (indicating), so if you will speak
25	loudly enough for him to hear and answer the questions audibly.

He can't get a nod of the head. Okay?

Okay.

\_

Q I want to discuss just a minute with you the procedure we'll follow once the jury is completely selected and

sworn to try the cause and we start taking evidence; to see if it creates any problem with you if you were on the jury under

those circumstances.

A.

Once we reach that point the jurors will be what we call sequestered; which means the jurors will be -- jurors would not be able to go home at night or during the recesses and jurors will be housed in a motel. We made arrangements at the Stardust Motel for this, take their meals together at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will go until the trial ends.

We don't know at this time how long this trial will take. We've made an estimate of three weeks and that's, probably, about as near as we could come to guessing how long it will take.

We need to know right at the beginning here whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation or work situation that would make it impossible for you to serve under these conditions, or create an extreme hardship on you. We know it's not going to be convenient for any of the jurors, but that's why I don't talk in terms of convenience, but in terms of severe hardships or extreme hardship. Do you have some

# N. K. WARD,

2

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

4

### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

Mr. Ward, I want to just ask you a few questions,

5

6

BY THE COURT:

7

Ω.

8

then the attorneys will ask you a few. Everything we say needs to be taken down by the Court Reporter so if you will answer

9 10

loudly enough for him to hear you and he can't get a nod so if

11

you will answer audibly to all the questions.

12

A. All right.

13

Q. I want to visit with you just a minute about the procedure we're going to follow once the jury is selected and

14

sworn to try this cause and start receiving evidence. At that

15 16

point we will sequester the jury, is what we call it. That

17

means that this jury won't be able to go home at night, they

18

will be housed in a motel, already made arrangements at the

19

20

Stardust Motel to do this, the meals will be taken in a restaurant together and under the supervision of the Bailiffs

21

and this will go until the trial is ended.

22 23 We don't know how long, at this point, the trial will take. We've made an estimate of three weeks and that's

24

about as close as -- that's, probably, a reasonable estimate.

25

Before we spend time on any other subject, we need

### CURTIS D. SCHRADER,

a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q. Mr. Schrader, I want to ask you a few questions first and then the attorneys will ask you a few questions. The Court Reporter has to write down everything we say here, so you will need to speak loudly enough for him to hear and also he can't get a nod of the head, so if you will answer audibly to each question, please.

A. Okay.

Q. I want to discuss with you just a minute the procedure we'll follow when the jury is finally selected and sworn to try the cause and start taking evidence. At that point we do what we call sequester the jury, which means you won't be able to go home at night, recesses, or at any time during the recess. You will be housed in a motel, take meals together at restaurants under the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will go on until the trial is over.

We don't know at this point how long the trial will actually last, our best estimate is about three weeks and that's probably as close as we can come.

Then, before we take up with any other matters

How many dependents do you have?

24

25

Yes.

ī.

().

1	A. Two.
2	THE COURT: Counsel have any
3	MR. THOMAS: No objection.
4	MR. ROBINSON: No objection.
5	MR. REMAKLUS: No, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right, we will excuse you, then,
7	Mr. Schrader, and thank you for the time you've spent and you
8	are excused.
9	The next juror is 47, Lillian S. Honeycutt.
10	I'll have the Clerk draw another name here.
11	
12	LILLIAN S. HONEYCUTT,
13	a prospective juror herein, having been first duly sworn, took
14	the stand and testified as follows:
15	
16	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
17	BY THE COURT:
18	Q. Mrs. Honeycutt, I will ask you a few questions first
19	and then the attorneys would have a few questions to get through.
20	The Court Reporter needs to write down everything that's said
21	so if you will answer loudly so he can hear you and make audible
22	answers. He can't get a nod of the head, okay?
23	A. (No audible response.)
24	Q. I want to discuss with you just quickly the
25	procedure we're going to follow when the jury is selected and

sworn and actually start taking evidence in the case. At that

point the jury will be what we call sequestered; which means

the jurors won't be able to go home at night or at recesses and

will be housed in a motel. We made arrangements at the

Stardust to do this; take your meals together at restaurants under

the supervision of the Bailiffs and this will go on during the

entire trial.

The trial may last three weeks, that's our best estimate; although none of us know for sure right now how long it will actually last.

Before we take time going through anything else with you, we need to find out at the outset whether there's anything in your personal life, your home situation or work that would make it impossible for you to serve under these conditions, or work an extreme hardship on you. We know it's going to be inconvenient for all so we aren't just talking about inconvenience. But, if it would create a severe problem for some reason we'd like to know about it.

A. The only problem is I have recently filed for divorce and if I was on the jury, proceedings would have to be held up until I was free again.

Q. Well, is there any real reason why that couldn't be done? Is it going to go as a default or has it been contested?

A. No, it hasn't been contested.

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	Q So, any actual hearing, trial date, hasn't been
2	set?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Just a matter of waiting until the time runs on the
5	service of summons?
6	A. The lawyer needs more information before it's
7	really filed real good, I guess. But, that's the only and
8	it's going on right now.
9	Q. Other than that, you could handle the situation?
10	A. Yes, I believe so.
11	Q. This doesn't create any problem at home otherwise?
12	A. No, I live alone now.
13	Q. All right. I think, probably, you could handle
14	this situation if you had to so I think we'll go ahead and turn
15	to another subject, then.
16	Under our law, our system of justice and philosophy
17	of law, a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be
18	innocent until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable
19	doubt. This places the burden on the State to prove him guilty
20	beyond a reasonable doubt and it means that the defendant has
21	doesn't have to prove anything, really. He doesn't have to
22	prove his innocence; you understand that? Of course, once the
23	evidence is all in and instructions of the Court have been
24	received and the jury is deliberating, then the jury will have
25	to weigh the evidence and make a decision in the case and vote

guilt or innocence and test the State's evidence against the burden of proving him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Right now as we sit here at this stage of the proceedings there isn't any evidence and, so, this principle of law I have explained to you requires that the defendant be considered completely innocent at this point.

In other words, the jury waits until the proof is in and, then, follows the instructions and weigh the evidence. Is there any reason at all you know at this time why you couldn't give Mr. Creech a full benefit of this principle and consider him innocent?

- A. No.
- Q. Have you read or heard anything about this case, conversation with other people, newspapers, radio or TV?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you know anything other than what you were told in court the other day?
  - A. No.
- Q. So, you haven't heard any purported facts about this case or about Mr. Creech; other than what I told you?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. One other principle you need to be aware of,
  Mrs. Honeycutt. Our requirement of law, under our Idaho law,
  neither the jury nor the Court has any discretion as to the
  penalty to be imposed for First Degree Murder. Our legislature

has passed a law that fixes the penalty --- go ahead and take your coat off, it is warm in here.

The legislature has fixed this penalty and taken the discretion completely away from the jury and the Judge. The legislature has provided the mandatory death penalty for First Degree Murder; which means that anyone now convicted in Idaho for First Degree Murder, the death penalty is automatic and mandatory.

This means, of course, that the jury wouldn't be asked to vote on the penalty and wouldn't be voting on the penalty directly. But, the only thing the jury will be deciding is guilt or innocence under the instructions of the Court.

The thing we need to know is whether you have any personal beliefs or feelings about the death penalty that would interfere with your fairly considering and weighing the evidence and the law as to the charge of First Degree Murder?

A. The only thing I could say is I do hold life precious and I wouldn't, possibly, have it on my conscience or it would bother me for some time if I thought I had helped destroy it.

Q. I'm sure this is a serious consideration, that's why we wanted to discuss it with you, Mrs. Honeycutt.

You understand this particular -- initially, I suppose you may consider this a rationalization, but, technically, it perhaps is on the legislature's conscience

Q. I guess the only way I can put the question to you and in a way that we have to resolve it because you are the only one that can search your mind and tell us the answer to this.

Do you think your concern about the death penalty and personal feeling about it would simply prevent you from considering the issue of guilt or innocence and finding the defendant guilty under any state of the evidence or would you feel that no matter how strong the case the State presented, you still couldn't vote for First Degree Murder because of your knowledge about this penalty that would follow?

- A. No, I could go according to whatever the evidence was.
- Q. You feel you could, even though you would be concerned about this and -- but you still feel that you could weigh the evidence and the law and make a determination on guilt or innocence?
  - A. Yes, I do.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yes. A.

Do you know of anything, whether I've asked you or 0.

24

25

1 not, that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial 2 juror to both sides in this case? 3 A. What was the question? 4 Do you know of anything, whether I've asked --0. 5 anything else that I haven't asked you about that could keep you 6 from being a fair and impartial juror to both sides? No. 8 THE COURT: Counsel may inquire. 9 10 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. THOMAS: 12 Mrs. Honeycutt, as the Court explained, there are 0. 13 two things to consider in a First Degree Murder case. One is 14 the question of guilt or innocence and the other is the penalty. 15 You do understand, do you not, that the jury is not responsible for the penalty and decides only the question of 16 quilt or innocence? 17 Yes, I understand. A. 18 And are you sure in your own mind that you could 19 put aside any hesitation you might have about the death penalty 20 and vote to convict the defendant if you found that the evidence 21 showed beyond a reasonable doubt that he was guilty of First 22 23 Degree Murder? I'm sorry, I didn't ---24 A. If the evidence showed the defendant was guilty 25 O.

1	beyond a reasonable doubt in your mind		
2	A. Yes, sir.		
3	Q would you put aside your hesitation about the		
4	death penalty and your reluctance to impose it?		
5	A. Yes.		
6	Q And vote to convict him of First Degree Murder?		
7	A. Yes, I would.		
8	Q. You feel, then, that you can give the State, as		
9	well as the defendant, a fair trial?		
10	A. Yes.		
11	Ω Have you recently read any books or articles about		
12	trials or law?		
13	A, No.		
14	Q Now, the Court mentioned to you the reasonable		
15	doubt standards and I think the Court will instruct that, at a		
16	later time, that there's a difference between reasonable doubt		
17	and a fanciful or imaginary doubt and the kind of doubt which		
18	will acquit the defendant must be reasonable. Do you feel that		
19	you could distinguish between a genuinely reasonable doubt and		
20	one which is drummed up or imagined?		
21	A. Yes.		
22	Q. Could I ask you, Mrs. Honeycutt, where you were		
23	born?		
24	A. Pittsburgh, Kansas.		
25	Q. And have you been in Idaho for some time?		

1	А.	Yes, I've been here for 26 years.
2	Ω.	In this area all of that time?
3	A.	Majority of the time, yes.
4	Ö.	I see. Do you have a religious preference?
5	ā.	I belong to the Baptists, yes.
6	0.	Baptist Church? Do you attend regularly?
7	D.	No.
8	Q.	Do you have any grown children?
9	$\ddot{\beta}$ ,	I have only the one 17-year old daughter.
10	Ŋ.	I see. Is she employed anywhere at the present time?
11	H.	No, she recently married.
12	Q.	Do you know any of the attorneys in this case?
13	$\ddot{E}_{r}$	I do not.
14	Q.	Do you know if any of your close friends or
15	relatives wh	o know any of the attorneys?
16	A.	I don't believe so.
17	Q.	Apart from the divorce action that you are involved
18	in, have you	or any members of your family or close friends
19	been involve	d in any legal difficulties; including either
20	criminal or	civil lawsuits?
21	A.	Not that I know of.
22	Q.	Have you ever been a witness in a criminal case
23	before?	
24	λ.	No.
25	Q.	Have you had jury experience before?

1	A. No.		
2	Q. If the evidence demonstrates that the victims in		
3	this case were both rather unpleasant people, would that tend,		
4	in your mind, to excuse murder, or to reduce its seriousness?		
5	A. No.		
6	Q. Have you ever discussed this case with anyone who		
7	believed the defendant was either guilty or not guilty?		
8	A. No.		
9	MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Mrs. Honeycutt. Pass for cause,		
10	Your Honor.		
11	MR. ROBINSON: May I proceed, Your Honor?		
12	THE COURT: Yes.		
13			
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION		
15	BY MR. ROBINSON:		
16	Q. Mrs. Honeycutt, do you believe in God?		
17	A Yes, I do.		
18	Q Does Satan exist?		
19	A I'm not sure.		
20	MR. ROBINSON: Pass for cause, Your Honor.		
21	THE COURT: All right, you may step back into the jury		
22	room and just wait there for further instructions,		
23	Mrs. Honeycutt, thank you.		
24	That completes the selection of the jury. I think,		
25	probably, the easiest thing to do would be to have the Bailiffs		

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

bring the jurors over -- we have over in the jury room in the Courthouse, all over here so we'd have them all assembled here and, then, we'd read the pre-emptory excuses.

MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, may I inquire about one matter since we did go so long this evening, what's the Court's planning to, maybe, delay a half hour in the morning to 10:00 to commence?

THE COURT: I'd be glad to if Counsel want.

MR. ROBINSON: Sure appreciate it.

THE COURT: We will stand in recess until we can get all of the jurors in that jury room over there, over to here.

(Whereupon all prospective questioned jurors entered the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Be seated. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm happy to tell you we have the jury selected now so at this time I am prepared to read the names of those jurors who have been excused on pre-emptory challenges and seat the jurors who have been chosen in the jury box.

Before I do that, I do want to express appreciation. I'm sure I speak for Counsel and Mr. Creech and all of us for your patience and your willingness to bear with us in this matter. It's a very important matter in the trial, it's just as critical as any part of the trial. It's been sort of a -- I am sure, trying experience for you, but it's been important and you performed an important function by being here and bearing with

1 us and being willing to be patient.

I want to just say, as a stranger, an outsider to your county, that I've been impressed with the quality of jurors; not that I didn't expect good jurors in Shoshone County, but I feel we've had outstanding jurors to draw from and choose from here and we appreciate it very much.

At this time I'm going to read the names of the members of the panel that have been excused on the pre-emptory challenges. You won't have to do anything, just take note as your names are read, then I will read the names of the jurors that will be seated as trial jurors in this case.

These jurors have been excused on pre-emptory challenges: Virginia Gregory, Mrs. Dela Claypool, Arleigh Luoma, Richard J. Sibert, Antonia Jaeger, Kathleen Orsburn, Mrs. Brenda Stinson, Mrs. Wanda Kurt, Mrs. Ruth Daiker, Mr. Jerry Adams, Linda Gust, Mr. Donald Crawford, Mr. Harold Horine, Mrs. Betty Barr, Mrs. Stella Koole, Mrs. Ellen Noonan, Miss Jacqueline Jordan, Mrs. Viola Coulter, Mrs. Betty Thatcher and Mr. Alvin Buchtel.

If Mrs. Ester Everett would take Seat One on the back row in the corner, please; Mr. Walter Nelson, Seat Two next to her; Mr. Robert Powell, Seat Three; Mr. Frank Oberg, Seat Four; Mr. Paul Mollendorf, Seat Five; Mrs. Daisy Kienholtz, Seat Six; Mrs. Velma Canterbury will take Seat Seven, starting at the other end again. Mr. Wayne Jacobs, Seat Eight next to

her; Mrs. Wanda Bennett, Seat Nine; Mrs. Bonnie Jameson,
 Seat Ten; Mr. David Charles, Seat 11; Mrs. Maxine Hill, Seat 12.

All right, now, you 12 jurors will be the regular trial jurors. We've also picked two alternate jurors because of the protracted nature of the trial and just in case some regular juror has to be replaced. I will advise that the alternate jurors will sit with the regular jury all through the trial and hear all the evidence and they have to be prepared to participate in the deliberation in case something happens to one of the other jurors. Mr. Ronald Gribble, if you'll take a seat on the end as Alternate Juror No. 1 and
Mrs. Lillian Honeycutt is Alternate Juror No. 2.

I want to express appreciation to the remainder of the panel for the time you've spent here and your willingness to serve in this case. We appreciate it very much.

You may be excused at this time with our thanks for your service.

Ladies and gentlemen, you are going to serve as a trial jury, and this includes the alternates too. The things I say here will include the alternates also. We are not going to keep you much longer, but I do need to give you a few special instructions at this time.

You've all been advised now in the questioning that you went through that the jury will be sequestered once you are sworn. For that reason we are not going to swear you tonight,

so you can go home this evening. If we swore you, you couldn't go home, so, we'll -- the first thing we do in the morning when we come back will be to swear you as trial and alternate jurors so you will be permitted to go home tonight and what you'll have to do is, of course, pack a suitcase and bring your things with you that you will need for the stay that I've indicated you'll have in the motel.

We will have transportation to haul your things to the motel, I quess that's been arranged.

So, you can bring -- frankly, bring any reading material you'd want, books, you know, magazines, whatever you need to keep yourself busy while you are in the motel. There's no restriction on things like that. You will be under an oath at all times not to let anybody communicate with you about the case, not to discuss the case among yourselves or with any other person and that will be the primary instruction. If you run out of clothes and need additional clothes you will be able to have the Bailiffs call your home or, perhaps, have additional clothing sent down; things like that that you will need, personal things that you'll need for your stay in the motel.

But, come as well prepared as you can in the morning.

Any of you have any questions that you'd like to ask about that?

MRS. KIENHOLTZ: I have a little tape recorder, it's music, and letters from my daughter in Arizona. Would it be

acceptable -- I know we can't have a radio but I'd like noise.

Would it be acceptable to bring my --

THE COURT: If it's not a radio.

MRS. KIENHOLTZ: No, it isn't. It's a little tape recorder that has the tapes.

THE COURT: No, there would not be any problem with that.

MRS. KIENHOLTZ: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm sure you've all been very diligent in abiding by the admonition all during this selection process. It's, of course, now that you've actually been picked as the trial jury and the alternate jurors, it's going to be doubly important tonight while you are free to go home and listen to the radio and TV that you will abide by the admonition. All I can tell you, stress this, if it developed that this admonition was violated, or came to light, it would simply mean we'd have to do this whole thing over again.

So, you can see that it is very important that you abide by that admonition in all respects. During all recesses you will not be permitted to discuss the case among yourselves until the case would be finally submitted to you. The case will not be fully submitted until you've heard all of the evidence, you received the instructions of the Court on the law, heard the closing arguments of both attorneys and retired to deliberate on your verdict, and that's the first time you are permitted to discuss the case among yourselves.

Likewise, you shouldn't let anyone approach you and discuss the case with you and you shouldn't discuss the case with anyone else. As I've told you before, if anyone tries to discuss the case with you, you should report it immediately to the Bailiffs and if you know their names you should report the names of the person that tries to discuss the case with you.

We're going to do all we can to make it as bearable as we can for you while you are sequestered. We will try to make some arrangements to maybe have a common viewing area for TV or something so you can at least watch movies and things and ball games without watching news broadcasts. I don't know exactly how we'll arrange that but we'll try to accommodate you as well as we can. But, it will be important that you abide by this admonition and follow the instructions of the Bailiff and cooperate with us in all respects during this trial because, as I say, if something happens that you don't, why, we'll just have to declare a mistrial and do it all over again. I'm sure none of you want that to happen.

So, if none of you have any other questions we will excuse you for the night and we are going to be in recess until 10:00. We've gone late enough that we couldn't start until 10:00 tomorrow morning and if you will report over in the main Courthouse up on the third floor when you come tomorrow.

MRS. KIENHOLTZ: I have a problem. I do not drive and I don't know of anybody in my locality to hitch a ride with in the

```
1
     morning. My husband --
            THE COURT: Mr. Falsetto, can you arrange that some way
2
     to get her transportation?
3
4
            MR. FALSETTO: Yes.
            THE COURT: All right, we'll be in recess, then. Thank
5
6
     you.
7
                  (Recess taken and remainder of the jury panel
8
     in the main Courthouse excused.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```